The Arabidopsis CERK1-associated kinase PBL27 connects chitin perception to MAPK activation
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Abstract

Perception of microbe-associated molecular patterns by host cell surface pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) triggers the intracellular activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades. However, it is not known how PRRs transmit immune signals to MAPK cascades in plants. Here, we identify a complete phospho-signaling transduction pathway from PRR-mediated pathogen recognition to MAPK activation in plants. We found that the receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase PBL27 connects the chitin receptor complex CERK1-LYK5 and a MAPK cascade. PBL27 interacts with both CERK1 and the MAPK kinase kinase MAPKKK5 at the plasma membrane. Knockout mutants of MAPKKK5 compromise chitin-induced MAPK activation and disease resistance to Alternaria brassicicola. PBL27 phosphorylates MAPKKK5 in vitro, which is enhanced by phosphorylation of PBL27 by CERK1. The chitin perception induces disassociation between PBL27 and MAPKKK5 in vivo. Furthermore, genetic evidence suggests that phosphorylation of MAPKKK5 by PBL27 is essential for chitin-induced MAPK activation in plants. These data indicate that PBL27 is the MAPKKK kinase that provides the missing link between the cell surface chitin receptor and the intracellular MAPK cascade in plants.
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Introduction

Plants have evolved a sophisticated immune system to detect and defend against potential pathogens. The immunity is initiated by the perception of highly conserved microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), including bacterial flagellin, peptidoglycan (PGN), and fungal chitin, which trigger a series of immune responses referred to as pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) (Dangl et al., 2013). However, successful pathogens can dampen or suppress PTI by delivering effector proteins into the host cytoplasm (Dou & Zhou, 2012). To overcome this, plants have developed a second layer of immune system, in which intracellular immune receptors of the nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NB-LRR) protein family directly or indirectly recognize the effectors and activate immune responses that are often associated with hypersensitive cell death (Dodds & Rathjen, 2010). This type of immunity is termed effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Jones & Dangl, 2006).

Microbe-associated molecular patterns are perceived by cell surface-localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). All well-characterized PRRs are receptor-like kinase (RLKs) or receptor-like proteins (RLPs) (Monaghan & Zipfel, 2012). Both types of PRRs contain a ligand-binding ectodomain and a transmembrane domain, but only RLKs have an intracellular kinase domain (Macho & Zipfel, 2014). The perception of ligands with PRRs triggers a series of immune responses including a rapid intracellular activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades and a burst of reactive oxygen species (ROS) mediated by NADPH oxidase (Macho & Zipfel, 2014).

In eukaryotes, MAPK cascades consist of highly conserved signaling modules. Each MAPK cascade is composed of three
consecutively acting protein kinases: a MAPK kinase kinase (MAPKKK; 80 genes in Arabidopsis), a MAPK kinase (MAPKK; 10 genes in Arabidopsis), and a MAPK (20 genes in Arabidopsis) (Jonák et al., 2002). Increasing evidence indicates the importance of MAPKs in plant immunity; the activation of MAPKs induces robust immune responses through the phosphorylation of transcription factors and enzymes (Asai et al., 2002; Tsuda et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015). MAPKs also regulate growth and development in plants, which can also be activated by RLK-mediated perception of self-derived molecules including secreted peptides (Meng et al., 2015). Thus, PRR/RLK-mediated activation of MAPKs plays important roles in both plant immunity and development. However, the molecular mechanisms by which PRRs/RLKs transmit signals to the MAPK cascades have not been found.

*Arabidopsis* PRRs FLS2 and EFR are RLKs with extracellular leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domains for the perception of bacterial flagellin and elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu), respectively (Monaghan & Zipfel, 2012). The perception of ligands by FLS2 or EFR induces their association with the coreceptor RLK BAK1 (Chinchilla et al., 2007; Heese et al., 2007; Schwessinger et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2013). FLS2 and EFR also associate with the receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases (RLCKs) BIK1, PBL1, and BSK1 (Lu et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2013), which are phosphorylated and released from the FLS2 and EFR complexes upon ligand perception. Recent investigations indicated that BIK1 directly regulates NADPH oxidase RBOHD activation by phosphorylation of the N-terminal regulatory domain of RBOHD (Kadota et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014). However, loss-of-function mutations of BIK1, PBL1, and BSK1 do not affect FLS2/EFR-mediated MAPK activation (Feng et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2013; Ranf et al., 2014). Thus, it is currently unclear how MAPK cascades are activated downstream of FLS2 and EFR.

Four MAPKs, MPK3, MPK4, MPK6, and MPK11, have been investigated to be activated by PAMPs. Genetic and biochemical studies revealed two MAPK cascades (Rasmussen et al., 2012): MKK4/MKK5 (two redundant MAPKks)–MPK3/MPK6 (two partially redundant MAPKs) and MEKK1 (MAPKKK)–MKK1/MKK2 (two redundant MAPKks)–MPK4 (MAPK). Although transient expression of MEKK1 in protoplasts activates MPK3 and MPK6 (Asai et al., 2002), fig22-induced activation of MPK3 and MPK6 was not affected by the mekk1 mutation (Suarez-Rodriguez et al., 2007). Thus, MAPKKKs upstream of MPK3 and MPK6 have not been genetically identified in FLS2-mediated signaling so far.

Chitin, a fungal-derived molecule, is recognized by CEBiP, a rice PRR with an extracellular lysine motif (LysM) domain (Kaku et al., 2006). The LysM domain of CEBiP binds directly to chitin and forms a receptor complex with LysM-RLK OsCERK1 (Shimizu et al., 2010; Hayafune et al., 2014). OsCERK1 phosphorylates OsRLCK185, and silencing of OsRLCK185 reduces chitin-induced MAPK activation (Yamaguchi et al., 2013), suggesting that OsRLCK185 functions downstream of OsCERK1 and upstream of the MAPK cascade. Recently, OsRLCK176, a rice homolog of BIK1, was also reported to participate in MAPK activation downstream of OsCERK1 (Ao et al., 2014). In Arabidopsis, the LysM-RLKs LYK5-CERK1 complex recognizes chitin (Liu et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2014), which induces CERK1-mediated phosphorylation of PBL27, the Arabidopsis homolog of OsRLCK185 (Shinya et al., 2014). The pbl27 mutation reduces chitin-induced MAPK activation. These results suggested that OsRLCK185/OsRLCK176 and PBL27 may be functional links between chitin receptors and the MAPK cascade in rice and Arabidopsis, respectively.

Here, we show that CERK1-associated kinase PBL27 interacts with MAPKK5 at the plasma membrane. The mapkk5 mutations compromised chitin-induced MAPK activation. PBL27 phosphorylates MAPKK5 in a CERK1-dependent manner. MAPKK5 is disassociated from PBL27 in response to chitin. In addition, MAPKK5 interacts with MKK4 and MKK5 in vivo and phosphorylates their activation loops. These results reveal a phospho-signaling pathway from CERK1-mediated pathogen recognition to the MAPK activation. Our study shows that PBL27 is the molecular element linking the cell surface chitin receptor and the intracellular MAPK cascade.

### Results

**MAPKK5 regulates chitin-induced MAPK activation**

CERK1 phosphorylates PBL27 in a ligand-dependent manner, and the pbl27 mutation compromises chitin-induced MAPK activation (Shinya et al., 2014). These results raised the possibility that PBL27 directly transmits CERK1 activation to MAPKks, the starting molecules of the MAPK cascade. We carried out yeast two-hybrid assay to examine the interaction between PBL27 with 21 Arabidopsis MAPKks belonging to the MEKK subfamily (Fig EV1A) (Jonák et al., 2002), because some members of this subfamily have been reported to be involved in immunity (Rasmussen et al., 2012). PBL27 interacted strongly with MAPKK5 (At5g66850) and weakly with MAPKK3 (At1g3570) (Fig EV1B). We chose MAPKK5 for further experiment, because the mapkk3 mutation did not affect chitin-induced MAPK activation (Appendix Fig S1).

MAPKK5 contains a central kinase domain, whereas the N- and C-terminal domains did not possess any known motifs (Fig 1A). Yeast two-hybrid experiments indicated that PBL27 interacted with the C-terminal domain of MAPKK5, but not the other domains or the full-length protein (Fig 1B and Appendix Fig S2). MAPKK5 did not interact with related RLCKs, PBL1, BIK1, and PBS1 (Appendix Fig S3). Expression of MAPKK5 was induced by chitin ((GlcNAc)7) (Fig EV2A). However, the expression level was very low, because a large number of PCR cycles were required to detect the transcripts. This result was consistent with the publicly available Arabidopsis eFP Browser microarray database (http://www.bar.utoronto.ca/efp/cgi-bin/efpWeb.cgi) showing extremely low expression levels of MAPKK5 in most of Arabidopsis tissues.

To address whether MAPKK5 is involved in chitin-induced MAPK activation, we prepared two homozygous lines of mapkk5 mutants, mapkk5-1 (SAIL_1219_E11) and mapkk5-2 (SALK_122847) (Fig EV2B). Transcripts of MAPKK5 were not detected in these two mutants by semiquantitative RT–PCR (Fig EV2C). These mapkk5 mutants were morphologically similar to wild type (Fig EV2D).

We treated these mapkk5 mutants with chitin and analyzed the MAPK activation by immunoblot with α-MAKP. The chitin-induced activation of MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6 was strongly reduced in both mutants (Fig 1C). Furthermore, the loss of the MAPK activation was complemented by expressing C-terminally FLAG-tagged MAPKK5 from its native promoter (Fig 1D and Appendix Fig S4A). However,
the MAPKK5-FLAG protein could not be detected by immunoblot with α-FLAG. Therefore, we also produced transgenic mapkk5 plants carrying pMAPKK5::MAPKK5-FLAG (Appendix Fig S4B). Expression of MAPKK5-GFP also complemented the mapkk5 phenotype (Appendix Fig S4C). However, we failed to detect the MAPKK5-GFP protein by immunoblot with α-GFP, even though the protein was enriched by immunoprecipitation with α-GFP from large amounts of tissue samples. The GFP fluorescence was also undetectable in the plants. These results suggested that the protein level of MAPKK5 is very low in the cells, although the level is enough for its in vivo biological function.

To examine whether MAPKK5 is involved in flg22-induced MAPK activation, we treated the mapkk5 mutants with flg22. We observed enhanced activation of these MAPKs in the mapkk5 mutants (Fig 1E), suggesting that MAPKK5 may negatively regulate flg22-induced MAPK activation.

**mapkk5 mutants compromise immune responses**

We investigated chitin-induced immune responses and disease resistance in the mapkk5 mutants. Chitin-induced callose deposition was significantly reduced in the mapkk5 mutants (Fig 2A). The mapkk5 mutation did not influence ROS production (Fig 2B), consistent with the pbl27 mutants (Shinya et al, 2014). As reported previously, lyk5, cerc1, and pbl27 mutations reduce resistance to the fungal pathogen Alternaria brassicicola (Miya et al, 2007; Cao et al, 2014; Shinya et al, 2014). The mapkk5 mutants were inoculated with A. brassicicola, and the disease lesions were evaluated compared with wild-type plants. The mapkk5 mutants developed larger disease lesions than the wild type, as also observed in the pbl27-1 mutant (Fig 2C).

To understand MAPKK5-mediated transcriptional regulation, we carried out global transcriptional profiling by RNA-seq analysis. Totally 12,992 genes were significantly up- or downregulated in wild type at 3 h after chitin treatment (q-value < 0.05: Fig 2D). Among them, the expression patterns of 656 genes were identified in the scatter plot (Fig 2D). Motif enrichment analysis using 319 genes showing reduced induction or suppression of gene expression in mapkk5 identified the cis elements for WRKY, NAC and heat-shock transcription factors (Appendix Fig S5). Among 6,380 genes whose expression was induced or suppressed more than twofold in wild type by chitin, 339 genes showed reduced induction or suppression in mapkk5 compared with wild type (Fig 2E and Table EV1), which was confirmed by quantitative RT–PCR analysis of selected genes (Fig 2F). These results indicate that MAPKK5 regulates chitin-triggered transcriptional reprogramming.

![Figure 1](Image 185x479 to 218x560)

**Figure 1.** MAPKK5 regulates chitin-induced MAPK activation.

A Schematic diagram of MAPKK5 constructs. N: N-terminal domain, KD: kinase domain, C: C-terminal domain.

B MAPKK5-C interacts with PBL27 in yeast two-hybrid experiments. The growth of yeast colonies on plates (-ULWH) lacking uracil (U), leucine (L), tryptophan (W), and histidine (H) with 2 mM 3-amino triazole (3-AT) indicates a positive interaction.

C Chitin-induced MAPK activation was analyzed by immunoblots with α-pMAPK.

D Complementation of chitin-induced MAPK activation in mapkk5 mutants by expression of MAPKK5-FLAG. MAPK activation was analyzed by immunoblots with α-pMAPK.

E Flg22-induced MAPK activation was analyzed by immunoblots with α-pMAPK.

Data information: Experiments (B–E) were performed three times with similar results.
Figure 2.
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Figure 2. MAPKKK5-mediated immune response and disease resistance.

A Chitin-induced callose deposition in the mapkhh5 mutants. Seedlings were analyzed at 18 h after treatment with 10 μM chitin. Representative pictures from the biological replicates are presented. Data are means ± SD from three independent biological replicates, where each biological replicate consists of two technical replicates. The asterisks indicate statistically significant differences from the WT controls by Student’s t-test (P < 0.05).

B Leaf disks were treated with 10 μM (GlcNAc)2 in a solution containing 500 μM L-012 and 10 μg/ml horseradish peroxidase. ROS production was quantified using a luminolene microplate reader. Data are means ± SD calculated using three biological replicates, where each biological replicate consisted of two technical replicates.

C mapkhh5 mutations reduce resistance to Alternaria brassicicola. Lesion sizes were measured 6 days after inoculation. Values are mean ± SEM, n ≥ 9. Asterisks indicate significant difference from wild-type controls by Student’s t-test (P < 0.01).

D Analysis of chitin-induced transcriptional reprogramming in mapkhh5-1. Total RNA was extracted from seedlings treated with mock or 40 μM chitin for 3 h and analyzed by RNA-seq. Genes that are significantly induced or suppressed by chitin in the wild type were selected (q-value < 0.05; 12,992 genes). The log2 fold changes in the selected genes compared with mock in the wild type and mapkhh5-1 were plotted. Yellow and blue dots indicate genes that show reduced induction or suppression (506 genes) or enhanced induction or suppression (151 genes) in mapkhh5-1 compared with the wild type, respectively. The slope of the linear regression line (red) indicates that the overall transcriptional response is weaker in mapkhh5-1 compared with the wild type. As a comparison, the line y = x (black) is shown. For more details, see the Materials and Methods.

E A heatmap showing MAPKKK5-dependent genes. Genes showing reduced induction or suppression in mapkhh5-1 compared with the wild type were selected as described in the Materials and Methods (339 genes). The log2 fold changes in the selected genes compared with mock were subjected to hierarchical clustering analysis. Yellow indicates positive values, blue indicates negative values, and black indicates zero: see the color scale.

F Expression patterns of representative MAPKKK5-dependent genes. qRT-PCR analysis of defense-related genes in 8-day-old seedlings exposed to 40 μM (GlcNAc), for 3 h. Data are shown as the average of three independent biological replicates ± SD. The asterisks indicate statistically significant differences from the WT controls by Student’s t-test (P < 0.05).

PBL27 interacts with MAPKKK5 in planta

Because the MAPKKK5 proteins could not be detected in Arabidopsis plants, we transiently expressed MAPKKK5-GFP under the control of native or CaMV33S promoters in Nicotiana benthamiana (Nb). The expression of MAPKKK5-GFP induced cell death in Nb leaves (Fig EV3A). Cell death induced by p35S::MAPKKK5-GFP appeared earlier compared with that of pMAPKKK5::MAPKKK5-GFP. The MAPKKK5 proteins were detected by immunoblots with α-GFP using total protein purified from leaves expressing MAPKKK5-GFP under the control of the CaMV33S promoter but not the native promoter before the appearance of the cell death (Fig EV3B). To analyze the subcellular localization of MAPKKK5, we expressed MAPKKK5-GFP in Nb leaves and purified soluble and microsomal fractions. MAPKKK5-GFP was detected in both the microsomal and soluble fractions (Fig 3A). Consistent with this result, the plasmolysis experiment indicated the GFP fluorescence of MAPKKK5 was detected at cytosol and plasma membrane (PM) in Nb leaves (Fig 3B).

To examine the in vivo interaction between PBL27 and MAPKKK5, PBL27-HA and MAPKKK5-GFP were co-expressed in Nb leaves. However, the accumulation level of the PBL27-HA protein was very low in the presence of MAPKKK5-GFP (Fig 3C). Therefore, we generated a kinase-inactive mutant MAPKKK5K375M by substitution of lysine at position 375 with methionine. K375 is a conserved domain of Venus (PBL27-Vc). A FLS2-associated RLCK, BIK1, was also used in this experiment. Co-expression of MAPKKK5K375M-Vn and PBL27-Vc did not induce cell death in Nb leaves, whereas cell death was observed by expression of MAPKKK5-Vn and PBL27-Vc (Fig EV3D). The fluorescence was detected by co-expression of MAPKKK5K375M-Vn with PBL27-Vc but not BIK1-Vc (Fig 3F). In addition, the plasmolysis experiment indicated that the fluorescence was localized at the PM, demonstrating that PBL27 interacts with MAPKKK5 at the PM where CERK1 and PBL27 form the complex (Shinya et al, 2014).

The interaction between MAPKKK5 and PBL27 was also analyzed by transient expression in Arabidopsis protoplasts. The accumulation level of MAPKKK5K375M-GFP was much higher than that of MAPKKK5-GFP (Fig 4A). In addition, expression of MAPKKK5-GFP reduced the level of PBL27-HA (Fig 4B), as found in Nb leaves (Fig 3C). Treatment with a proteasome inhibitor MG132 resulted in increased protein levels of PBL27 and MAPKKK5 (Fig 4C), suggesting that the protein levels of PBL27 and MAPKKK5 may be regulated in a proteasome-dependent manner.

MAPKKK5K375M-GFP co-immunoprecipitated with PBL27-HA (Fig 4D). Furthermore, the BiFC experiments displayed the interaction of MAPKKK5K375M with PBL27 but not BIK1 at the PM in Arabidopsis protoplasts (Fig 4E), indicating that PBL27 forms the complex with MAPKKK5 at PM. Furthermore, we examined whether the complex formation was affected by chitin signaling. The chitin elicitation induced the disassociation of PBL27-HA and MAPKKK5K375M-GFP interaction (Fig 4F). However, the disassociation did not occur by treatment with flg22. These data suggest that MAPKKK5 may be released from PBL27 after ligand perception by the CERK1 complex.

PBL27 directly phosphorylates MAPKKK5

To analyze whether PBL27 phosphorylates MAPKKK5, we carried out in vitro kinase assay. PBL27 directly phosphorylated only the C-terminal domain of MAPKKK5 (Fig 5A). In contrast, none of the MAPKKK5 fragments were phosphorylated by BIK1 (Fig 5B), whereas BIK1 phosphorylated the N-terminal domain of RBOHD as
previously reported (Kadota et al., 2014). To determine the amino acid residues of MAPKKK5 phosphorylated by PBL27, the C-terminal domain of MAPKKK5 was phosphorylated in vitro by PBL27, and subjected to liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. We identified a total of six amino acid residues, S-617, S-622, S-658, S-660, T-677, and S-685, which were phosphorylated by PBL27. To examine the phosphorylation level of each amino acid residue by PBL27, each of the six residues was substituted with alanine. The in vitro kinase assay indicated that the phosphorylation level by PBL27 was strongly decreased by the S622A mutation, although other mutations, except for T677A, slightly reduced phosphorylation (Fig 5D). In addition, all of the phosphorylated sites were substituted with alanine, and the resultant protein (MAPKKK5-C6xA) was used for in vitro kinase assays.

The phosphorylation of MAPKKK5-C by PBL27 was almost completely lost by these substitutions (Fig 5E), although these alanine substitutions did not affect the interaction between PBL27 and MAPKKK5-C (Fig 5F and Appendix Fig S2).

To elucidate whether the six residues phosphorylated by PBL27 is required for chitin-induced MAPK activation, we introduced pMAPKKK5::MAPKKK56xA-FLAG into the mapkkk5 mutant. We also produced transgenic plants expressing MAPKKK56xA-GFP. Consistent with the results of the pMAPKKK5::MAPKKK56xA-FLAG plants, expression of MAPKKK56xA-GFP only partially complemented the chitin-induced
activation of MPK3, MPK4 and MPK6 (Appendix Fig S7B). Although the MAPKKK5K375M-GFP protein was unable to be detected from the transgenic plants, *Arabidopsis* protoplast expression transient assay indicated that the protein levels of MAPKKK5 were not affected by these alanine substitutions (Fig 6C), and MAPKKK5K375M interacted with PBL27, but not BIK1 at PM (Fig 6D). Furthermore, same results
Figure 5. PBL27 phosphorylates the C-terminal domain of MAPKKK5.
A PBL27 phosphorylates MAPKKK5-C in vitro. The in vitro phosphorylation reaction was carried out using [\(^{32}\)P]γ-ATP, and the phosphorylated proteins were detected by autoradiography. CBB, Coomassie brilliant blue.
B BIK1 does not phosphorylate MAPKKK5-C in vitro. RBOHD was used as a positive control. Asterisk indicates artificial bands.
C Identification of amino acid residues of MAPKKK5 phosphorylated by PBL27 in vitro. The C-terminal domain of MAPKKK5 was phosphorylated by PBL27 in vitro and subjected to LS-MS/MS analysis. All MS/MS spectra of phosphorylated peptides were validated manually. The amino acid residues phosphorylated by PBL27 are shown in red.
D The S622A mutation strongly reduces phosphorylation by PBL27. Each phosphorylation site was substituted by alanine and subjected to the in vitro phosphorylation assays.
E PBL27 does not phosphorylate MAPKKK5-C<sup>617A</sup> in vitro. The in vitro phosphorylation reaction was carried out using [\(^{32}\)P]γ-ATP, and the phosphorylated proteins were detected by autoradiography.
F PBL27 interacts with MAPKKK5-C<sup>617A</sup> in yeast two-hybrid experiments. The growth of yeast colonies on plates (-ULWH) lacking uracil (U), leucine (L), tryptophan (W), and histidine (H) with 10 mM 3-AT indicated a positive interaction.
were also obtained by agroinfiltration assay using the Nb leaves (Fig EV4). Thus, it is unlikely that the defects in MAPK activation and callose deposition in the pMAPKKK5::MAPKKK56xA-FLAG or p35S-MAPKKK5K375M plants are caused by mislocalization and low protein stability of MAPKKK56xA. These data indicate that the phosphorylation of MAPKKK5 at the mutated six residues by PBL27 is essential for MAPKKK5-mediated activation of MPK3, MPK4 and MPK6.

Identification of a possible phospho-signaling pathway of chitin-induced MAPK activation

During a study of the interaction between PBL27 and MAPKKK5, we found that PBL27 did not interact with MAPKKK5-KDK375M (293–716aa) in yeast (Figs 1A and 7A, and Appendix Fig S2). PBL27 hardly phosphorylated MAPKKK5-KDK375M:C (Fig 7B, lane 6). In contrast, PBL27 did not phosphorylate MAPKKK5-KDK375M:6xA even in the presence of CERK1:IC (Fig 7B, lane 5). CERK1:IC did not directly phosphorylate MAPKKK5:C (Fig 7C). These results suggest that PBL27-mediated phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain but not the kinase domain of MAPKKK5 is dependent on CERK1.

Previous studies indicate that the RLKs such as BAK1 and OsCERK1 phosphorylate Ser and/or Thr residues conserved in the activation loops of the RLCK family (Lu et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Yamaguchi et al., 2013). To test whether CERK1 phosphorylates the corresponding residues S-244, T-245, and S-250 at the activation loop of PBL27, these residues of kinase-inactive PBL27K112E (Shinya et al., 2014) were substituted with alanine, and the resultant protein (PBL27K112E, 3xA) was used for in vitro kinase assay (Fig 7D). The phosphorylation of PBL27 by CERK1 was greatly reduced by these substitutions. Because phosphorylation of the activation loop of protein kinases is known to determine substrate access (Huse & Kuriyan, 2002), it is possible that the phosphorylation of these residues by CERK1 may increase the access of PBL27 to MAPKKK5. However, the fact that PBL27 with the alanine substitutions of these residues lost the own kinase activity (Fig 7E) precluded testing whether the phosphorylation at these residues is responsible for enhanced phosphorylation of MAPKKK5 by PBL27. Taken together, it is likely that phosphorylation of PBL27 by CERK1 positively regulates the phosphorylation of MAPKKK5 by PBL27.

MKK4 and MKK5 redundantly function as MAPKKs for MPK3 and MPK6, and MKK1 and MKK2 act upstream of MPK4 (Rasmussen et al., 2012). The fact that chitin-induced activation of MPK3, MPK6, and MPK4 was reduced in mapkk5 suggests a...
The possibility that MAPKK5 may interact with all of MKK1, MKK2, MKK4, and MKK5. We carried out BiFC assay using Arabidopsis protoplasts to examine in vivo interaction between MAPKK5-K375M and these MAPKKs. The BiFC signals indicated that MAPKK5 interacts with MKK2, MKK4, and MKK5 mainly in the cytosol (Fig 8A), although the interaction between MAPKK5 and MKK1 was not detected.

The in vitro kinase assays were carried out using the kinase-inactive mutants of these MAPKKs. The kinase domain of MAPKK5 directly phosphorylated MKK4K108R and MKK5K99R (Fig 8B). In the in vitro experimental condition, the phosphorylation level of MKK5K99R by MAPKK5-KD was much higher than that of MKK4K108R, and MAPKK5-KD has a low autophosphorylation activity compared with the transphosphorylation of MKK4 and MKK5. In general, MAPKKs are known to be activated through the phosphorylation of two Ser/Thr residues, in a conserved S/TxxxxxS/T motif of the activation loop, by MAPKKKs (Ichimura et al., 2002). Therefore, we substituted the S/TxxxxxS/T motif residues, T-224/S-230 of MKK4 and T-215/S-221 of MKK5 with alanine. The phosphorylation of MKK4 and MKK5 by MAPKK5-KD was greatly reduced by the alanine substitutions of the S/TxxxxxS/T motifs (Fig 8C), suggesting that MAPKK5 is involved in activation.

Figure 7. PBL27 phosphorylates MAPKK5-KD:C in CERK1-dependent manner.

A PBL27 does not interact with MAPKK5-KD:C in a yeast two-hybrid assay.

B Addition of CERK1 to an in vitro kinase assay enhances phosphorylation of MAPKK5-KD:C by PBL27. Asterisks indicate artificial bands derived from CERK1:IC.

C CERK1 does not phosphorylate the C-terminal domain of MAPKK5 in vitro. The in vitro kinase assay was performed with recombinant proteins of GST-PBL27, MAPKK5-C and the intracellular kinase domain of CERK1 (GST-CERK1:IC). The protein loading control was shown by staining with Coomassie brilliant blue. The in vitro phosphorylation reaction was carried out using [32P]-ATP, and the phosphorylated proteins were detected by autoradiography. Asterisks indicate artificial bands.

D The alanine substitution mutations at the residues conserved in the activation loop of reduces the phosphorylation of PBL27 by CERK1:IC. The in vitro kinase assay was carried out with recombinant proteins of GST-PBL27K112E, GST-PBL27K112E, 3xA, and CERK1:IC.

E The kinase activity of GST-PBL273xA was analyzed by the in vitro kinase assay using [32P]-ATP.
of MKK4 and MKK5. In contrast to MKK4 and MKK5, MAPKKK5-KD did not phosphorylate MKK1<sup>K97R</sup> (Fig EV5A). Although MKK2<sup>K99R</sup> was slightly phosphorylated by MAPKKK5-KD, the alanine substitution of the S/TxxxxxS/T motif did not influence the phosphorylation level of MKK2<sup>K99R</sup> (Fig EV5B and C). Thus, the in vitro phosphorylation assay did not support the idea that MAPKKK5

Figure 8. MAPKKK5 phosphorylates the activation loops of MKK4 and MKK5.

A Visualization of interaction between MAPKKK5<sup>K375M</sup> and MKK1/MKK2/MKK4/MKK5 by BiFC analysis in Arabidopsis protoplasts. Venus fluorescence indicates interaction. Scale bars = 10 µm.

B MAPKKK5-KD phosphorylates MKK4 and MKK5 in vitro. The in vitro phosphorylation reaction was carried out using [γ-<sup>32</sup>P]ATP, and the phosphorylated proteins were detected by autoradiography.

C MAPKKK5-KD does not phosphorylate a T224A/S230A mutant of MKK4 or a T215A/S221A mutant of MKK5.

Data information: The above experiments were performed three times with similar results.
regulates activation of MKK1 and MKK2. Altogether, these results suggested at least a possible phospho-signaling pathway consisting of CERK1–PBL27–MAPKKK5–MKK4/MKK5–MPK3/MPK6 (Appendix Fig S8).

Discussion

Plant MAPK cascades have been widely investigated and they have been shown to be activated upon the perception of MAMPs by many PRRs. MAPKs function as pivotal signaling modules to regulate diverse immune responses. Nevertheless, it has not been established how PRRs transmit their activation signals to MAPK cascades (Rasmussen et al., 2012). In this study, we discovered that the RLCK PBL27 functions as the MAPKKK kinase to connect activation of the chitin receptor complex CERK1-LYK5 to the canonical MAPK cascade.

The Co-IP and BiFC experiments showed that MAPKKK5 interacts with PBL27 at PM. However, the interaction with full-length MAPKKK5 could not be observed in the yeast two-hybrid and in vitro experiments; instead, only the C-terminal domain of MAPKKK5 interacts with PBL27. The discrepancy may be explained by the possibility that additional components such as scaffold proteins may be required for the complex formation of PBL27 and MAPKKK5. In addition, the in vitro kinase assay indicates that the phosphorylation of MAPKKK5 by PBL27 was strongly enhanced in the presence of CERK1, implying that the phosphorylation of the activation loop of PBL27 by CERK1 may enhance the access of PBL27 to the C-terminal domain of MAPKKK5 in the complex.

The present data obtained by the in vitro phosphorylation and in vivo interaction assays, and the genetic experiments with the alanine substitution mutants indicate the phosphorylation of MAPKKK5 by PBL27 in a CERK1-dependent manner. To gain direct evidence for the phosphorylation in vivo, we carried out phosphoproteome analysis by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry to quantitatively examine the chitin-induced in vivo phosphorylation levels of MAPKKK5. However, we failed it because of technical difficulty caused by extremely low expression of MAPKKK5 in plants. On the other hand, the chitin perception induced the disassociation of PBL27 and MAPKKK5, demonstrating a sequential in vivo event in the physiological signaling pathway of CERK1-PBL27-MAPKKK5.

Three RLCKs, BIK1, PBL1, and BSK1, are downstream components of the flagellin receptor complex FLS2/BAK1 (Lu et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2013). However, the bki1, pbl1, and bsk1 mutations did not influence MAPK activation. In contrast, FLS2-mediated MAPK activation is suppressed by Xanthomonas campestris effector AvrAC that inhibits the activities of RLCKs by uridylylating conserved phosphorylation sites in the activation loop (Feng et al., 2012), suggesting that unidentified RLCKs targeted by AvrAC may transmit the signal from FLS2 to these MAPKs. Very recently, the bki1 pbl1 double mutant has been reported to reduce the MAPK activation induced by the perception of the endogenous PROPEP peptides with the LRR-RLKs PEPR1/PEPR2 (Yamada et al., 2016). These data suggest that the RLCK family may be the main linking elements between cell surface receptors and intercellular MAPK signaling.

In response to FLS2-mediated ligand perception, BIK1 phosphorylates NADPH oxidase RBOH, leading to the production of ROS (Ao et al., 2014; Kadota et al., 2014). However, as mentioned above, BIK1 is not involved in MAPK activation downstream of FLS2 (Feng et al., 2012). In contrast, PBL27 regulates MAPK activation though the phosphorylation of MAPKKK5, but not ROS production (Shinya et al., 2014). Chitin-induced ROS production is regulated by the phosphorylation of RBOH by BIK1 in the same way as FLS2-mediated signaling (Kadota et al., 2014). Thus, it appears that MAPK activation and ROS production induced by chitin in rice (Yamaguchi et al., 2013). How the specificities of interactions between RLCK and MAPKKK or between RLCK and RBOH are determined is an intriguing question.

Arabidopsis heterotrimeric G-protein complexes and receptor for activated C kinase 1 (RACK1) were reported to participate in activation of the MAPK cascade induced by pathogen-secreted proteases (Cheng et al., 2015), although the receptors for the proteases are unknown. However, FLS2-mediated MAPK activation does not involve the heterotrimeric G-protein and RACK1 (Cheng et al., 2015), demonstrating at least two different pathways of the MAPK activation downstream of PRRs.

Chitin-induced MAPK activation was strongly reduced in mapkkk5. In contrast, the mapkkk5 mutation significantly enhanced flg22-induced MAPK activation. While it shows that MAPKKK5 functions as a positive regulator specifically during chitin perception, it suggests that MAPKK5 might have different roles on other pathways. Recently, MAPKKK7 has been reported to negatively regulate MPK6 during flg22 signaling (Mitohoe et al., 2016). Thus, it is possible that MAPKKK5 possesses a similar negative function as MAPKKK7 in flg22-induced pathway. In turn, the enhanced MPK activation could also be part of a compensation mechanism, where the absence of MAPKKK5 somehow increases the abundance/access of other MAPKKKs that activate MPKs during flg22 signaling. Similarly, this could be caused by enhanced levels of the components of flg22-recognition receptor such as FLS2 and BAK1 in mapkkk5 mutant as found in acd6 mutant (Tateda et al., 2014).

Overexpression of MAPKKK5 induces cell death in Nb leaves. As mentioned above, since MAPKKK5 may negatively regulate flg22-induced MAPK activation, it is possible that cell death induced by overexpression of MAPKKK5 would be caused by inhibition of flg22-induced MAPK activation which would trigger the activation of NB-LRR-type disease resistance proteins guarding components of the MAPK cascades such as the NB-LRR protein SUMM2 sensing the MPK4 activity (Zhang et al., 2012).

Chitin-induced MPK4 activation was also reduced in mapkkk5. Although MPK4 is known to be activated through MKK1 and MKK2, we could not obtain the evidence that MAPKKK5 regulates MPK4 activation through MKK1 and MKK2. In the flg22 response, it has been well investigated that MEKK1 activates the MKK1/MKK2–MPK4 pathway (Meng & Zhang, 2013). Although whether MEKK1 is involved in chitin signaling is unknown, it is possible that MAPKKK5 may cooperate with MEKK1 in chitin signaling. Additionally, there may be a possibility that a MAPKK other than MKK1/2 could phosphorylate MPK4 during chitin signaling.

In addition to immunity, plant development and growth are also regulated by the MAP kinase cascades activated by the perception of ROS.
hormones and secreted peptides by cell surface RLKs (Meng et al., 2015). It is possible that the RLCK family connects receptors and MAPK cascades in developmental processes. In fact, recent genetic experiments on plant embryogenesis indicated that the Arabidopsis RLCK SSP regulates MAPK activation mediated by the MAPKKK YODA (Bayer et al., 2009; Costa et al., 2014). Further study is required to reveal the molecular basis of how this broad diversity of cell surface receptors is tied to what is likely to be a redundant set of diverse RLCKs, and how these engage the broad diversity of MAPKKKs in plants to mediate MAPK cascade activation in plant immunity and development.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

Arabidopsis plants were grown in a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle at 22°C (light) or 20°C (dark). Two MAPKKK5 T-DNA insertion mutants in a Col-0 background were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center and designated as mapkkk5-1 (SAIL_1219_E11) and mapkkk5-2 (SALK_122847), respectively. pbl27-1 (GABI_01C07) was described previously (Shinya et al., 2014). The promoter region of MAPKKK5 was amplified from genomic DNA using specific primers (Appendix Table S1). The promoter region was combined with the open reading frame of MAPKKK5, and then the resultant fragment (pMAPKKK5::MAPKKK5) was subcloned into a pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). The pMAPKKK5::MAPKKK5 DNA fragment was transferred into the binary vector pGWB5 or pGWB4 (Nakagawa et al., 2007) to generate a construct for the expression of MAPKKK5-FLAG or MAPKKK5-GFP, respectively, under the control of the native MAPKKK5 promoter (pMAPKKK5) in Arabidopsis. To generate transgenic Arabidopsis plants, immature floral buds of Arabidopsis plants were infected with Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA101 carrying each construct using the floral-dip method (Clough & Bent, 1998).

Plasmid constructs

DNA fragments of MAPKKK5, PBL27, MKK4, and MKK5 were PCR-amplified using gene-specific primers (Appendix Table S1) and ligated into a pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). Point mutations in MAPKKK5, MKK4, and MKK5 were generated by PCR-mediated substitution of the sequences encoding the corresponding amino acid residues. A kinase-inactive mutant, MAPKKK5K375M, was made by replacing Lys-375 in MAPKKK5 with Met. The kinase-inactive mutants, MKK1K97R and MKK2K99R, were made by replacing Lys-97 in MKK1 and Lys-99 in MKK2, respectively, with Arg. The kinase-inactive mutants, MKK4K108R and MKK5K99R, were made by replacing Thr-215 and Ser-221 in MKK5 with Ala using a full-length cDNA of MKK2K99R as the template. MKK4K108R, T242A, S231A was made by replacing Thr-224 and Ser-230 in MKK4 with Ala using a full-length cDNA of MKK4K108R as the template. MKK5K99R, T242A, S231A was made by replacing Thr-224 and Ser-235 in MKK2 with Ala using a full-length cDNA of MKK2K99R as the template. For transient expression in Nb leaves, the MAPKKK5 and PBL27 coding regions in pENTR/D-TOPO were transferred into the pGWB5 and pGWB14, respectively (Nakagawa et al., 2007). For transient expression in Arabidopsis protoplasts, the MAPKKK5 and PBL27 coding regions in pENTR/D-TOPO were transferred into the p35S-GW-GFP and p35S-GW-3xHA vectors, respectively ( Yamaguchi et al., 2012).

Chitin-induced immune assay

Arabidopsis seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated in MGRL medium (Naito et al., 1994) containing 0.1% agarose and 1% sucrose. Seedlings were grown for 8 days in a 16 h light/ 8 h dark cycle at 22°C (light) or 20°C (dark). The seedlings were pre-incubated in liquid MGRL medium for 3 h and then treated with 10 μM chitin (GlcNAc2). The experiments for MAPK activation and callose deposition were carried out as described previously (Shinya et al., 2014). The MAPK activation was determined by immunoblotting with anti-phospho-p44/42 MAPK antibody (Cell Signaling #4370).

Pathology assays

Arabidopsis plants were grown in soil for 28–30 days in a growth chamber at 22°C in a 12 h light–dark cycle. Plants were inoculated with 5 μl drops of a spore suspension (7–8 × 10^5 spores/ml in distilled water) of Alternaria brassicicola (isolate O-264) (Narusaka et al., 2005). The inoculated plants were placed in a growth chamber at 22°C with a 12 h light–dark cycle and maintained at 100% relative humidity. Lesion sizes were measured at 6 days after inoculation. Control plants were treated with distilled water.

Yeast two-hybrid assays

DNA fragments of PBL27 and MAPKKK5 coding regions were transferred into vectors pBTM116 (bait vector) and pVP16 (prey vector). The yeast two-hybrid interaction was analyzed based on the requirement for histidine for yeast growth as described previously (Ishikawa et al., 2014). To analyze the protein levels in yeast, yeast cells were resuspended in 100 μl PBS buffer (140 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 6.5 mM Na2HPO4, 1.5 mM KH2PO4 pH 7.4), then 20 μl of SDS sample buffer (350 mM Tris–HCl (pH 6.8), 10% SDS, 3% glycerol, 600 mM DTT, 0.012% bromophenol blue) were added, and incubated at 95°C for 10 min. Proteins were detected by immunoblots with α-VP16 or α-LexA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-7546 or sc-7544) using a ImageQuant LAS4000 (GE Healthcare).

RNA-seq analysis and quantitative RT–PCR

Total RNA was isolated from 8-day-old seedlings harvested at 3 h after treatment with mock or chitin using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and treated with DNase I (Roche). Three independent experiments were performed. RNA libraries were prepared from 1 μg of total RNA using NEBNext Ultra™ Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs) and sequenced on a HiSeq2500 (Illumina) system at the Max Planck-Genome-centre Cologne (http://mpgc.mpipz.mpg.de/home/). Reads were mapped to the Arabidopsis genome (tair10) using the splice-aware read aligner TopHat and transformed into a count per gene per library by using
HTSeq (Anders et al., 2015). The RNA-seq data used in this study are deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus database (accession no. GSE74955).

Differentially regulated genes (DRGs; q-value < 0.05) in chitin-treated wild type compared with mock were selected (12,992 genes). DRGs showing reduced induction or suppression (506 genes) or enhanced induction or suppression (151 genes) in mapkkk5 compared with the wild type (the absolute difference > log2 0.5) were selected and shown in the plot as yellow or blue dots, respectively. For the clustering analysis, DRGs that were induced or suppressed more than twofold in wild type compared with mock and that showed reduced induction or suppression in mapkkk5 compared with wild type (the difference > log2 0.5) were selected (339 genes). The heatmap was generated by CLUSTER (Eisen et al., 1998) using uncentered Pearson’s correlation and complete linkage and visualized by TREEVIEW (Eisen et al., 1998).

The statistical analysis of the RNA-seq data was performed in the R environment. Genes with fewer than 120 reads in the sum of all libraries (10 reads per library in average) were discarded. The count data of the remaining genes was normalized with normalization factors calculated by the function calcNormFactors in the package edgeR and log-transformed by the function voom in the package limma. The data of the remaining genes was normalized with normalization libraries (10 reads per library in average) were discarded. The count data of the remaining genes was normalized with normalization factors calculated by the function calcNormFactors in the package edgeR and log-transformed by the function voom in the package limma to yield log2 counts per million. To each gene, a linear model was fit by using the function lmFit in the limma package with the following terms: \( S = GT_g + R_\epsilon + e_{GS} \), where \( S \), log expression value, GT, genotype:treatment interaction, and random factors; R, biological replicate; \( \epsilon \), residual. The eBayes function in the limma package was used for variance shrinkage in calculation of the \( P \)-values, which was then used to calculate the Storey’s \( q \)-values using the qvalue function in the qvalue package.

For the cis element enrichment analysis, DRGs that showed reduced induction in mapkkk5 compared with wild type (the difference > log2 0.5) were selected (319 genes). The 1,000 bp upstream of the transcription start sites of the selected genes was tested for enrichment of the known cis elements (Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2014) against those of the whole Arabidopsis genes using AME (McLeay & Bailey, 2010).

Expression levels were quantified by qRT–PCR using SYBR Green master mix (Applied Biosystems) in a Step-One Plus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) and normalized against Actin2 (At2g18780). Three biological replicates were used for each experiment, and two quantitative replicates were performed for each biological replicate.

**Transient expression in plant cells**

For transient expression in Nb leaves, the expression vectors were transformed into A. tumefaciens. The transformed A. tumefaciens in buffer containing 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM 2-(N-morpholine)-ethanesulfonic acid (MES)-NaOH, pH 5.6, and 150 \( \mu \)M acetoxyringone was syringe-infiltrated into Nb leaves as reported previously (Yamaguchi et al., 2012). For transient expression in Arabidopsis protoplasts, protoplasts were isolated from 3- to 4-week-old Arabidopsis leaves as reported previously (Wu et al., 2009). The protoplasts were transfected with the indicated plasmids according to the detail protocol previously described (Yoo et al., 2007). The protoplasts were collected 18 h after transfection and subjected to co-immunoprecipitation and BiFC analyses. The BiFC analyses were carried out as reported previously (Yamaguchi et al., 2013; Shinya et al., 2014).

**Protein analysis**

Nb leaves were ground in liquid nitrogen and resuspended in extraction buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 5 mM DTT, 2.5 mM NaF, 1.5 mM Na3VO4, 1× complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), and 2% (v/v) IGEPAL CA-630 (MP Biomedicals)). The supernatant was incubated with anti-GFP-Trap beads (Chromotek) or anti-HA magnetic beads (Millenyi Biotec). The beads were washed four times with the extraction buffer and resuspended in an equal volume of 2× SDS sample buffer. Co-immunoprecipitated proteins were analyzed by immunoblots with anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, ab6556) or anti-HA antibody (Sigma, 11-867-423-001). To purify the microsomal fraction, tissue was homogenized on ice with extraction buffer 1 (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 0.33 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 0.1% 2-Me-OH). Crude protein extracts were centrifuged at 2,000 g to remove cell debris, and then the supernatants were centrifuged at 113,500 g for 1 h to pellet microsomal fractions. The supernatants were used as the soluble proteins. Pellets were suspended in extraction buffer 2 [50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 5 mM DTT, 2.5 mM NaF, 1.5 mM Na3VO4, 1× complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and 1% (v/v) IGEPAL CA-630 (MP Biomedicals)] and used as the microsomal proteins for this work. α-PIP1 (Cosmo Bio, COP-088) and α-EAP1 (Shikawa et al., 1996) were used as PM and cytosolic markers, respectively.

**In vitro kinase activity assays**

The recombinant proteins were expressed using a cold-shock bacterial expression system (Takara) and purified using glutathione sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) and eluted using 50 mM glutathione. The kinase activity assay was performed in 40 µl of reaction mixture containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 10 mM MgCl2, 100 µM ATP, 1 mM DTT, 1 µg kinase, and 1 µg substrate. The assay was initiated by adding 0.4 µl of (4 µCi) \( ^{32}P \) 5-ATP, and the reaction mixture was incubated for 1 h at 25°C. The reaction was terminated by the addition of Laemmli loading buffer and subsequent incubation at room temperature for 10 min. Samples were separated by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The \( ^{32}P \)-labeled bands were detected using an FLA-7000 imaging analyzer (FujiFilm) and Multi gauge version 3.0 software (FujiFilm).

**Identification of phosphorylated amino acid residues**

Protein bands were excised from gels stained with Flamingo (Bio-Rad) and subjected to in-gel digestion with trypsin (Fujifilm) and Multi gauge version 3.0 software (FujiFilm).
MASCOT searches were performed with the following parameters: set off the threshold at 0.05 in the ion-score cutoff, peptide tolerance at 10 ppm, MS/MS tolerance at ± 0.8 Da, peptide charge of 2+ or 3+, one missed cleavage allowed, carbamidomethylation of cysteine as a fixed modification, phosphorylation on serine, threonine, and tyrosine, and oxidation on methionine as a variable modification. The spectrum data of phosphopeptides were confirmed manually.

Expanded View for this article is available online.
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