Receptor guanylyl cyclase-G is a novel thermosensory protein activated by cool temperatures
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**Abstract**

Transmembrane guanylyl cyclases (GCs), with activity regulated by peptide ligands and/or calcium-binding proteins, are essential for various physiological and sensory processes. The mode of activation of the GC subtype GC-G, which is expressed in neurons of the Grueneberg ganglion that respond to cool temperatures, has been elusive. In searching for appropriate stimuli to activate GC-G, we found that its enzymatic activity is directly stimulated by cool temperatures. In this context, it was observed that dimerization/oligomerization of GC-G, a process generally considered as critical for enzymatic activity of GCs, is strongly enhanced by coolness. Moreover, heterologous expression of GC-G in cultured cells rendered these cells responsive to coolness; thus, the protein might be a sensor for cool temperatures. This concept is supported by the observation of substantially reduced coolness-induced response of Grueneberg ganglion neurons and coolness-evoked ultrasonic vocalization in GC-G-deficient mouse pups. GC-G may be a novel thermosensory protein with functional implications for the Grueneberg ganglion, a sensory organ responding to cool temperatures.
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**Introduction**

Receptor guanylyl cyclases (GCs) are membrane-spanning enzymes that generate the second messenger cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) and play a crucial role in various important physiological and sensory processes, including blood pressure regulation, cell growth, intestinal electrolyte and water transport as well as vision and olfaction (Kuhn, 2009; Potter, 2011). Seven subtypes of transmembrane GC (GC-A through GC-G) have been identified in mice (Kuhn, 2009; Potter, 2011). The mode of activation of most subtypes has been identified: their activity is regulated by extracellular peptide ligands or intracellular calcium-binding proteins (Potter, 2011). However, the mode of activation of the subtype GC-G under physiological conditions is still elusive (Kuhn et al, 2004; Huang et al, 2006; Chao et al, 2010).

Recent studies have demonstrated that GC-G is expressed in neurons of the Grueneberg ganglion (GG) in the anterior region of the murine nose, whose cells are activated by distinct odorous compounds and cool temperature (Mamasuew et al, 2008, 2011a; Fleischer et al, 2009; Liu et al, 2009). Although the precise functional relevance of GC-G in GG neurons is still unclear, previous findings indicated that cGMP signaling might contribute to coolness-evoked responses in the GG (Mamasuew et al, 2010). This aspect is particularly relevant because these neuronal cells lack the ion channel TRPM8 (Fleischer et al, 2009), which is essential for somatosensory neurons responding to cool temperatures (Bautista et al, 2007; Colburn et al, 2007; Dhaka et al, 2007). In view of a possible involvement of GC-G in the responsiveness of GG neurons to coolness, it is interesting to note that the transmembrane GC subtype GCY-12 from the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) showed maximum enzymatic activity at mild cool temperatures (20–25°C) (Yu et al, 1997). Moreover, in this context, previous studies demonstrated that the transmembrane GC subtype GCY-8 is a critical element for thermotransduction in sensory neurons from C. elegans (Inada et al, 2006; Wang et al, 2013). Yet, it is still unclear whether given transmembrane GCs serve indeed as thermoreceptors in C. elegans sensory cells.

In this study, we used a combination of biochemical and molecular biological methods as well as Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging to investigate a
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potential role of GC-G as a receptor protein for cool ambient temperatures, which would allow this enzyme to operate as a sensor for coolness in GG neurons.

Results

Stimulation of GC-G enzymatic activity by cool temperatures

As an initial step to scrutinize whether the transmembrane GC subtype GC-G is activated by coolness, we assessed its activity in vitro at warm and cool temperatures. After transient transfection of an empty vector or GC-G-encoding vector in HEK-293T cells, cells were harvested and incubated [in the presence of the phosphodiesterase inhibitor 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX)] at 37 or 15°C. Exposure to the cool temperature indeed stimulated intracellular cGMP accumulation in HEK-293T cells expressing GC-G but not in the cells transfected with the empty vector (Fig 1A). Similarly, with heterologous expression of GC-G, coolness increased the intracellular cGMP concentration in two other cell types tested: Chinese hamster ovary (CHO-K1) and mouse neuroblastoma (NG108) cells (Supplementary Fig S1).

To further confirm this coolness-induced increase in GC-G-mediated cGMP synthesis and analyze the temperature dependence of GC-G activity in more detail, membrane protein fractions from empty vector- or GC-G-expressing HEK-293T cells were used to monitor cyclase activity at a wide range of temperatures (Fig 1B). Cyclase activity from membrane fractions of GC-G-expressing cells peaked at ~15°C and returned to a basal level at ~4°C and ~37°C. By contrast, cyclase activity from membrane fractions of empty vector-transfected cells was low and unaltered by temperature changes. Furthermore, coolness (15°C) did not increase the cyclase activity in membrane preparations from HEK-293T cells expressing the other members of the transmembrane GC family (GC-A to GC-F; Fig 1C). These results suggest that low ambient temperatures specifically stimulated the activity of GC-G, thereby increasing cellular cGMP concentration.

Coolness directly activated the C-terminal domain of GC-G

Transmembrane GCs are single membrane-spanning proteins with an N-terminal extracellular domain (ECD) and a cytoplasmic region that can be further subdivided into a protein kinase-like domain (KLD), an amphipathic α-helical hinge (H) region and a C-terminal cyclase catalytic domain (CYC) (Kuhn, 2009; Potter, 2011). To investigate which domain is essential for activation by coolness, we compared the effect of cooling on full-length (FL) GC-G and three mutant GC-G proteins (Fig 1D): the first (AEC=KLD) lacked both the extracellular and the KLD, the second (ACYC) lacked a functional cyclase catalytic domain, and the third (H=CYC) comprised only the hinge region and the cyclase domain. Western blot analysis verified that the FL GC-G and mutant proteins were expressed at a comparable level in HEK-293T cells transfected with expression plasmids encoding these GC-G variants (Supplementary Fig S2A). Exposure to a cool temperature of 15°C stimulated cellular cGMP production in the AEC=KLD-expressing HEK-293T cells to a similar degree as in cells expressing the FL GC-G protein (Fig 1D), which suggests that the ECD and the KLD are not essential for GC-G activation by coolness. However, elimination of the CYC domain completely abolished the coolness-stimulated cGMP increase. By contrast, the H=CYC mutant protein containing only the H and the CYC domain retained substantial coolness-evoked GC activity, which suggests that these domains are critical for GC-G activation by cool temperatures.

To further scrutinize whether the H=CYC domain of GC-G serves as a thermosensor, we engineered a construct encoding a chimeric protein (designated GC-A/G) with the H=CYC domain of coolness-insensitive GC-A replaced by the H=CYC domain of GC-G (Fig 2). Western blot and flow cytometry confirmed that the expression and cell-surface targeting of the chimeric GC-A/G protein were similar to that of GC-A and GC-G when expressed in HEK-293T cells (Supplementary Fig S2B and C). The chimeric protein GC-A/G was effectively activated by coolness, similar to GC-G (Fig 2). Thus, replacing the H=CYC domain of GC-A with that of GC-G confers responsiveness to cool temperatures, so the molecular sensor for activation by cool temperatures resides within the H=CYC domain of GC-G. More detailed analyses with deletion constructs showed that residues at the N-terminal domain of the hinge region appeared to be critical for coolness-evoked activation of GC-G: on deletion of this portion of GC-G, the enzyme was no longer stimulated in a temperature-dependent manner (Fig 3A–C).

These experimental findings demonstrate that heterologously expressed GC-G (notably the H=CYC domain) enables coolness-evoked cGMP synthesis in HEK-293T cells and in membrane fractions prepared from these cells. However, whether GC-G alone is sufficient to mediate this coolness-induced cGMP generation remains unclear; alternatively, an additional cellular factor might be involved in the activation of GC-G by cool temperatures. To address this issue, we generated and purified a recombinant GST fusion protein (GST.GC-G-H=CYC) containing the H=CYC domain (residues 849–1,078) of GC-G (Fig 3D and Supplementary Fig S2D). A cool temperature (15°C) significantly stimulated the cyclase activity of the purified GST.GC-G-H=CYC protein (Fig 3D), analogous to the coolness-induced activation observed in GC-G-expressing cells (Fig 1B). These data suggest that coolness directly activates the H=CYC domain of GC-G without the involvement of any additional cellular factor.

Dimerization/oligomerization of GC-G is enhanced by cool temperatures

It is so far unclear why GC-G—in contrast to other GCs—is activated by coolness (Fig 1C). Similar to other GCs (Chinkers & Wilson, 1992; Lowe, 1992; Vaandrager et al, 1994; Goldberg & Molday, 1996; Yang & Garbers, 1997), GC-G forms heteromers or homomers (Kuhn et al, 2004). Interestingly, dimerization/oligomerization has been found to be essential for catalytic activity of other GCs (Thompson & Garbers, 1995; Wilson & Chinkers, 1995; reviewed by Lucas et al, 2000), suggesting that dimerization/oligomerization might be also relevant for GC-G enzymatic activity. Therefore, we tested whether the coolness-induced increase of GC-G enzymatic activity might be associated with an enhanced dimerization/oligomerization of this protein at cool temperatures. For this purpose, in HEK-293T cells, we heterologously co-expressed two different versions of GC-G, which were labeled by a FLAG (FLAG.GC-G) or a Myc tag (GC-G.Myc), respectively. Cell lysates were then subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-Myc antibody at 15 or 25°C before…
Western blotting with anti-FLAG antibody was conducted. An immunoreactive band of the expected size was observed in the immunoprecipitates (Fig 4A; upper left panel). Importantly, the intensity of this band was largely stronger when immunoprecipitation was conducted at 15°C compared to 25°C. When the same experiment was carried out with two variants of GC-A endowed with Myc and FLAG tag, respectively, the intensity of the immunoreactive band was very similar for immunoprecipitations conducted at 15 or 25°C (Fig 4A; upper right panel). Statistical analyses revealed that in comparison with 25°C, the immunoreactive band for GC-G
was more than fivefold stronger when the immunoprecipitation was carried out at 15°C (Fig 4B), indicating that coolness enhances dimerization/oligomerization of GC-G. When both immunoprecipitation and Western blotting were performed with the anti-Myc antibody, intensity of the immunoreactive band was similar at both precipitation temperatures (Fig 4A; middle panel). Similar results were obtained when immunoprecipitation and Western blotting were performed with the anti-FLAG antibody (Fig 4A; lower panel). These findings demonstrate that changing temperatures did not affect the efficiency of immunoprecipitation.

**Expression of GC-G renders HEK-293T cells responsive to cool temperatures**

Thermosensitive GG neurons co-express GC-G and the cGMP-activated channel CNGA3, proteins proposed to mediate coolness-evoked GG responses (Fleischer et al., 2009; Mamasuew et al., 2010). To address the question of whether a signaling cascade comprising the coolness-activated enzyme GC-G and its effector CNGA3 is sufficient to render cells responsive to cool temperatures, we performed calcium imaging experiments with HEK-293T cells heterologously expressing CNGA3 and loaded with the Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive dye Fura-2. In these cells, exposure to a cool temperature (15°C) did not increase intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration (Fig 5; upper panel). By contrast, in HEK-293T cells co-expressing CNGA3 and (FL) GC-G, intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) content increased with cooling. However, omitting Ca\(^{2+}\) from the extracellular buffer (−Ca\(^{2+}\)) abolished this coolness-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) signal (Fig 5; middle panel), so the increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) content was due to Ca\(^{2+}\) influx. With expression of the H+CYC domain of GC-G, similar to FL GC-G, intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) content increased with cooling (Fig 5; lower panel). Therefore, a signal pathway comprising the thermosensory enzyme GC-G and the cGMP-activated and Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable channel CNGA3 was sufficient to allow coolness-induced responses in cells. In contrast to GC-G, co-expression of CNGA3 with GC-A did not enable coolness-evoked responses in HEK-293T cells (our unpublished observations).

**Deletion of GC-G reduced responsiveness to cool temperatures in the GG**

In GG neurons, cool temperatures induce responses that can be visualized by monitoring the expression of the activity-dependent gene c-Fos (Mamasuew et al., 2008, 2010). To assess whether GC-G is critical for GG responsiveness to coolness in vivo, we compared c-Fos expression at different temperatures in wild-type (WT) and GC-G-deficient (GC-G-KO) mice. Neonatal mouse pups [postnatal day 0–4 (P0–P4)] were exposed to 35 or 22°C for 2 h, and the GG was analyzed for c-Fos expression by in situ hybridization. On exposing pups to 35°C, c-Fos expression was absent from the GG of both WT and GC-G-KO pups (Fig 6A–D). However, on exposing pups to 22°C, c-Fos expression was increased in a considerable number of GG neurons in WT animals (Fig 6E and G). By contrast, in GC-G-KO animals, the expression of c-Fos was weak or even absent (Fig 6F and H). In fact, the number of c-Fos-positive GG cells in GC-G-KO pups was about 85% lower than in WT counterparts (Fig 6I).

To further assess the relevance of GC-G for coolness-induced responses in the GG, we performed ratiometric Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging experiments on tissue slices through the GG from OMP-GFP mice (WT for GC-G) in which GG neurons fluoresce in green [due to expression of green fluorescent protein (GFP)] in GC-G-deficient (GC-G-KO) mice. Neonatal mouse pups [postnatal day 0–4 (P0–P4)] were exposed to 35 or 22°C for 2 h, and the GG was analyzed for c-Fos expression by in situ hybridization. On exposing pups to 35°C, c-Fos expression was absent from the GG of both WT and GC-G-KO pups (Fig 6A–D). However, on exposing pups to 22°C, c-Fos expression was increased in a considerable number of GG neurons in WT animals (Fig 6E and G). By contrast, in GC-G-KO animals, the expression of c-Fos was weak or even absent (Fig 6F and H). In fact, the number of c-Fos-positive GG cells in GC-G-KO pups was about 85% lower than in WT counterparts (Fig 6I).

To further assess the relevance of GC-G for coolness-induced responses in the GG, we performed ratiometric Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging experiments on tissue slices through the GG from OMP-GFP mice (WT for GC-G) in which GG neurons fluoresce in green [due to expression of green fluorescent protein (GFP)] in GC-G-deficient (GC-G-KO) mice. Neonatal mouse pups [postnatal day 0–4 (P0–P4)] were exposed to 35 or 22°C for 2 h, and the GG was analyzed for c-Fos expression by in situ hybridization. On exposing pups to 35°C, c-Fos expression was absent from the GG of both WT and GC-G-KO pups (Fig 6A–D). However, on exposing pups to 22°C, c-Fos expression was increased in a considerable number of GG neurons in WT animals (Fig 6E and G). By contrast, in GC-G-KO animals, the expression of c-Fos was weak or even absent (Fig 6F and H). In fact, the number of c-Fos-positive GG cells in GC-G-KO pups was about 85% lower than in WT counterparts (Fig 6I).
cGMP signaling is critical for coolness-evoked Ca^{2+} signals in GG neurons.

**GC-G is absent from other thermosensory cell types**

The above-mentioned observations strongly indicate that GC-G serves as a thermoreceptor in GG neurons, which raises the question of whether this molecule fulfills a similar function in other thermosensory neurons. To address this issue, potential GC-G expression was assessed by in situ hybridization in the two central nervous tissues harboring thermosensitive neurons: the trigeminal ganglion (TG) of the brain and the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) of the spinal cord of mouse. Coolness-responding neurons in the TG and DRG are endowed with the coolness-activated ion channel TRPM8 (Bautista et al., 2007; Colburn et al., 2007; Dhaka et al., 2007); however, they lack expression of GC-G (Supplementary Fig S4). Moreover, in situ hybridization revealed no expression of GC-G on sagittal sections through the mouse brain (Supplementary Figs S5 and S6), so in the mouse head, GC-G expression is confined to the GG.

**GC-G is critical for ultrasound vocalization (USV) in pups**

Previously, GG was proposed to influence USV (Mamasuew et al., 2008) generated by rodent pups to elicit maternal care on exposure to cool temperatures (Blumberg et al., 1992; Hashimoto et al., 2004; Szentgyorgyi et al., 2008). USVs are whistle-like sounds with a single component at frequencies between 30 and 100 kHz. Their sonographic pattern can be used to classify these calls ‘chevron’, downward, or complex calls (Scattoni et al., 2008). To further investigate the biological relevance of coolness-evoked cGMP signaling via GC-G in GG neurons, we compared coolness-induced USV between wild-type (WT) and GC-G-KO mouse pups. Littermates derived from a heterozygous intercross were taken from their nests and exposed to different temperatures (15, 23 or 35°C) for USV recording. Exposure of pups to 35°C, a temperature similar to the nest temperature...
in rodents (Cramer & Blass, 1982), or 23°C elicited a rather low rate of USV with no difference between WT and GC-G-KO neonatal pups (our unpublished observations). Only exposure to 15°C evoked substantial USV; therefore, we focused on this temperature for

**Figure 4.** Cool temperatures enhance dimerization/oligomerization of GC-G but not GC-A.

**A** HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with plasmids encoding two differential epitope-tagged (FLAG or Myc) versions of GC-G or GC-A, respectively. After 2 days, transfected cells were lysed with detergent and lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) for 2 h with anti-Myc antibody at two different temperatures (15 or 25°C), followed by Western blotting (WB) using anti-FLAG antibody to determine the amount of dimerized/oligomerized GC proteins (upper panel). These approaches demonstrated that in contrast to GC-A, homomeric protein/protein association of GC-G seems to be enhanced by cool temperatures (upper panel). Cell lysates were also precipitated and immunoblotted with the anti-Myc antibody, demonstrating that cooling does not interfere with immunoprecipitation of Myc-tagged GC-G via the anti-Myc antibody (middle panel). Likewise, precipitation and immunoblotting with the anti-FLAG antibody yielded bands of similar intensity for 15 and 25°C, respectively (lower panel).

**B** Relative quantification of homomeric protein/protein association of GC-G and GC-A was performed by densitometric scanning. Each intensity value from the upper panel was subsequently normalized to the total protein levels as determined in the middle and the lower panel of Fig 4A (intensity of the immunoreactive bands obtained with the anti-Myc or anti-FLAG antibody, respectively). Relative dimerization level at 15°C was further calculated by normalizing to values obtained at 25°C; the latter were set as 1. Results are means ± SD of three experiments, **P < 0.01 (compared to 25°C).

**Figure 5.** Increase in intracellular calcium [Ca²⁺], concentration in HEK-293T cells co-expressing GC-G and CNGA3 in response to cool temperatures.

HEK-293T cells expressing CNGA3 alone (upper panel) or together with GC-G (full-length in the middle panel and H+CYC domain in the lower panel) were loaded with the Ca²⁺ indicator Fura-2 to monitor intracellular [Ca²⁺]. Cells co-expressing GC-G and CNGA3 showed a rapid increase in [Ca²⁺] when the temperature was lowered from 37 to 15°C (middle and lower panel); cells only expressing CNGA3 are not responsive to cool temperatures (top panel). Removal of extracellular Ca²⁺ (-Ca²⁺; middle panel) completely suppressed the response to cooling. ΔF represents changes in the ratio of the fluorescence intensity of Fura-2 at 340/380 nm excitation. The ratiometric Ca²⁺ responses are representative of 30 cells recorded from three experiments.
further USV recording experiments with pups at P2 to P6. Observation of pups with a thermal camera allowed us to determine the temperatures at the tip of the nose (corresponding to the region harboring the GG) and the periphery of the body (corresponding to the skin) (Supplementary Fig S7A). After exposure to 15°C for 5 min, in WT pups, the temperatures were reduced to 18.9°C (at the tip of the nose) or to 20.8°C (in the skin) (Supplementary Fig S7B). WT and GC-G-KO pups did not differ in temperatures at the tip of

Figure 6. Attenuation of coolness-induced responses in the GG of GC-G-deficient (GC-G-KO) mice.

A–H In situ hybridization experiments with an antisense probe for c-Fos on coronal sections through the GG of wild-type (WT; left panel) or GC-G-KO (right panel) mouse pups (P0–P4) exposed to warm (35°C; A–D) or cool (22°C; E–H) ambient temperature for 2 h. Panels (C, D, G, H) show higher magnifications of the boxed areas in [A, B, E, F]. At 35°C (A–D), no c-Fos expression was detectable in the GG of both WT (A, C) and GC-G-KO (B, D) individuals. Upon exposure to 22°C, strong c-Fos expression was observed in the GG of WT pups (E, G), whereas c-Fos expression was barely detectable in the GG of GC-G-KO animals (F, H). The data shown are representative of 10 independent experiments. Pups originated from six different litters for each genotype. Scale bars: 200 μm in (A, B, E, F); 50 μm in (C, D, G, H).

I Quantification of c-Fos-positive GG cells in WT and GC-G-KO pups on exposure to 22°C for 2 h. All stained cells on every section along the rostrocaudal extent of the GG were counted; the results shown are based on the above-mentioned 10 experiments. The number of c-Fos-positive cells in the GG of a GC-G-KO mouse was determined relative to that in a concomitantly processed WT pup; the latter number was set to 100%. Data are mean ± SD (n = 11 in each genotype), **P < 0.0001.

J–N Calcium imaging of coronal sections through the GG of olfactory marker protein-green fluorescent protein (OMP-GFP)/GC-G+/+ or OMP-GFP/GC-G-KO pups (P1–P4). High magnification image (J) of a tissue slice through the GG of an OMP-GFP pup with GG neurons labeled by intrinsic GFP fluorescence (GFP fluorescence was merged with the transmitted-light channel). Cells analyzed are circled in blue (GFP-negative) or red (GFP-positive). NC, nasal cavity. Scale bar: 30 μm. (K–M) Representative ratiometric Ca2+ transients induced by cooling from 37 to 15°C in GFP-negative non-neuronal cells (K) and in GFP-positive GG neurons from OMP-GFP/GC-G+/+ (L) and OMP-GFP/GC-G-KO pups (M). The numbers in the bottom right hand corners are the number of cells with Ca2+ transient similar to what is shown in the respective graph (left) and total number of measured cells (right). (N) Quantification of coolness-induced ΔF in GG neurons from OMP-GFP/GC-G+/+ and OMP-GFP/GC-G-KO mice. Coolness-induced ΔF was calculated by subtracting the baseline fluorescence ratio (340/380 nm) at 37°C from the peak fluorescence ratio (340/380 nm) measured at 15°C. For OMP-GFP/GC-G+/+, the 29 coolness-responsive neurons (black bar) of all 54 analyzed neurons (gray bar) from five slices (obtained from different animals) were analyzed. For OMP-GFP/GC-G-KO, the 50 analyzed neurons (shaded bar) from five slices (obtained from different animals) were analyzed. Data are mean ± SD, **P < 0.01.
the nose and the skin (Supplementary Fig S7B). On analyzing USV in pups exposed to 15°C, similar USV call patterns were observed in WT mice and their GC-G-KO conspecifics (Supplementary Fig S8A). Moreover, the peak frequencies were similar between WT and GC-G-KO pups (Supplementary Fig S8B). However, the responsive rate to this cool temperature (i.e. the proportion of pups responsive to cooling) was markedly decreased in GC-G-KO pups at P6 as compared with their WT littermates (Fig 7A). Despite no substantial differences in the responsive rate to coolness between genotypes at P2 (Fig 7A), the number of ultrasound calls in GC-G-KO pups was significantly reduced (Fig 7B) and the latency in emitting the first USV after exposure to 15°C was clearly elevated (Fig 7C).

Discussion

Transmembrane GCs comprise extracellular as well as intracellular domains, suggesting that these enzymes function as receptors for specific ligands. In fact, most of them are activated by peptide ligands. The GC subtype GC-G, however, is considered as an orphan receptor (Kuhn, 2009; Potter, 2011). Recently, it has been reported that the heterologously expressed intracellular cyclase domain of GC-G is activated by bicarbonate (Chao et al, 2010). This observation is supported by the finding that in GG neurons from transgenic mice lacking functional expression of GC-G, responsiveness to bicarbonate in calcium imaging experiments was dramatically reduced compared to GG neurons endowed with GC-G (our unpublished observations). Yet, it is uncertain whether GC-G indeed serves as a receptor for bicarbonate under physiological conditions. Toward the identification of stimuli activating GC-G, it is important to note that previous studies in *C. elegans* indicated that several transmembrane GC subtypes expressed in sensory cells function as receptor proteins in the chemosensory detection of distinct environmental stimuli, including alkaline pH, CO2 and salty substances (Ortiz et al, 2009; Hallem et al, 2011; Brandt et al, 2012; Murayama et al, 2013; Smith et al, 2013a,b). Moreover, given GC subtypes from *C. elegans* are considered to be involved in transduction (Inada et al, 2006; Wang et al, 2013). In mice, chemo- and thermosensory neurons are localized to the GG. In the absence of the coolness-sensing channel TRPM8 in the GG, how such neurons can detect cool temperatures is unclear (Fleischer et al, 2009). Recently, we found that the temperature-gated potassium channel TREK-1 is expressed in GG neurons (Stebe et al, 2014). With a moderate reduction of GG activation by cool temperatures in the GG of TREK-1-KO mice, TREK-1 appears to be involved in coolness detection by GG neurons. Yet, TREK-1 cannot account for the substantial coolness-induced GG responses because even the GG of TREK-1-KO mice shows considerable responsiveness to cool temperatures. Thus, TREK-1 may not be dispensable for GG activation by coolness but rather enhances GG responses to cool temperatures (Stebe et al, 2014). In view of previous findings that coolness-responsive GG neurons express elements of a cGMP-mediated transduction cascade (notably the cGMP-synthesizing enzyme GC-G and the cGMP-activated ion channel CNGA3) and that elimination of CNGA3 resulted in strongly reduced responses to coolness (Fleischer et al, 2009; Mamasuew et al, 2010), cGMP signaling might contribute to GG responsiveness to cool temperatures. This concept was recently supported by the observation that the CNG channel inhibitor L-cis-diltiazem considerably decreased coolness-evoked Ca2+ signals in GG neurons (Brechtbuhl et al, 2013). However, the actual thermosensor in GG cells remained elusive.

The present study is the first to provide biochemical evidence that GC-G serves as a molecular sensor for cool temperatures in mammals. Thus, transmembrane GCs are not only utilized as
receptors for environmental stimuli in C. elegans but also in mammals. This is also in line with previous observations indicating that the GC-G-related transmembrane GC subtype GC-D serves as a chemosensory receptor for CO₂, carbon disulfides, and given urinary peptides in the murine olfactory system (Hu et al., 2007; Leinders-Zufall et al., 2007; Duda & Sharma, 2010; Munger et al., 2010). Yet, at a first glance, a transmembrane GC seems to be an unusual candidate for a thermosensor, especially since mammals are supposed to detect physical stimuli (including temperature, pressure and sound) via ion channels in distinct thermo- and mechanosensory cell types. However, the transmembrane GC subtype GCY-12 from the nematode C. elegans is stimulated by mild cool temperatures (Yu et al., 1997). In addition, our findings indicate that the murine transmembrane GC subtype GC-G is activated by coolness because its enzymatic activity peaked at about 15°C (Fig 1B). This trait is apparently not in line with the Q10 temperature coefficient for enzymatic reaction rates. How GC-G is activated by cool temperatures remains unclear. However, the finding that GC-G is activated by coolness in a heterologous expression system (Fig 1) and as a purified protein points to an intramolecular mechanism. This view is further substantiated by the observation that even a truncated C-terminal fragment of GC-G comprising only the catalytic domain and the hinge region is stimulated by coolness (Fig 3D). Thus, the enzyme’s C-terminal region harboring the catalytic domain is directly activated by cool temperatures. This notion is substantially supported by the finding that transferring the C-terminal domain of GC-G to another murine GC subtype rendered this enzyme responsive to coolness (Fig 2).

These results raise the question of why the C-terminal region of GC-G is so unique that it provides this enzyme with the unorthodox feature of high enzymatic activity at cool rather than warm temperatures. At a warm temperature (37°C), the (basal) activity of GC-G was similar to that of other GC subtypes (Fig 1C). Therefore, lowering the temperature could elicit conformational changes in the C-terminal domain of GC-G to facilitate rate-limiting intermediate steps in the synthesis of cGMP such as the binding of guanosine triphosphate (GTP) to the catalytic domain of the enzyme. Alternatively, since GC-G forms dimers (Kuhn et al., 2004), an essential step for the catalytic activity of other GCs (Wilson & Chinkers, 1995; Yang & Garbers, 1997), cool temperatures may affect GC-G conformation to enhance dimerization/oligomerization. The latter notion is supported by our co-immunoprecipitation experiments indicating that dimerization/oligomerization of GC-G is markedly enhanced at cool temperatures, whereas dimerization/oligomerization of GC-A, which is not activated by coolness, appeared to be unaffected by temperature changes (Fig 4). In this context, the hinge region might be of outstanding relevance since this domain is considered to be important for dimerization/oligomerization of GCs (Thompson & Garbers, 1995; Wilson & Chinkers, 1995; Lucas et al., 2000). Importance of the hinge region for GC-G enzymatic activity is also indicated by the observation that a deletion of some amino acid residues in the hinge region evoked an almost complete loss of GC-G coolness sensitivity; however, in these deletion variants, GC-G enzymatic activity was only decreased but not abolished (Fig 3C). Consequently, temperature dependency of GC-G dimerization/oligomerization could be mediated by amino acids in the hinge region.

Our findings establish GC-G as a coolness-activated enzyme and provide evidence that this protein indeed serves as a thermosensor in cells: on heterologous expression of GC-G (together with its effector CNGA3), HEK-293T cells became responsive to coolness (Fig 5). Moreover, coolness-induced GG responses were considerably diminished in GC-G-KO mice (Fig 6). Yet, with GC-G deletion in c-Fos experiments, coolness-evoked responses persisted in about 15% of GC cells (Fig 6I). In calcium imaging approaches, almost all tested GG neurons from GC-G-KO pups did not respond to coolness (Fig 6M); however, two cells were weakly responsive to cool temperatures. This observation suggests an additional and GC-G-independent pathway contributing to coolness-induced GG responses—at least in a subset of GG neurons. In line with this concept, a recent report demonstrated that the temperature-sensitive potassium channel TREK-1 moderately contributes to GG activation by cool temperatures (Stebe et al., 2014). Consistent with this finding, Schmid and co-workers (Schmid et al., 2010) observed coolness responsiveness in individual GG neurons from CNGA3-deficient mice. Thus, cGMP signaling seems to be essential in most but not in all coolness-responsive GG neurons to mediate substantial reactivity to cool temperatures.

Because of its role as a thermosensor in GG neurons, GC-G is assumed to have a similar function in other thermosensory cells. However, our results demonstrate that GC-G is absent from two major types of thermosensory cells: neurons in the DRG and in the TG of mice (Supplementary Fig S4). Moreover, GC-G was not substantially expressed in the mouse brain (Supplementary Figs S5 and S6). These observations support the notion that a role of GC-G as a thermosensor may be restricted to the GG. In fact, expression of this protein has been observed in only a few other murine tissues, notably kidney, testis and spermatids (Kuhn et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2008); the function of GC-G in these tissues is currently unclear.

GG neurons use the enzyme GC-G for sensing coolness (this study), and other cold-responsive neurons use the TRP8 channel (Bautista et al., 2007; Colburn et al., 2007; Dhaka et al., 2007), but why different cell types use distinct molecules for responding to cool temperatures remains unknown. For the relevant cells of the somatosensory system, the coolness-activated channel TRPM8 may be sufficient to reliably and rapidly respond to cool temperatures. However, in the dual sensory neurons of the GG, coolness-induced responses seem to cross-talk with signaling pathways activated by given odorants (Mamasuew et al., 2011b). To facilitate such cross-talks, GG neurons might rely on signaling elements that can function in both chemo- and thermotransduction. In fact, cGMP-associated signaling proteins are crucial for thermosensation in GG neurons (Mamasuew et al., 2010) (this study) and contribute to chemosensory responses in these cells (Mamasuew et al., 2011b; Hanke et al., 2013).

In mammals, sensing temperature is important for regulating the body temperature and for avoiding unpleasant or even noxious temperatures. Nocifensive behaviors allow mammals to escape from extreme temperatures that might elicit tissue damages. In the absence of their warmth-giving mother and being exposed to cool temperatures, rodent pups generate USV, thereby inducing pup-retrieval and maternal-care behaviors in dams. In fact, during the preweaning period, exposure to low ambient temperatures is considered the most important stimulus for eliciting USV (Allin & Banks, 1971; Okon, 1971; Oswalt & Meier, 1975; Blumberg et al., 1992; Szentesgyorgyi et al., 2008). The sensory
pathways underlying the generation of USV on exposure to coolness are unclear. Our findings demonstrate that GC-G is critical for eliciting coolness-evoked USV in mouse pups (Fig 7) perhaps because of its function in the GG and/or in higher neuronal centers of the brain. Our in situ hybridization experiments contradict a substantial expression of GC-G in the mouse brain (Supplementary Figs S5 and S6); the reduced USV generation with cooling in GC-G-KO animals points to a relevance of the GG for ultrasound calling in pups. Our USV experiments also revealed some age-dependent aspects of coolness-evoked USV. While in wild-type pups exposure to a cool temperature evoked USV in about 40% of the pups at all ages tested (P2, P4, and P6), this proportion substantially varied in GC-G-deficient pups (Fig 7A). In fact, in GC-G-knockout pups, the percentage of responding pups was very low at P6, whereas it was similar to wild-type specifics at P2. Although it has to be emphasized that even at P2, the number of ultrasound calls upon cooling was clearly reduced and their latency was increased in GC-G-deficient animals compared to wild-type pups (Fig 7B and C), the reasons for these age-dependent differences remain unclear. In this regard, it has to be taken into consideration that GC-G-mediated detection of cool temperatures in the GG might not be the only signaling pathway contributing to coolness-evoked USV; others might exist as well inside or even outside GG neurons. For instance, the above-mentioned contribution of TREK channels to coolness-evoked activation of GG neurons (Stebe et al, 2014) could rescue responsiveness to coolness in the absence of GC-G; at least in a subset of GG neurons. It is conceivable that such alternative/additional mechanisms possibly contributing to coolness-induced USV might rescue responses to cool temperatures better at some ages than at others.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture, transfection, construction of expression plasmids, flow cytometry, preparation of GST fusion protein, membrane fraction isolation, immunoprecipitation and Western blot analyses. These experiments were performed as described previously (Kuhn et al, 2004; Chao et al, 2010).

GC activity assay

To investigate the temperature dependence of GC-G activity in HEK-293T cells (Figs 1A and D, 2, 3C and Supplementary Fig S1), empty vector or GC-G-transfected HEK-293T cells were exposed to 37 or 15°C for 20 min (with 1 mM IBMX), then cGMP content was measured by use of the CatchPoint cGMP fluorescent assay kit (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). cGMP concentration was determined as picomole cGMP per milligram total cellular protein. For in vitro GC activity assay (Figs 1B and C and 3D), membrane protein fractions (10 μg) from transfected cells or purified recombinant GST.GC-G-H+CYC protein (1 μg) were mixed with reaction buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxantine, 2 mM ATP, 2 mM GTP, 0.5 mg/ml BSA, 5 mM MgCl2 and 10 mM sodium azide) to a final volume of 100 μl for 20 min at the indicated temperatures, then the reaction was stopped by adding 250 μl of ice-cold 100% ethanol. The supernatant was dried, and cGMP concentration was measured by use of the cGMP assay kit described above.

Mouse strains

This study involved wild-type (WT) mice (strain C57BL/6) and two GC-G-knockout mouse strains (designated GC-G-KO, backcrossed with C57BL/6 for at least 10 generations). Generation of the first knockout line was described recently (Lin et al, 2008), and generation of the second strain is detailed below and in Supplementary Fig S9. The c-Fos experiments involved the first GC-G-KO strain, and recording of USV and calcium imaging involved the second strain. In the OMP-GFP transgenic mouse line, green fluorescence protein (GFP) is expressed as a reporter under control of the olfactory marker protein (OMP) promoter (Potter et al, 2001). OMP-GFP/GC-G-KO mice (with GFP-labeled GG neurons lacking functional GC-G) were obtained by crossing GC-G-KO and OMP-GFP mice. Mice were kept on a standard 12-h light/dark cycle. All experiments complied with the current laws of Taiwan and Germany.

Calcium imaging

Before transfected HEK-293T cells were seeded, 30-mm cover slips were coated with poly-D-lysine (0.1 μg/ml, Millipore, dissolved in H2O) for 10 min at 37°C and air-dried for 2 h. HEK-293T cells were seeded and transfected with an expression plasmid encoding CNGA3 or together with an expression vector encoding GC-G fused to GFP for identification of transfected cells. Two days after transfection, cells were washed with Ca2+-recording buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 125 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 45 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 2 mM CaCl2), then incubated with 4 μM Fura-2AM (Sigma, dissolved in DMSO) for 30 min at room temperature and washed with Ca2+-recording buffer. Cover slips were placed in a POC open perfusion chamber (Pecon GmbH, Erbach, Germany) that was mounted on a Leica DMI 6000B microscope. The 340- and 380-nm excitation filters were used, and emission was measured at 510 nm. Light and the shutter were controlled by a LAMBDA DG-4 control device (Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA, USA). Images were collected by CCD-based imaging system running Metafluor software (Molecular Devices). The chamber was first filled with warm (37°C) Ca2+-recording buffer (supplemented with 1 mM of the phosphodiesterase inhibitor IBMX) for 2 min, then perfused with precooled (15°C) recording buffer (supplemented with 1 mM IBMX) for 5 min. Images were acquired every 2 s. Results are expressed as the ratio of 340-nm to 380-nm (R340/380) signals. Calcium imaging on coronal sections through the GG of mouse pups (P1–P4) was performed as described previously (Schmid et al, 2010).

Coolness-evoked GG responses (c-Fos) in mouse pups

The experiments were conducted as described (Mamasuew et al, 2008, 2010). Female mice were kept together with their pups in a cage under a 12-h light/dark cycle (light on at 7:00 AM). Neonatal pups were transferred (without their mother) to a cage placed in an incubator (CERTOMAT BS-1; B. Braun Biotech International, Melsungen, Germany) for exposure to ambient temperature (22 or 35°C), then killed by decapitation immediately after exposure.
in situ hybridization

Heads (for the GG and TG) or necks (for the DRG) of mouse pups were embedded in Leica OCT Cryocompound ‘tissue freezing medium’ (Leica Microsystems, Bensheim, Germany) and quickly frozen on dry ice. Sections (12 μm) were cut by use of a CM3050S cryostat (Leica Microsystems) and adhered to Polysine slides (Menzel, Braunschweig, Germany). A digoxigenin-labeled antisense riboprobe was generated from a partial cDNA clone in a pgEM-T plasmid encoding mouse c-Fos or GC-G by use of the T7/SP6 RNA transcription system (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) as recommended by the manufacturer. After fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde/0.1 M NaHCO₃/pH 9.5 for 45 min at 4°C, slices were washed in 1× PBS (0.85% NaCl, 1.4 mM KH₂PO₄, 8 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.4) for 1 min at room temperature, then incubated in 0.2 M HCl for 10 min, in 1% Triton X-100/1× PBS for 2 min and washed twice in 1× PBS for 30 s. Finally, sections were incubated in 50% formamide/5× SSC (0.75 M NaCl, 0.075 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0) for 10 min. Then, tissue was hybridized in hybridization buffer (50% formamide, 25% H₂O, 25% Microarray Hybridization Solution v2.0; GE Healthcare, Freiburg, Germany) containing the probe and incubated in a humid box (50% formamide) at 65°C overnight.

Slides were washed twice in 0.1× SSC for 30 min at 65°C, then treated with 1% blocking reagent (Roche Diagnostics) in TBS (100 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) with 0.3% Triton X-100 for 30 min at room temperature and incubated with an anti-digoxigenin alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibody (Roche Diagnostics) diluted 1:750 in TBS/0.3% Triton X-100/1% blocking reagent at 37°C for 30 min. After two washes in TBS for 15 min each, slides were rinsed in DAP buffer (100 mM Tris, pH 9.5, 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl₂). Hybridization signals were visualized with nitroblue tetrazolium and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate used as substrates. Sections were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) and photographed with use of an Axioskop 2 microscope (Carl Zeiss MicrolImaging, Göttingen, Germany), an AxioCam MRc5 camera (Zeiss) and the Axiovision imaging system (Zeiss). For microscopy and photography of GC-G-specific in situ hybridization on sagittal sections of the head, a SteREO Discovery.V12 stereomicroscope (Zeiss), an AxioCam color camera (Zeiss) and the Axiovision imaging system (Zeiss) were used.

USV and thermal imaging in separated pups

Neonatal mouse littersmates (11 litters) derived from a heterozygous GC-Glox/lox intercross were used. The day of birth was considered postnatal day 0 (P0). On the day of testing (P2, P4, and P6), each pup was separated from its littermates and dam and placed into a 600-ml glass beaker (diameter, 9 cm; height, 12.5 cm) located inside a low-temperature incubator (MCL-20A; BioBiz Corporation, Incheon, Korea) that was precooled to 15°C. A USV recorder (Anabat SD1 Bat Detector; Titeley Electronics, Ballina, NSW, Australia) was placed on top of the beaker to record the USV of the pup for 5 min. USV was analyzed by use of AnaLook software (Titeley Electronics). Crucial parameters of USV were defined as follows: (1) Positive response: any USV detected during the 5-min exposure to coolness (15°C). The cooling responsive rate was calculated as the proportion of pups responsive to cooling in each genotype at different ages. (2) Number of calls: the total number of USV calls detected during 5 min exposure to coolness. (3) Latency: time between the onset of coolness exposure and the first ultrasound call (measured to the first peak frequency).

For thermal imaging analyses, pups exposed to a cool ambient temperature (15°C) were filmed with a thermal camera (NEC Thermo Shot F30S) for 5 min. Temperatures at the tip of the nose (corresponding to the region harboring the GG) and the periphery of the body (corresponding to the skin) were calculated at 0.5, 3, and 5 min from thermal gradient scales.

Generation of a novel GC-G-KO mouse strain

For the GC-G-encoding gene (Gucy2g), we generated a novel conditional allele with a targeting vector that introduced two loxP sites flanking exons 16–18; the exons code for a critical part of the cyclase catalytic domain of GC-G (Supplementary Fig S9). After electroporation into ES cells (129/Sv R1 cell line), DNA samples from G418-resistant clones were digested with BamHI to examine correct targeting via Southern blotting analysis with an external probe. We then removed the FRT-flanked neomycin resistance gene cassette by transfecting the targeted ES cells with a FLP recombinase-encoding expression plasmid. The final targeted Flox allele contained a loxP site upstream of exon 16 and another FRT/loxP site downstream of exon 18 of the Gucy2g gene. ES cells were injected into C57BL/6 blastocysts to generate chimeras. Germline transmission of the Flox allele was confirmed by PCR analysis. Heterozygous global Gucy2glox/+ mice were generated by crossing male Prm-Cre; Gucy2glox/+ mice to WT female mice. The Δ allele was backcrossed with C57BL/6 mice for at least seven generations. The GC-G-KO (GC-Glox/lox) littersmates showed no apparent reproductive or morphological defects. Primers used for genotyping and RT-PCR analysis were as follows: WT allele: CUC, 5’-TTT GCG GCC GCA TGG TTA ACA TAA CAA GGC TGTG-3’, and FD, 5’-TCA GTC GAC AGG CCA TCA AGT TGG TGT GTC TGC-3’. For statistical analyses of c-Fos expression, from each animal investigated, all sections along the rostrocaudal extent of the GG were analyzed and all c-Fos-positive cells in sections were counted to determine the number of c-Fos-positive cells in the GG of a GC-G-KO mouse relative to that in a concomitantly processed WT pup; the latter number was set to 100%. In the bar chart of Fig 6I, the standard deviation is indicated. The P-value was determined by two-tailed paired t-test (with 95% confidence interval) with GraphPad Prism 5.0. In Fig 6N, coolness-induced ∆F (ratio of fluorescence intensity) was analyzed by two-tailed unpaired t-test. Cooling responsive rate between genotypes was analyzed by chi-square test. Number of calls and latency between genotypes were analyzed by two-tailed unpaired t-tests. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Statistical analysis

For statistical analyses of c-Fos expression, from each animal investigated, all sections along the rostrocaudal extent of the GG were analyzed and all c-Fos-positive cells in sections were counted to determine the number of c-Fos-positive cells in the GG of a GC-G-KO mouse relative to that in a concomitantly processed WT pup; the latter number was set to 100%. In the bar chart of Fig 6I, the standard deviation is indicated. The P-value was determined by two-tailed paired t-test (with 95% confidence interval) with GraphPad Prism 5.0. In Fig 6N, coolness-induced ∆F (ratio of fluorescence intensity) was analyzed by two-tailed unpaired t-test. Cooling responsive rate between genotypes was analyzed by chi-square test. Number of calls and latency between genotypes were analyzed by two-tailed unpaired t-tests. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Supplementary information for this article is available online: http://embj.embopress.org
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