Spontaneous development of hepatocellular carcinoma with cancer stem cell properties in PR-SET7-deficient livers

Kostas C Nikolaou1, Panagiotis Moulos1, George Chalepakis2, Pantelis Hatzis1, Hisanobu Oda3,4, Danny Reinberg5 & Iannis Talianidis1,*

Abstract

PR-SET7-mediated histone 4 lysine 20 methylation has been implicated in mitotic condensation, DNA damage response and replication licensing. Here, we show that PR-SET7 function in the liver is pivotal for maintaining genome integrity. Hepatocyte-specific deletion of PR-SET7 in mouse embryos resulted in G2 phase arrest followed by massive cell death and defect in liver organogenesis. Inactivation at postnatal stages caused cell duplication-dependent hepatocyte necrosis, accompanied by inflammation, fibrosis and compensatory growth induction of neighboring hepatocytes and resident ductal progenitor cells. Prolonged necrotic regenerative cycles coupled with oncogenic STAT3 activation led to the spontaneous development of hepatic tumors composed of cells with cancer stem cell characteristics. These include a capacity to self-renew in culture or in xenografts and the ability to differentiate to phenotypically distinct hepatic cells. Hepatocellular carcinoma in PR-SET7-deficient mice displays a cancer stem cell gene signature specified by the co-expression of ductal progenitor markers and oncofetal genes.
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Introduction

Increasing evidence suggests that a relatively rare (1–6%) population of tumor cells—called cancer stem cells (CSC)—with capacities for self-renewal and differentiation to various cell types is responsible for the maintenance of heterogeneous lineages within tumors (Magee et al., 2012). Using various markers, putative cancer stem cells have been identified in many tumor types, including hepatocellular carcinoma (Yang et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2010; Majumdar et al., 2012; Medema, 2013; Zhao et al., 2013). Cancer stem cell properties correlate with increased tumor invasiveness and resistance to cytotoxic chemotherapeutics (Magee et al., 2012).

The origin of CSCs is poorly understood. In principle, they may arise via de-differentiation or re-programming of terminally committed cells as they traverse the multistep oncogenic transformation process. Recent studies in glioblastomas, breast or intestinal tumors demonstrated that CSCs may indeed derive from the oncogene-induced conversion of mature cells via dysregulation of specific genetic pathways (Friedmann-Morvinski et al., 2012; Chaffer et al., 2013; Schwitalla et al., 2013). Importantly, it was shown that epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) leads to cellular de-differentiation and the generation of cells with stem cell properties (Chaffer et al., 2013).

An alternative, less-explored possibility suggests that CSCs may originate from oncogenic transformation of normal adult progenitor cells, which retain self-renewal properties but acquire genetic or epigenetic mutations. In the liver, bona fide adult progenitors have recently been characterized using novel markers, including FoxL1, MiC1–1C3, CD133, SOX9 and Lgr5 (Sackett et al., 2009; Dorrell et al., 2011; Furuyama et al., 2011; Shin et al., 2011; Huch et al., 2013; Miyajima et al., 2014). These cells normally reside in biliary ducts and are activated under specific hepatocyte damaging conditions. Persistent liver damage triggers the so-called oval cell response, a regenerative process whereby progenitor cells proliferate and differentiate into hepatocytes or biliary epithelial cells. Notwithstanding, liver regeneration after most forms of acute injury or 2/3rd partial hepatectomy does not rely on progenitor cell activation, but instead involves the proliferation of existing previously quiescent hepatocytes (Malato et al., 2011; Español-Suñer et al., 2012; Schaub et al,
2014; Yanger et al., 2014). Progenitor-dependent regeneration is probably restricted to chronic injury conditions coupled with impaired hepatocyte replication potential (Yamaji et al., 2010; Friedman & Kaestner, 2011; Wang et al., 2011; Miyajima et al., 2014).

Our present study, originally focusing on the role of PR-SET-7 in the liver, revealed that hepatocyte-specific PR-SET7 KO mice represent a useful model for exploring the activation of adult hepatic progenitor cells, since PR-SET7 deficiency leads to cell cycle arrest (Beck et al., 2012b). PR-SET7 is the sole enzyme catalyzing histone 4 lysine 20 monomethylation (H4K20Me1), a key epigenetic modification that regulates genome integrity in several ways (Beck et al., 2012b). H4K20Me1 is required for DNA repair as it provides a surface for the recruitment of 53BP1 to sites of DNA damage. H4K20Me1 is a substrate for further methylation to H4K20Me2 and H4K20Me3 by Suv4-20h enzymes, which are required for DNA double-strand (DSB) repair (Oda et al., 2009, 2010) and facilitate the formation of higher order chromatin structures during mitotic condensation (Oda et al., 2009). PR-SET7 protein levels are tightly regulated during the cell cycle via CRLa32-regulated proteolysis (Abbas et al., 2010; Centore et al., 2010; Oda et al., 2010). They are highest in G2/M and early G1 phase and undetectable in S-phase (Oda et al., 2009). Interference with this process leads to unscheduled licensing of replication origins and altered timing of mitotic chromosome compaction (Beck et al., 2012a). Mouse embryos deficient in PR-SET7 display early lethality due to G2 or M phase arrest associated with chromosomal anomalies and massive cell death prior to the eight-cell stage (Oda et al., 2009). This has prevented studies of PR-SET7 function in developing organs. We therefore generated hepatocyte-specific PR-SET7 knockout mice and investigated the effect of PR-SET7 deficiency in liver organogenesis, hepatocyte proliferation and liver regeneration. Our results demonstrate that in these mice, hepatocyte death initially leads to the activation of ductal progenitors and inflammation, followed by spontaneous development of hepatocellular carcinoma comprised mainly of cells featuring cancer stem cell properties.

Results

PR-SET7 deficiency in embryonic hepatocytes impairs liver organogenesis

Mice carrying hepatocyte-specific deletion of PR-SET7 in embryonic liver were generated by crossing PR-SET7loxp mice (Oda et al., 2009) with Alfp-Cre mice. Complete inactivation of PR-SET7 in hepatocytes was observed as early as embryonic day 15.5 (E15.5) in homozygous PR-SET7loxp/Alfp-Cre (designated PR-SET7−/−HepA, i.e. Embryonic-Hepatocyte Deletion) mice. None of these mice reached birth. Live embryos at E18.5 had anemic appearance and dramatically reduced liver size, while other organs looked normal (Fig 1A). Hematoxylin and eosin and immunostaining for albumin revealed a dramatic reduction of hepatocytes in PR-SET7−/−HepA embryonic liver strips (Fig 1B and C). We also detected decreased mRNA levels of hepatocyte-specific marker genes (Fig 1D). The few residual hepatocyte-like cells had a more eosinophilic appearance and enlarged nuclei with sponge-like condensation of chromatin (Fig 1B), reminiscent of cells in G2/M phase or of necrotic cells. Arrest in G2 phase of the cell cycle was confirmed by positive staining with cyclin B1 antibody (Fig 1E). Strong staining for γH2AX was indicative of extensive DNA damage (Fig 1F). These results suggest that PR-SET7 is required for normal hepatocyte growth and liver organogenesis during embryonic life.

Cell division-dependent DNA damage, necrosis and altered H4K20 methylation patterns in PR-SET7-deficient adult hepatocytes

To inactivate PR-SET7 in postnatal hepatocytes, we crossed PR-SET7loxP mice with Alb-Cre mice. Complete loss of PR-SET7 in the hepatocytes of these mice (designated PR-SET7−/−HepA, i.e. Adult-Hepatocyte Deletion) was observed as early as postnatal day 20 (P20) (Supplementary Fig S1A). Examination of the livers 25 days later (at P45) revealed no visible morphological or histological changes (Supplementary Fig S1B and C). Interestingly, global H4K20 monomethylation was not affected (Supplementary Fig S1D), although PR-SET7 was clearly absent from all hepatocytes (Supplementary Fig S1E). Importantly, loss of PR-SET7 was observed only in hepatocytes and not in biliary epithelial cells (Supplementary Fig S1E). In the adult liver, most hepatocytes are in the G0 phase of the cell cycle. Since the median number of cell duplications in the liver between P20 (when PR-SET7 is deleted in our model) and P45 is less than one (Supplementary Fig S2A), the above finding suggests that H4K20Me1 is a relatively stable modification, which is preserved in non-dividing cells, even in the absence of PR-SET7.

At 4 months (P120), small regenerative foci became visible in PR-SET7−/−HepA livers (Fig 2A). By this age, a significant number of cells that existed in P20 are expected to have gone through at least one cell duplication. Hematoxylin and eosin staining of liver sections from P120 PR-SET7−/−HepA mice revealed three morphologically distinct areas: one with normal hepatocyte appearance (Area-A), probably corresponding to cells that have not yet divided; a second, containing enlarged hepatocytes infiltrated with small mononuclear cells (Area-B; named Necrotic Zone); and a third, containing smaller sized parenchymal cells, resembling hepatocytes in regenerating liver (Area-C; named Regenerative Zone, see below) (Fig 2B). All of the large cells in Area-B and the smaller cells in Area-C were HNF4-positive hepatocytes (Fig 2C).

Accumulation of apoptotic cells in the ‘Necrotic Zone’ could be detected by TUNEL staining (Fig 2D). These cells, however, correspond to infiltrating non-hepatic cell types, since the enlarged hepatocytes were always TUNEL negative (Fig 2D). On the other hand, all of the large hepatocytes stained positively for γH2AX, 53BP1 and cyclin B1, demonstrating that these cells have suffered massive DNA damage and were arrested in the G2 phase (Fig 2E, Supplementary Fig S2B and C). In agreement with the lack of TUNEL staining, the electron microscopic profile of the large cells lacked the characteristic hallmarks of apoptosis (e.g. nuclear condensation, membrane blebbing) (Fig 3A). On the other hand, the large cells had all the known morphological characteristics of necrosis that distinguish them from apoptotic cells. These include increased cell volume that does not fragment into discrete corpses, disrupted cellular membrane, translucent cytoplasm, swollen mitochondria and disorganized endoplasmic reticulum (Fig 3A).

Examination of the nuclear H4K20Me1 staining profile at the different areas of P120 PR-SET7−/−HepA livers revealed unchanged patterns in normal hepatocytes (in Area-A) but a complete loss of
H4K20Me1 immunoreactivity in the nuclei of the large necrotic hepatocytes (in Area-B; Fig 3B). Since PR-SET7 is the sole enzyme capable of generating H4K20Me1, this suggests that the H4K20Me1 mark is highly stable in non-dividing cells, as it can persist for at least 100 days in the complete absence of the enzyme. The disappearance of H4K20Me1 staining in the large necrotic...
hepatocytes could be explained by assuming that these cells represent a population of hepatocytes that have undergone several cell divisions. However, PR-SET7-deficient cells are not able to progress beyond 1.5 cell divisions, as they are arrested in the G2 phase of the next cell cycle (Oda et al., 2009; Supplementary Fig S2C). Thus, following inactivation, the staining signal is

Figure 2. Postnatal inactivation of PR-SET7 in hepatocytes leads to cell death.

A Macroscopic appearance of livers in 120-day-old (P120) wild-type (WT) and PR-SET7<sup>loxp</sup>/Alb-Cre (KO) mice. Note, small adenomatous foci in KO livers.
B Representative hematoxylin and eosin staining of liver sections from P120 wild-type (WT) and PR-SET7<sup>loxp</sup>/Alb-Cre (PR-SET7<sup>ΔHepA</sup>) mice. Arrows show three areas containing morphologically different hepatocytes. Right panels: zoom-in to Area-A—‘normal zone’, to Area-B—‘necrotic zone’ and to Area-C—‘regenerative zone’.
C Immunohistological staining of liver sections from P120 PR-SET7<sup>ΔHepA</sup> mice and control littermates (WT) with HNF4 antibody.
D TUNEL staining of liver sections from P120 PR-SET7<sup>ΔHepA</sup> mice and control littermates (WT). Note that cells containing enlarged nuclei (white arrows) are TUNEL negative.
E Immunohistological staining with γH2AX and albumin (Alb) antibodies.
**Figure 3.** PR-SET7-deficient hepatocytes die via necrosis in a cell division-dependent manner.

A  Electronmicroscopic images of cells containing enlarged nuclei in P120 PR-SET7ΔHepA livers and normal hepatocytes in control littermates (WT). White arrows indicate: (Nuc) nuclei; (ER) endoplasmic reticulum; (Mit) mitochondrion; (PM) plasma membrane; (a) swollen mitochondria; (b) swollen endoplasmic reticulum; (c) disorganized endoplasmic reticulum; (d) disrupted plasma membrane; and (e) disorganized pieces of endoplasmic reticulum encircling mitochondria to form autophagosomes.

B  Immunohistological staining of liver sections from P120 PR-SET7ΔHepA mice and control littermates (WT) with H4K20Me1 and H4K20Me3 antibodies. Normal (Area-A), Necrotic (Area-B) and Regenerative (Area-C) hepatocyte-containing areas are indicated. Arrows show the loss of H4K20Me1 signal from the large necrotic nuclei.

**C–F**  Partial (2/3) hepatectomy was performed in 45-day-old PR-SET7ΔHepA mice and control littermates (WT). Two weeks later, at postnatal day 60 (P60) liver sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (C) or γH2AX (D) or H4K20Me1 (E) or HNF4 and F4/80 (F) antibodies.
Hepatocyte necrosis in PR-SET7^HepA mice triggers extensive inflammation, fibrosis, ROS accumulation and the activation of STAT3 in the liver

The smaller sized mononuclear cells accumulating in the ‘Necrotic Zone’ of P120 or regenerating P60 PR-SET7^HepA livers were identified as inflammatory cells by immunostaining with the F4/80 macrophage marker and the CD45 pan-leukocyte markers (Supplementary Fig S3A and B, Fig 3F). These cells expressed PR-SET7 and were present in all 12 HCC foci examined, which may correspond to the ‘Hepatic progenitor cells’ of the ‘Necrotic Zone’. We detected a significant increase in TNF-α and IL-6 (Supplementary Fig S3D and E). While significant increases in TNF-α and IL-6 expression were evident in 120-day-old PR-SET7^HepA livers, maximal inductions were detected 4 months later in P240 livers (Supplementary Fig S3D and E). A similar temporal increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation was detected by staining the liver sections with the H_2O_2-sensitive fluorescent dye CM-H_2DCFDA (Fig 5A). IL-6 and ROS accumulation is expected to induce the activation of the oncogenic transcription factor STAT3 (He & Karin 2011; Nikolau et al., 2012). Indeed, strong activation of STAT3 was detected in P240 PR-SET7^HepA livers by immunostaining and by Western blot analysis using a phospho-STAT3 antibody (Fig 5B and C).

Late-onset, spontaneous development of hepatocellular carcinoma in PR-SET7^HepA mice

P240 PR-SET7^HepA mice had elevated serum ALT levels, and their livers contained numerous visible cancerous nodules of different sizes (Fig 5D and E). The penetrance of liver cancer was 100% as judged by the examination of more than 40 mice at ages 240–300 days. Microscopic evaluation revealed several histopathological features of hepatocellular carcinoma, including irregular trabeculae, obliteration of portal tracts, frequent pleomorphism, nuclear atypia and cells with increased eosinophilic inclusions or cytoplasmic clearance (Fig 5F). The development of a full-blown hepatocellular carcinoma in P240 PR-SET7^HepA mice was further confirmed by the increased mRNA levels of oncogenic markers Afp, H19, GPC3 and CTGF (Fig 5G), the positive staining of hepatocytes by Afp (Fig 6A) and the Ki-67 proliferation marker (Fig 6B). We further investigated 12 microdissected tumors and age-matched wild-type livers by array-based comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) for chromosomal aberrations. A large number of amplifications and deletions were detected in most chromosomes ranging from 12 kB to 1.58 MB (Supplementary Fig S4). The pattern of the aberrations varied in samples from different animals and also from two different HCC foci in the same liver. Some of the abnormalities, however, were present in all 12 HCC foci examined, which may correspond to common genomic hotspots highly susceptible to deletions or amplifications.

Since PR-SET7 deficiency causes G2 phase arrest and necrotic cell death, the expansion of highly proliferating cancerous hepatocytes in P240 PR-SET7^HepA livers can only be explained by the

Expected to decrease to half, at most. The observed complete loss of the H4K20Me1 signal is most likely the result of its ‘up-methylation’ to the H4K20Me3 form in G2/M arrested cells. This latter scenario is supported by the detection of H4K20 trimethylation in the enlarged, necrotic hepatocytes (Fig 3B).

The abovementioned morphological alterations combined with the H4K20Me1 staining pattern provided an initial indication that PR-SET7 deficiency has little effect in non-dividing cells, but induces cell cycle arrest, extensive DNA damage and necrosis only in dividing cells. To provide direct evidence for this scenario, we performed 2/3rd partial hepatectomy, an experimental setup in which quiescent G0-phase hepatocytes synchronously enter the cell cycle and undergo an average of 1.5 cell divisions. Partial hepatectomy was performed at P45, when PR-SET7 was completely absent from hepatocytes for at least 25 days (Supplementary Fig S1E). Two weeks later, the original liver mass had recovered in both wild-type and PR-SET7^HepA mice, but all of the hepatocytes in regenerates PR-SET7^HepA livers were enlarged, stained positively for γH2AX and HNF4, had lost nuclear H4K20Me1, and retained H4K20Me3 immunoreactivity (Fig 3C–E, Supplementary Fig S2D and E).

Taken together, the above results suggest that in the absence of PR-SET7, dividing hepatocytes are cell cycle arrested and undergo necrotic death. This leads to the essentially complete elimination of all PR-SET7-deficient hepatocytes in conditions when hepatocytes are rapidly dividing (e.g. embryonic liver and in adults after partial hepatectomy).

Induction of regenerative processes to compensate for liver damage in PR-SET7^HepA mice

In P120 PR-SET7^HepA livers, Ki67 staining detected proliferating cells in areas B and C. None of the large cells in Area-B stained positively for Ki67 (Fig 4A). Proliferating hepatocytes (Ki67/albumin double-positive cells) were detected only in Area-C, while all Ki67-positive cells in Area-B lacked Alb staining (Fig 4A). These results indicate that in Area-B, the enlarged necrotic hepatocytes are infiltrated by other proliferating cells types (e.g. inflammatory cells or ductal cells), while Area-C (Regenerative Zone) contains hepatocytes that have entered the cell cycle to compensate for the dying cells.

Immunostaining with the ‘oval cell’ marker A6 revealed a parallel expansion of adult hepatic progenitor cells in the ‘Necrotic Zone’, which started to migrate into the ‘Regenerative Zone’ (Fig 4B). Importantly, these proliferating oval cells at P120 did not express the hepatic marker HNF4 (Fig 4B). The initial activation and migration of adult ductal progenitor cells, which have not yet differentiated to hepatocytes, were confirmed by staining with another progenitor cell marker Sox9 (Supplementary Fig S2F). Activation of hepatic ductal progenitors requires FG7 functional niche signal (Takase et al., 2013). In line with this, we detected excessive amounts of FG7 protein in the vicinity of the A6-positive cells and a dramatic increase of FG7 mRNA in P120 PR-SET7^HepA livers (Fig 4C and D).

Collectively, the data suggest that hepatocyte death in PR-SET7-deficient hepatocytes triggers two types of regenerative processes: proliferation of neighboring hepatocytes, which, due to the absence of PR-SET7, are destined to die, and the proliferation of ductal progenitors, which are induced by a cellular microenvironment producing FG7. Consistent with this, in P120 PR-SET7^HepA livers, we could detect non-parenchymal cells staining positively for PR-SET7 (Supplementary Fig S1F).

© 2014 The Authors
proliferation of other cell types containing intact PR-SET7 alleles, which can differentiate to hepatocytes and undergo oncogenic transformation. In agreement with this scenario, in P240 livers we detected a large number of Ki-67+ parenchymal cells. Importantly, all of the parenchymal cells expressed the hepatic markers HNF4 and albumin and stained positively for H4K20Me1 or PR-SET7.
Figure 5. ROS accumulation, STAT3 activation and late-onset spontaneous development of hepatocellular carcinoma in PR-SET7ΔHepA mice.

A Analysis of reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation in frozen liver sections from 120-day-old (P120) and 240-day-old (P240) PR-SET7ΔHepA mice and control littermates (WT).

B Immunohistological staining of liver sections from P240 animals with phospho-STAT3 antibody.

C Western blot analysis of liver extracts from P45, P120 and P240 mice with STAT3 and phospho-STAT3 antibodies.

D Macroscopic appearance of livers in P240 PR-SET7ΔHepA mice and control littermates (WT).

E Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels in P45, P120 and P240 mice. Bars represent mean values of ALT levels and SEM from liver extracts of five individual mice.

F Representative hematoxylin and eosin staining of liver sections from P240 PR-SET7ΔHepA mice and control littermates (WT). Two different magnifications are shown.

G Relative mRNA levels of selected oncofetal genes. Bars represent mean Afp, H19, GPC-3 and CTGF mRNA levels normalized to GAPDH mRNA and SEM from samples of 5 individual 240-day-old mice. The data are presented as fold over values obtained with wild-type samples. *P-value < 0.01.

Source data are available online for this figure.
Taking into the account the fact that PR-SET7 was not detectable in P45 or P120 hepatocytes (Supplementary Fig S1E and F), the above finding suggests that, parallel to the complete elimination of ‘old’ hepatocytes containing inactivated PR-SET7 alleles, ‘new’ hepatocytes with an intact PR-SET7 gene arose and repopulated the liver. These hepatocytes may originate from differentiating resident ductal progenitor cells, as suggested by the co-staining with three different markers, A6, Sox9 and CD133 (Fig 6C–E). They represent a proliferating Ki-67-positive hepatocyte population with a normal H4K20Me1 staining pattern (Supplementary Fig S5C and D). Since the A6 antibody apart from ‘oval cells’ also marks cholangiocytes (Engelhardt et al., 1993; and
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revealed that CK19-positive cholangiocytes had not expanded in 2012). Staining for the cholangiocyte marker cytokeratin-19 (CK19) phenotypically distinct, less or more differentiated cell types. isolated primary hepatocytes from P240 revealing cancer stem cells. To provide formal evidence for this, we formulated in HCC models (Bettermann et al., 2013) and others) (Fig 8B). This pattern suggests that hepatic cancer stem cells can be characterized by a specific gene expression signature, which is supported by the comparison with the differentially expressed genes in ductal progenitor cells (Lgr5-LacZ" and MIC1C3) isolated from non-cancerous livers (Huch et al., 2013). Lgr5-LacZ" and MIC1C3 represent different ductal progenitor populations as suggested by the high, but not complete, overlap (37% in the ‘upregulated’ and 63% in the ‘downregulated’ gene set) of the differentially expressed genes (Fig 8C and D). About 35% of differentially expressed genes in P240 PR-SET7^HepA^livers (34.9% in the ‘upregulated’ and 35.7% in the ‘downregulated’ gene set) were also expressed differentially in the same direction in either Lgr5-LacZ" or MIC1C3 cells or both. The overlap between the differentially expressed genes was statistically highly significant (p < 10^{-5}), pointing to a biological relationship. Inspection of genes that are upregulated in all three sources (93 genes) revealed the presence of known progenitor markers such as Sox9, CD44 or Igf6, while genes that are upregulated only in P240 PR-SET7^HepA^livers contained hepatic oncofetal genes such as Afp, H19 or Igfbp1 (Fig 8C). In the commonly downregulated category, marker genes for terminally differentiated hepatocytes, such as Tat, Gck or Cyp7A1 were identified. Finally, the set of genes that were downregulated only in P240 PR-SET7^HepA^livers contained several tumor suppressors such as Iri2, Cas1, Eaf2 or Cited2 (Fig 8D).

The genes differentially expressed between the recently described HCC progenitor cells (HcPC) (He et al., 2013) and wild-type livers also exhibited an overlap with those detected in Lgr5-LacZ" or MIC1C3 (40.6% in the upregulated gene set and 32.9% in the downregulated gene set) (Supplementary Fig S6B). These values are similar to those detected in comparisons of P240 PR-SET7^HepA^livers and Lgr5-LacZ" or MIC1C3. However, direct comparison of the P240 PR-SET7^HepA^ and HcPC transcriptomes revealed significantly smaller numbers of common genes (7.5% of upregulated and 3.8% of downregulated genes Supplementary Fig S6A and B), suggesting that HcPCs and the P240 PR-SET7^HepA^ carcinoma cells correspond to distinct cell populations.

We have also determined the gene expression profile of diethylnitrosamine (DEN) treatment-induced hepatic tumors, which originate from compensatory proliferation of existing hepatocytes (Kang et al., 2007; He & Karin, 2011). In these tumors, A6- and Sox9-positive cells do exist, but their proportion is very low, corresponding to about 2–5% of the hepatocyte population (Supplementary Fig S7A). As expected, a large number of differentially expressed genes were common in P240 PR-SET7^HepA^ liver tumors and DEN treatment-generated tumors, as both display primarily HCC features. The correlation value (r = 0.713), however, illustrates that substantial differences also exist: The detection of a large number of differentially expressed genes in either the same or the opposite direction suggests that these tumors also have unique characteristics, which distinguish them from each other (Supplementary Fig S7B). Importantly, the expression of most progenitor marker genes was significantly increased in P240 PR-SET7^HepA^ liver tumors (Supplementary Fig S7B).

Taken together, the above findings suggest that P240PR-SET7^HepA^livers are repopulated by cells expressing ductal and cancer stem cell markers, which possess self-renewing capacity and generate xenografts that are representative of the parent tumor. Furthermore,
Figure 7. Quantitative assessment and xenograft tumor formation potential of progenitor-derived carcinoma cells.

A P240 PR-SET7<sup>Hep4</sup> liver sections were double-stained with A6 and Sox9 (upper panel) or CD133 and Sox9 (lower panel). Pie charts at the right represent average percentages of cells stained positively with the indicated markers after counting DAPI-stained cells in 5 high-power fields (HPF) in liver sections of 3 different mice.

B Cell duplication rate of primary hepatocytes from P240 PR-SET7<sup>Hep4</sup> livers in culture.

C Pictures of representative subcutaneous xenograft tumors dissected from immunodeficient mice 20 days after injection with primary hepatocytes from P240 PR-SET7<sup>Hep4</sup> livers or Hepa 1–6 cells. Right panel shows average tumor volumes (n = 3) dissected at the indicated time points following injection.

D, E Hematoxylin and eosin and immunohistological staining with A6, HNF4, Sox9 and CD133 antibodies of tumor sections from xenografts dissected 20 or 30 days after injection with primary hepatocytes from P240 PR-SET7<sup>Hep4</sup> livers.
Figure 8. Gene expression profile of P240 PR-SET7ΔHepA livers and comparison with transcriptomes of ductal progenitor cells.

A Overexpression of stem cell-specific, oncofetal hepatic and proliferation-dependent genes in P240 PR-SET7ΔHepA livers. volcano plot of normalized RNAseq data obtained with P240 wild-type and PR-SET7ΔHepA livers. Significance cutoff value was set at P = 0.05 in -log10 scale (horizontal dashed line) and fold change cutoffs at -0.6 and 1 in log2 scale (vertical dashed lines).

B Volcano plots showing dots corresponding to representative stem cell marker genes (red), oncofetal hepatic genes (blue) and proliferation-dependent genes (black) are indicated.

C, D Comparison of differentially expressed genes in P240 PR-SET7ΔHepA livers with those reported in Lgr5-LacZ+ sorted cells or MIC1-1C3+ sorted ductal cells (Huch et al., 2013).
they display a cancer stem cell-specific gene expression signature featuring co-expression of ductal progenitor and hepatic oncofetal marker genes.

Discussion

In this paper, we show that PR-SET7 deficiency in fetal hepatocytes leads to necrosis and impairs liver organogenesis, while in postnatal liver it triggers regenerative processes that give rise to hepatocellular carcinoma. The resulting tumors are composed mainly of cells expressing ductal progenitor markers and possess functional features of cancer stem cells.

PR-SET7 deficiency in hepatocytes causes necrotic cell death

Previous studies have established the pivotal role of PR-SET7 and H4K20 monomethylation in genome integrity. Loss of PR-SET7 causes growth arrest due to enhanced DNA damage and defects in cell cycle progression (Jorgensen et al., 2007; Oda et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2010; Beck et al., 2012a,b). Standard PR-SET7 knockout mouse embryos are not viable, as DNA damage-dependent growth arrest results in cell death prior to the embryonic eight-cell stage (Oda et al., 2009). Consistent with the above, we found that inactivation of PR-SET7 in embryonic hepatoblasts results in genome instability, G2 phase arrest and massive cell death, which impairs proper liver organogenesis. The very fast loss of hepatocytes and the embryonic lethality in this animal model hampered more in-depth analysis of the cell death mechanism. Therefore, we inactivated PR-SET7 in postnatal liver, which contains only few dividing hepatocytes, as the majority are in the resting G0 phase. The lack of phenotype at P45 (twenty days following the complete loss of PR-SET7) suggested that non-proliferating hepatocytes are relatively insensitive to PR-SET7 inactivation, probably because H4K20 monomethylation is a stable histone modification that is erased mainly through cell duplication. The requirement of cell division for H4K20Me2 reduction and subsequent cell cycle arrest and death was demonstrated by the phenotype at later time points and by partial hepatectomy experiments, when G0-phase hepatocytes synchronously enter the cell cycle. In this latter experimental setup, all hepatocytes in PR-SET7-deficient mice were necrotic within 2 weeks after surgery.

Necrosis was the main mechanism of cell death in PR-SET7-deficient hepatocytes as evidenced by the classical necrosis-specific alterations.

Mechanism of hepatocellular carcinoma development in PR-SET7-deficient mice

The main events that take place during postnatal development of PR-SET7-deficient mice are schematically presented in Fig 9. According to the model, initial death of a few hepatocytes in the postnatal liver triggers a local regenerative process whereby neighboring hepatocytes enter the cell cycle. Since all of the hepatocytes are deficient in PR-SET7, each of them is destined to undergo G2 phase arrest and necrotic cell death. In this way, compensatory proliferation, owing to the astonishing regenerative capacity of the liver, accelerates the death of the existing ‘old’ hepatocytes, which is expected to result in the complete elimination of all hepatocytes from the organ. This is avoided, however, by the parallel activation, proliferation and differentiation of Sox9+ and A6+ ductal progenitor cells, which will replenish the organ with ‘new’ hepatocytes. Progenitor cell activation is supported by a microenvironment producing an FGF7 signal. The activation of ductal progenitors in PR-SET7-deficient livers was clearly observable from postnatal day 120, when substantial numbers of hepatocytes had already undergone necrotic death. At this stage, most of the activated progenitors were HNF4 or albumin negative, suggesting that they have not yet differentiated into hepatocytes. Differentiation takes place at later stages, parallel to oncogenic transformation.

![Figure 9. Schematic presentation of the temporal events leading to spontaneous hepatocellular carcinoma development in PR-SET7-deficient mice.](image-url)
Deregulated expression of PR-SET7 in human HCCs has been reported previously (Takawa et al., 2012). In human liver cancers and other mouse hepatocellular carcinoma models, hepatocyte death initiates inflammation and fibrosis, which, when sustained for prolonged periods of time, invariably lead to compensatory proliferation-mediated hepatocarcinogenesis (Maeda et al., 2005; Luedde et al., 2007; Bettermann et al., 2010; He & Karin, 2011; Luedde & Schwabe, 2011; Nikolaou et al., 2012, 2013). Both of these pathologies develop spontaneously in the PR-SET7KO mice as early as 120 days of age and are sustained for at least 4 additional months, when full-blown liver cancer is detected. Prolonged inflammation and cell death result in the elevated production of IL-6 and parallel accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). These trigger STAT3 activation, which leads to the oncogenic transformation of the newly generated hepatocytes (Fig 9). While the above pathologies closely mimic the major hallmarks of human HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma developing in the PR-SET7KO mice has a unique characteristic: It is composed exclusively of hepatic cancer stem cells (see below).

**Cancer stem cell properties of hepatocellular carcinoma in PR-SET7-deficient mice**

The existence of resident progenitor cells in the biliary ducts of the liver has recently been demonstrated using novel oval cell markers (Dorrell et al., 2011), FoxL1, Sox9 and Lgr5 (Sackett et al., 2009; Furuyama et al., 2011; Shin et al., 2011; Huch et al., 2013). These cells represent subpopulations of the well-known oval cells, identified by staining with the antibody A6 (Engelhardt et al., 1993). They reside in the biliary ductal epithelium and can be activated by various liver injuries, such as CCl4-treatment, DDC-diet or bile duct ligation. Importantly, they all have the potential to differentiate to either the hepatocyte or biliary epithelial cell lineage (Miyajima et al., 2014). Markers characterizing ductal progenitors (e.g. Sox9, CD133, EpCAM) are frequently used to identify ‘cancer stem cells’ (CSCs) in hepatocellular carcinoma (Ma et al., 2010; Marquardt et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2013). Cancer stem cells are operationally defined as the subset (1–6% in human HCC) of cells with stem cell properties, capable of self-renewal and of giving rise to the heterogeneous population of neoplastic cells organized hierarchically in the tumors (Ma et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2008; Magee et al., 2012).

The origin of hepatic CSCs is unclear. In principle, the expression of progenitor markers in hepatic CSCs implies that they represent either an expanded population of transformed proliferating ductal progenitor cells acquiring hepatocyte-like features or a population of transformed hepatocytes displaying progenitor-like properties. In the first case, transformed progenitors may arise via differentiation and oncogenic transformation of the normal progenitor cells, while in the second case stem cell properties can be acquired via ‘de-differentiation’ of hepatocytes. Pertinent to this, recent lineage tracing studies have demonstrated that hepatocytes can also undergo widespread reprogramming to the biliary epithelial cell lineage or to cells bearing progenitor properties, following liver damage, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma formation or inactivation of the Hippo signaling pathway (Fan et al., 2012; Sekiya & Suzuki, 2012; Yang et al., 2013; Yimlamai et al., 2014). This raises the idea that partial reprogramming of cancerous hepatocytes to cells with ductal progenitor properties may take place in tumors developing under specific injury conditions.

Support to the ‘de-differentiation’ hypothesis was provided by the recent identification of bona fide HCC progenitor cells (HcPCs) in injury-induced mouse HCC models (He et al., 2013). These cells have tumor initiation properties when transplanted to livers undergoing chronic damage and acquire autocrine IL-6 signaling that stimulates their malignant progression. Relevant to the current study, the gene expression profile of HcPCs shows high levels of similarity to the ductal progenitor cell population specified by FoxL1 expression (Shin et al., 2011). However, the generation of HcPCs depends on DEN-mediated liver damage, a drug that can act only on differentiated hepatocytes expressing high levels of Cyp2E1 (Kang et al., 2007). This implies that HcPCs most likely originate from mature hepatocytes and subsequently undergo a damage-induced de-differentiation process (He et al., 2013). P240 PR-SET7KO hepatocytes and HcPCs correspond to different cell populations. This notion is supported by their different gene expression patterns and by key differences in their tumorigenic properties: Unlike P240 PR-SET7KO hepatocytes, HcPCs cannot form subcutaneous tumors or even liver tumors when introduced to non-damaged livers (He et al., 2013). They can give rise to cancer only when transplanted to livers under chronic damage and compensatory proliferation.

Our results may be more compatible with an alternative to the above de-differentiation concept, raising the possibility that cancer stem cells may originate from normally existing progenitor cell populations. The key evidence for the notion that HCC in PR-SET7KO mice may arise via the oncogenic transformation of an expanded population of differentiating ductal progenitors, rather than via de-differentiation of transformed hepatocytes, is that PR-SET7-deficient hepatocytes are destined to death by necrosis once they enter the cell cycle. Thus, they cannot provide a source of highly proliferating cancerous cells that would subsequently de-differentiate to CSCs.

On the other hand, since direct lineage tracing experiments cannot be performed in the Cre-lox system-mediated PR-SET7 KO model, the possibility that some hepatocytes escape Cre-mediated recombination and due to the strong selective pressure de-differentiate and repopulate the liver cannot be entirely excluded. This possibility is also supported by recent studies demonstrating that existing hepatocytes and not progenitors generate new hepatocytes in different injury-mediated liver regeneration models (Schaub et al., 2014; Yanger et al., 2014). In contrast, several findings presented in this study are not compatible with an ‘escaper’ hypothesis. For example, at early time points of the process, Sox9/HNF4 or A6/HNF4 double-positive hepatocytes cannot be detected in PR-SET7-deficient livers. If de-differentiating ‘escapers’ of Cre-recombination played a role, they should have expanded substantially and easily be detected at least at P120, when massive cell death was already widespread. Instead, we observed solely the expansion of undifferentiated progenitor cells (A6+/HNF4 α and Sox9+/Alb β), which preceded the activation of oncogenic STAT3 and the first appearance of cells positive hepatocytes cannot be detected in PR-SET7-deficient livers.
PR-SET7^{HepA} livers 2 weeks after the surgery and an early (after 1–2 months) lethality of the mice. Furthermore, when compensatory growth of remnant hepatocytes gives rise to HCC in a similar setting of liver damage and inflammation (e.g. in DEN-induced hepatocarcinogenesis), the number of hepatocytes expressing A6 or Sox9 is in the range of 2–5%, as opposed to 97% observed in P240 PR-SET7^{HepA} livers. In agreement with this, we identified a large number of genes whose expression was differentially changed in P240 PR-SET7^{HepA} livers compared to DEN-induced tumors. Finally, the very low similarity between the differentially expressed genes of P240 PR-SET7^{HepA} livers and the hepatocyte-derived, dedifferentiated HepCs also argues against the potential involvement of de-differentiating ‘escapers’ in the observed phenotype. We note, however, that although the above arguments indirectly support the progenitor origin of hepatocytes in P240 PR-SET7^{HepA} livers, they do not provide unequivocal evidence to rule out ‘escaper’ hepatocyte origin.

P240 PR-SET7^{HepA} hepatocytes express several markers, such as Sox9, CD133, EpCAM, CD44, which are used to identify CSCs, and oncocetal marker genes, which are used to identify HCC. These features suggest that P240 PR-SET7^{HepA} hepatocytes resemble transformed immature cancer cell characteristic of highly agressive tumors. Their potential to self-renew in culture and give rise to tumors containing stem cell marker-expressing cells and more differentiated cells in xenografts demonstrates that they correspond to cancer stem cells. If, as speculated above, these CSCs originate from ductal progenitors, they probably retain stem cell properties of their ancestors throughout the differentiation and oncogenic transformation process.

Hepatic cancer stem cell transcriptome specified by the coexpression of ductal progenitor and hepatic oncocetal genes

Ductal progenitors in mouse livers represent a mixed population of cells (Dorrell et al, 2011; Shin et al, 2011; Huch et al, 2013), which was confirmed by double staining for Sox9 and A6 (Supplementary Fig S5F) and comparisons of gene expression patterns (Supplementary Fig S6B–D). The CSCs in the PR-SET7^{HepA} mice also display a mixed phenotype, expressing different combinations of progenitor markers. Differentially expressed genes (relative to wild-type livers) in the cancerous PR-SET7^{HepA} livers overlap significantly with those identified in different normal ductal cell populations (Lgr5-LacZ,” and MIC1C3”), pointing to a close biological relationship between them. Our comparisons of differentially expressed genes established a specific hepatic cancer stem cell gene signature with the following features: (A) high expression of ductal progenitor markers; (B) high expression of hepatic oncocetal genes; (C) high expression of proliferation-specific genes; (D) decreased expression of tumor suppressor genes; (E) downregulation of genes expressed specifically in terminally differentiated hepatocytes. As expected, most of the overlap between the differentially expressed gene set in PR-SET7^{HepA} livers and normal ductal progenitors was detected in gene categories A and E.

Collectively our results suggest that hepatocellular carcinoma in PR-SET7^{HepA} mice has a cancer stem cell phenotype combining fetal hepatoblast-like and ductal progenitor cell-like features. These animals therefore represent a useful model for studying and testing drugs targeting hepatic cancer stem cells.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The generation of PR-SET7^{adip} mice carrying floxed exon 7 allele of PR-SET7 has been described previously (Oda et al, 2009). These mice were maintained in mixed C57Bl6/CBA background and crossed with either Alfp-Cre or Alb-Cre mice (Yakar et al, 1999; Kellendonk et al, 2000; Kyrmizi et al, 2006) to obtain hepatocyte-specific inactivation of the PR-SET7 gene in embryonic and adult liver, respectively. In the mixed C57Bl6/CBA background, Alb-Cre mice expressed detectable amounts of the transgene only after birth. Mice were maintained in grouped cages in a temperature-controlled, pathogen-free facility on a 12-h light/dark cycle and fed by a standard chow diet (Alronin 1324; 19% protein, 5% fat) and water ad libitum. 2/3rd partial hepatectomy was performed in anaesthetized animals by resecting the median and left lobes. Control mice were sham operated. All animal experiments were approved by the Prefecture of Attica and were performed in accordance with the respective national and European Union regulations. All the experiments were performed in randomly chosen age-matched male mice. Typically, each experiment was performed in materials from at least three individual mice. No blinding was used in this study.

Histological analysis

Hematoxylin and eosin, Sirius Red, TUNEL staining and immunohistochemistry with fluorescent secondary antibodies in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded or frozen sections were performed as described previously (Nikolaou et al, 2012). Immunohistochemical assays via detection of oxidized 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) substrate were performed by using the Signalstain DAB Substrate kit (Cell Signaling Technology). Briefly, frozen sections were fixed with 4% formaldehyde, blocked with 1% BSA and 0.1% Triton X-100 and incubated with the primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. After washing, the sections were incubated with DAB solution and counterstained with hematoxylin. The antibodies used for the stainings are described in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

For electron microscopy, liver tissues were fixed for 2 h at room temperature in 0.08 M sodium cacodylate buffer, containing 2% of each glutaraldehyde and paraformaldehyde, followed by 1 h postfixation with 1% osmium tetroxide. Upon 1% uranyl acetate treatment for 20 min, samples were dehydrated with ethanol series and subsequently embedded in LR White resin/propylene oxide (Polysciences). Approximately 100 nm thin sections on copper grids were observed at 80 kV with a JEOL JEM2100 transmission electron microscope.

Antibodies

The antibodies used in this study were from the following: Santa Cruz Biotechnology: anti-HNF4 (sc-8987), anti-AFP (sc-8108), anti-Pr-Set7 (sc-135009), anti-53BP1 (sc-22760) and anti-FGF7 (SC-27127); Cell Signaling Technology: anti-cyclin B1 (#4138), anti-Stat3 (#9132), anti-phospho-Stat3 (#9145) and anti-phospho-histone H2A.X (#9718); Abcam: anti-Ki67 (ab15580), anti-histone H4 mono
methyl K20 (ab9051), anti-histone H4 tri methyl K20 (ab9053) and anti-Pr-Set7 (ab3798); Bethyl Laboratories, anti-Alb (A90–234); AbD Serotec, anti-F4/80 (MCA497); Merck-Millipore, anti-Sox9 (AB5535) and anti-Prominin-CD133 (MAB4310); Biolegend, anti-CD45 (#103101); and DAKO, anti-CK19 (#A0575). The A6 antibody was obtained from Valentina Factor (NIH).

Biochemical analysis

Liver extracts were prepared by homogenizing liver tissues in 20 volume of a buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1% NP-40, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) using polytron tissue homogenizer at 20,000 stroke setting. After centrifugation, the cleared lysates were subjected to SDS–PAGE and Western blot analysis as described in Tatarakis et al. (2008) and Kontaki and Talianidis (2010). Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) activity was measured in freshly isolated plasma fractions using the ALT assay kit from Dyasis.

RNA analysis

Total RNA was prepared by Trizol extraction followed by digestion with DNase I. Reverse transcription and quantitative real-time PCR assays were performed as described previously (Tatarakis et al., 2008). The statistical significance of the data was evaluated by Student’s t-test. The nucleotide sequences of the primer sets are described in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

RNA-seq was performed on an Ion Proton™ System (Rothberg et al., 2011), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, approximately 20 μg of total RNAs was used for mRNA isolation using the Dynabeads mRNA DIRECT™ Micro Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The isolated mRNA was digested with RNase III, hybridized and ligated to Ion Adaptors, reverse transcribed, barcoded and amplified, using the Ion Total RNA-Seq Kit v2 (Life Technologies). Samples were processed on an OneTouch 2 instrument and enriched on a One Touch ES station. Templating was performed using the Ion PI™ Template OT2 200 Kit (Life Technologies) and sequencing with the Ion PI™ Sequencing 200 Kit on Ion Proton PI™ chips (Life Technologies) according to commercially available protocols. Methods used for the analyses of the RNAseq data are described in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Xenograft experiments

Primary hepatocytes were isolated from P240 PR-SET7 Hepa™ mice using collagenase perfusion protocol (Tatarakis et al., 2008). The cells were seeded to tissue culture plates coated with 50 μg/ml rat collagen (type I) and cultured in a medium containing DMEM/F12, 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 5 μg/ml insulin, 5 μg/ml transferin, 5 ng/ml selenious acid, 10−7 M dexamethasone and 20 ng/ml EGF. The cells could survive at least four passages in the same culture conditions without significant loss of growth rate. About 10⁶ cultured primary cells were mixed with BD-Matrigel matrix in 1:1 ration and injected into the right flank of two-month-old Rag1−/− mice. As a control, the same number of Hepa 1–6 cells (ATCC) was used for injection. Subcutaneous tumors were isolated, and their volume was measured at various times after the initial injection.

Accession Numbers

Gene expression data and CGH data were deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession numbers GSE49555 and GSE61567, respectively.

Supplementary information for this article is available online: http://emboj.embopress.org

Acknowledgements

We thank V. Harakopoulos and K. Lilakos for assistance in RNAseq experiments and Dr S. Kotschote (IMGM Laboratories) for performing CGH hybridizations. This work was supported by the ERC Advanced Investigator Grant (ERC-2011-AdG294464) and the EU program NR-NET (PITN-GA-2013-606806).

Author contributions

KC designed experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. PM performed the bioinformatics analysis. GC and PH performed experiments and analyzed the data. HO and DR provided floxed PR-SET7 mice. IT conceived the project, designed experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References


Beck DB, Oda H, Shen SS, Reinberg D (2012b) PR-Set7 and H4K20me1: at the crossroads of genome integrity, cell cycle, chromosome condensation, and transcription. Genes Dev 26: 325–337


© 2014 The Authors

Published online: December 16, 2014

Kostas C Nikolaou et al PR-SET7 and liver cancer

The EMBO Journal Vol 34 | No 4 | 2015 445
Engelhardt NV, Factor VM, Medvinsky AL, Baranov VN, Lazareva MN, Poltoranina VS (1993) Common antigen of oval and biliary epithelial cells (Ab) is a differentiation marker of epithelial and erythroid cell lineages in early development of the mouse. Differentiation 55: 19 – 26


liver progenitor cells that support liver regeneration. Genes Dev 27: 169–181

License: This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.