Insm1 cooperates with Neurod1 and Foxa2 to maintain mature pancreatic β-cell function
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Abstract

Key transcription factors control the gene expression program in mature pancreatic β-cells, but their integration into regulatory networks is little understood. Here, we show that Insm1, Neurod1 and Foxa2 directly interact and together bind regulatory sequences in the genome of mature pancreatic β-cells. We used Insm1 ablation in mature β-cells in mice and found pronounced deficits in insulin secretion and gene expression. Insm1-dependent genes identified previously in developing β-cells markedly differ from the ones identified in the adult. In particular, adult mutant β-cells resemble immature β-cells of newborn mice in gene expression and functional properties. We defined Insm1, Neurod1 and Foxa2 binding sites associated with genes deregulated in Insm1 mutant β-cells. Remarkably, combinatorial binding of Insm1, Neurod1 and Foxa2 but not binding of Insm1 alone explained a significant fraction of gene expression changes. Human genomic sequences corresponding to the murine sites occupied by Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 were enriched in single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with glycolytic traits. Thus, our data explain part of the mechanisms by which β-cells maintain maturity: Combinatorial Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding identifies regulatory sequences that maintain the mature gene expression program in β-cells, and disruption of this network results in functional failure.
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Introduction

During terminal differentiation of cells, gene expression programs are established that are then faithfully maintained throughout the lifetime of mature cells (Holmberg & Perlmann, 2012). The ontogeny of pancreatic β-cells, the insulin-secreting cells of the body, has been studied extensively, and a hierarchically controlled transcription factor network that determines β-cell specification and differentiation has been defined. Frequently, these transcription factors provide transient regulatory input, but a subset of them remains expressed during the lifetime of mature non-dividing β-cells (Holmberg & Perlmann, 2012; Szabat et al., 2012). In particular, Neurod1, Foxa1, Foxa2, Nkx6.1 and Pdx1 were first identified to control β-cell development but are now known to also maintain mature β-cell function (Naya et al., 1997; Ahlgren et al., 1998; Holland et al., 2002; Gao et al., 2007, 2010; Gu et al., 2010; Szabat et al., 2012; Taylor et al., 2013). This shows that the persistent and mature gene expression program in β-cells is actively controlled, but only recently the integration of these factors into regulatory networks is beginning to receive attention (Pasquali et al., 2014).

Maturity is known to be important for β-cell function, and loss of maturity was associated with failure of glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in diabetes (Weir & Bonner-Weir, 2004; Ziv et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014). Insulin release is finely tuned and relies on mechanisms that allow β-cells to sense and metabolize glucose, as well as on signaling cascades that couple metabolic signals to insulin exocytosis (Lang, 1999; MacDonald et al., 2005). In addition to glucose as primary regulator, metabolites such as free amino acids or fatty acids also stimulate insulin secretion. Furthermore, hormones such as glucagon-like peptide-1 (Glp1) and gastrointestinal inhibitory polypeptide (GIP) modulate and serve as potentiators of glucose-induced insulin secretion (MacDonald et al., 2005; Baggio & Drucker, 2007). Thus, in a normal physiological setting in the adult, insulin secretion is controlled by glucose and additional signals that provide modulatory input. β-cells of adult and newborn mice differ in their response to these complex cues, indicating that the ability to appropriately
secretes insulin is acquired during postnatal maturation. To restore
β-cells or β-cell functions in diabetic patients, in vitro protocols were
developed that allow the generation of β-cells from embryonic stem
cells by a step-wise differentiation that recapitulates development
in vivo (D’Amour et al., 2006; Nostro & Keller, 2012). Until recently,
such methods yielded immature β-cells that poorly secrete insulin in
response to glucose, but a new protocol was recently reported to
overcome this limitation (Pagliuca et al., 2014). Despite the physio-
logical importance of β-cell maturity, the maturation process
remains little understood on a molecular level.

Insm1 encodes a zinc finger factor that controls differentiation of
β-cells and other endocrine cell types in the pancreas, intestine,
pituitary and adrenal medulla (Gierl et al., 2006; Wildner et al., 2008;
Welcker et al., 2013; Osipovich et al., 2014). In endocrine cells of the
pancreas, Insm1 expression is initiated early during development in
an Ngn3-dependent manner (Gierl et al., 2006; Mellitzer et al., 2006).
Insm1 but not Ngn3 expression is maintained in mature endocrine
cells, providing an example of the distinct regulatory cascades oper-
ating in development and maturity. We show here that Insm1 binds
to chromatin in β-cells and that most Insm1 sites are co-occupied by
two key β-cell transcription factors, Neurod1 and Foxa2. Using condi-
tional gene ablation in mice, we show that Insm1 controls mature
β-cell function and is required for correct glucose-stimulated
insulin secretion. Mutant β-cells shift their functional properties and
gene expression program to resemble immature β-cells. Binding
sites co-occupied by Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 are mainly located in
intergenic and intronic sequences. Remarkably, the presence of such
combinatorial binding sites correlates very significantly with gene
expression changes in Insm1 mutant β-cells. Conversely, sites occu-
pied by Insm1 only are enriched in promoters and correlate poorly
with gene expression changes. Together, our data provide evidence
that combinatorial binding of Insm1, Neurod1 and Foxa2 identifies
cis-regulatory sequences that maintain the mature gene expression
program of β-cells.

Results

Insm1 ablation in mature β-cells abrogates glucose-stimulated
insulin secretion

In the mature murine pancreas of control mice, nuclear Insm1 protein
was detected by immunohistology in insulin+ β-cells (Fig 1A and B).
Other endocrine cell types like α-cells that express glucagon (Gcg),
δ-cells that express somatostatin (Sst) and Pp-cells that express
pancreatic polypeptide (Pp) locate to the periphery of murine islets
and also express Insm1 (Fig 1C–E). In contrast to the expression
observed in developing endocrine cells, Insm1 protein levels in adult
endocrine cells appeared heterogeneous and β-cells that express high
and low Insm1 levels were observed. To assess the role of Insm1 in
mature β-cell gene regulation and function, we introduced a somatic
Insm1 mutation in mice using a ‘floxed’ allele (Insm1lox/lox;Z,
Supplementary Fig S1A and Materials and Methods) and a tamoxifen-
inducible Cre driven by the insulin promoter (RIPCreER; Dor et al.,
2004). To test for specificity of recombination using RIPCreER, the
mT/mG indicator line was used that expresses membrane-bound
tomato and GFP before and after Cre-dependent recombination,
respectively (Muzumdar et al., 2007). After tamoxifen treatment of
mT/mG;RIPCreER animals, the vast majority of insulin+ cells co-expressed GFP, indicating that recombination is efficient in β-cells
(Fig 1F and G). Non-recombined tomato+ cells in islets were also
observed (Fig 1H). These co-expressed PECAM, glucagon, pancreatic
polypeptide and somatostatin (Fig 1I–L), indicating that recombina-
tion does not occur in endothelial, α-, δ- and Pp-cells. We used
tamoxifen-treated mice with an Insm1lox/lox;RIPCreER genotype as
conditional mutants, which are subsequently called colsm1 mutants.
In colsm1 mutants, a pronounced reduction of Insm1 protein
was observed by immunohistology in insulin+/Pdx1high β-cells
(Fig 1M–P) and by Western blot analysis of isolated islets (Fig 2A).

We next assessed plasma insulin levels in control and mutant
mice. When insulin secretion was monitored after glucose injection
in colsm1 mutants, we observed a completely disrupted insulin
secretion; that is, insulin levels were not elevated 2, 5 or 15 min
after the injection (Fig 2B). Insulin secretion was also impaired
during a 2-h period after glucose challenge (Supplementary
Fig S1B). In fasted colsm1 and control animals, insulin levels were
similar (Fig 2C). We also monitored insulin levels in randomly fed
animals that receive a mixture of nutrients, that is, under conditions
in which insulin secretion is regulated by complex physiological
parameters encompassing glucose, other nutrients and hormones.
This showed that insulin levels were increased in randomly fed
mice compared to fasted colsm1 mice (Fig 2C). However, insulin levels
did not reach those observed in randomly fed control mice (Fig 2C).
Blood glucose levels of randomly fed colsm1 animals were mark-
edly elevated, and colsm1 mice showed pronounced elevation of
blood glucose in glucose tolerance tests (Fig 2D and E). Elevated
glucose levels were consistently observed in colsm1 mice
2–11 weeks after tamoxifen treatment (Fig 2F). Similar changes in
glucose levels were observed in randomly fed and glucose chal-
lenged mice regardless whether the mutation was introduced at an
age of 1 or 2 months (compare Fig 2D and E; Supplementary Fig
S1C and D). In all experiments described above, we compared
colsm1 mutants to control animals of two genotypes, Insm1lox+/–;
RIPCreER and Insm1lox/lox. Since the two control groups were
indistinguishable, we combined them in subsequent experiments.

Insm1 is required for normal islet morphology and
insulin content

We next tested whether decreased insulin release was caused by
β-cell loss or reduced insulin production. Pancreatic β-cell numbers
were comparable in control and mutant mice (Fig 3A), but β-cell
mass was mildly reduced in the mutants (Fig 3B). Insulin content
and insulin-1 (Ins1) but not insulin-2 (Ins2) mRNAs were mildly
reduced in colsm1 mutant pancreata (Fig 3C and D). Mice that lack
the insulin-1 gene remain glucose tolerant (Leroux et al., 2001), indi-
cating that the mild downregulation of insulin-1 mRNA cannot
account for the pronounced deficit in insulin secretion observed in
colsm1 mutant mice.

Histological analyses showed that the average cell size was
reduced resulting in denser nuclear packing, but overall epithelial
cell morphology was intact as assessed by β-catenin distribution
(Fig 3E). The smaller cell size can thus account for the reduction in
the β-cell mass. TUNEL staining showed that apoptosis rates were
elevated in islets of colsm1 mutants, but they nevertheless
remained low (< 1 apoptotic cell/section; Fig 3F). This was
accompanied by a mild increase in proliferation (Fig 3G). We also found that glucagon-expressing α-cells were increased in number and dispersed throughout the islet instead of being located in the periphery (Fig 3H). The numbers and locations of somatostatin- and pancreatic peptide-expressing cells and expression of other hormones were unchanged (Supplementary Fig S2). In conclusion, ablation of Insm1 in adult β-cells resulted in perturbed islet morphology, reduced β-cell mass due to smaller cell volume and decreased pancreatic insulin content.

**Insm1 mutant β-cells assume immature functional characteristics**

We next tested systematically for changes in gene expression in coInsm1 mutant islets by microarray analysis (GSE54044), which...
revealed deregulated genes in mutant islets (P-value < 0.05; 1,232 genes with FC > 1.2 and 352 with FC > 1.4 and < 0.6). Similar numbers of genes were up- and down-regulated. To define affected cellular processes, we performed Gene Ontology (GO) term analysis of differentially expressed genes. Consistent with glucose intolerance, deregulated genes were associated with biological processes critical for β-cell function, such as regulation of insulin secretion, response to hormone and glucose stimulus, and glucose metabolism (Fig 4A; Supplementary Table S1). Comparison with Insm1-dependent genes identified previously in the developing pancreas (Gierl et al., 2006) revealed little overlap (61 overlapping genes, Pearson’s coefficient 0.21, Supplementary Table S2). Thus, Insm1 controls distinct sets of genes in developing and mature β-cells.

Insulin exocytosis from pancreatic β-cells is stimulated by glucose metabolism by a mechanism involving ATP-sensitive K+ channels (MacDonald et al., 2005). In addition, hormones such as glucagon-like peptide-1 (Glpl) and gastrointestinal inhibitory polypeptide (GIP) and metabolic fuels potentiate insulin secretion (Baggio & Drucker, 2007). Many deregulated genes identified participate in glucose-dependent insulin secretion, such as Glut2 (Slc2a2), Pcx, Prkfb3, Hk1, Pdk1/4 and G6pc2 that control glucose uptake and metabolism, a subunit of the ATP-sensitive potassium channel (Abcc8), intracellular signaling molecules (Prkcb, Ak5, Tpmt5, Cx36), glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (Glplr) and a negative regulator of GIP receptor signaling (Rgs2) (see Supplementary Table S1 for a list of deregulated genes implicated in insulin secretion;  

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**Figure 2.** Conditional mutation of Insm1 results in disrupted glucose-stimulated insulin secretion and glucose intolerance.

A Western blot analysis of Insm1 in isolated islets of control and coInsm1 mutant mice (pool of 200 islets from 2 to 3 mice).
B Glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in control and coInsm1 mice. The mice were injected with glucose at t = 0, and insulin secretion was monitored over time (n = 12–14).
C Blood insulin levels in fasted and randomly fed control (green bars: Insm1flox/ lacZ; blue bars: Insm1flox/ lacZ;RIPCreER) and coInsm1 mice (red bars: Insm1flox/ lacZ;RIPCreER) (n = 14–16).
D Blood glucose levels in fasted and randomly fed control and coInsm1 mice; mutations were introduced at an age of 4–5 weeks, and mice were analyzed at 8–12 weeks. Glucose levels in mutant mice were 12.3 ± 1.3 mM (range of 4.0–24.7 mM), in contrast to 7.5 ± 0.2 mM and 7.2 ± 0.2 mM (range of 6.0–10.2 mM) in control mice (n = 12–14).
E Glucose tolerance test in control and coInsm1 mice (n = 12–14).
F Blood glucose levels in randomly fed control and coInsm1 mice at various time points (0–11 weeks) after introduction of the mutations (n = 12–14).

Data information: Data are presented as means ± SEM, statistical significance was assessed by ANOVA and 2-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Source data are available online for this figure.
The expression of deregulated genes implicated in insulin secretion was confirmed by qRT–PCR (Fig 4B). Ucn3 provides a marker for β-cell maturity, and the ratio of MafB and MafA expression is important during β-cell maturation (Artner et al., 2010; Blum et al., 2012; van der Meulen et al., 2012; Hang et al., 2014). We also observed that Ucn3, MafB and MafA were deregulated in colinsm1 mutant islets. This provided first evidence that colinsm1 mutant islets have lost a mature gene expression program.

We therefore systematically compared genes deregulated in colinsm1 islets to previously reported genes differentially expressed in mature and immature (P1) mice (Blum et al., 2012; GSE35906). This revealed a large overlap; that is, 29% of the genes that were differentially expressed in control and colinsm1 mutant islets were also differentially expressed in mature and immature β-cells; a hypergeometric probability test demonstrated that this overlap was highly significant (P < 5.6 × 10^-9; Fig 4C). We also compared the differentially expressed genes of colinsm1 mutants with a diabetes model (Kluth et al., 2014) and found a more limited overlap (115 genes deregulated in both models, P = 1). Islets of colinsm1 mutants resemble thus more closely immature than diabetic islets. Ucn3, Glut2 and GIPr were among the common set of deregulated genes in immature and colinsm1 mutant islets, and Western blotting demonstrated reduced levels of the corresponding proteins (Fig 4D). Ngn3 and other markers of β-cell progenitors were not induced (Supplementary Fig S3). This indicates that colinsm1 mutant β-cells revert to an immature but not progenitor state.

Immature β-cells, for instance those from newborn mice, are known to respond aberrantly to glucose (Rorsman et al., 1989; Blum et al., 2012). We therefore characterized and directly compared glucose-induced insulin secretion from islets isolated from colinsm1 mutants and immature mice (Fig 4E and F). colinsm1 mutant but not control islets released insulin at 3.3 mM glucose, but glucose-stimulated insulin release was similar at 16.7 mM. In control islets, insulin secretion was further enhanced by pyruvate, exendin-4 and GIP in the presence of 16.7 mM glucose, but colinsm1 mutant islets responded poorly to these secretagogues (Fig 4E). Similar changes in insulin secretion were observed in immature islets obtained from postnatal day 1 (P1) mice, that is, increased insulin release at low glucose (3.3 mM) and poor response to pyruvate, exendin-4 and GIP (Fig 4F). colinsm1 mutant islets and immature islets also displayed a similar decrease in sensitivity to tolbutamide, a blocker of ATP-sensitive potassium channels (Fig 4E and F). Stimulation with KCl released similar amounts of insulin from control, colinsm1 mutant and from immature islets (Fig 4E and F). This indicated that the overall secretory machinery remained functional in colinsm1 and immature islets. This was also supported by electron micrograph
Figure 4. Mutation of Insm1 disrupts mature gene expression in adult islets.

A GO term analysis of differentially expressed genes identified by microarray analysis in isolated islets from control and Insm1 mutant mice. Shown are GO terms, the P-value for their enrichment and the number of differentially expressed genes associated with a particular GO term.

B Verification of differential expression of genes previously implicated in the control of insulin secretion; RNA from isolated islets of control and Insm1 mutant mice was compared by qRT-PCR (n = 5).

C Comparison of the deregulated genes identified in Insm1 mutant versus control islets and sorted β-cells from P1 and adult mice. 1,232 genes were differentially expressed in Insm1 mutant islets, and among these, 358 were previously identified as differentially expressed in immature versus mature β-cells.

D Analysis of Ucn3, Glit2 and Glpr1r protein by Western blotting; a representative of three experiments is shown.

E Insulin secretion from isolated islets of control and Insm1 mutant mice in response to glucose (3.3 and 16.7 mM), and in response to 16.7 mM glucose and additional secretagogues, that is, 100 mM pyruvate (Pyv), 20 nM exendin-4 (Ex-4), 100 nM gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP), 200 µM of the ATP-sensitive K+ channel inhibitor tolbutamide (To1) and in response to membrane depolarization (30 mM KCl) (n = 3–4).

F Insulin secretion from isolated islets of P1 and adult mice in response to glucose, secretagogues and membrane depolarization (n = 3–4).

G Secretory vesicle appearance and density in control and Insm1 mutant β-cells (n = 3).

H Arginine-induced insulin secretion was intact in Insm1 mutant mice (n = 6–15).

Data information: Data are presented as means ± SD; statistical significance was assessed by ANOVA and 2-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Scale bar: 1 µm.

Source data are available online for this figure.
analysis of insulin-containing secretory granules in β-cells that did not reveal changes in vesicular morphology or density in mutant mice (Fig 4G). Furthermore, examination of arginine-induced insulin secretion in vivo did not reveal significant differences between control and coIns1m1 animals (Fig 4H). Thus, mutant β-cells remain fully capable of insulin secretion after challenge with arginine in vivo or by global membrane depolarization by exogenous KCl in vitro. In summary, the primary deficit in coIns1m1 mutant β-cells is restricted to glucose-induced insulin secretion and its modulation by secretagogues, excluding a general deficit in the basic secretory machinery. This is also supported by the fact that genes controlling glucose sensing and metabolism, secretagogue response and intra-cellular signaling are deregulated.

**Insm1 binds to chromatin cooperatively with Neurod1 and Foxa2**

To understand the molecular mechanism of Insm1 function in β-cells, ChIP-seq experiments were performed. As a chromatin source, we used early passages of an immortalized pancreatic β-cell line (referred to as SJ β-cells) that we established (Radvanyi et al., 1993). Insulin secretion from SJ β-cells was stimulated eightfold to tenfold in response to glucose, which is comparable to the response observed in dissociated cells from adult islets, but lower than the one in intact islets, and these cells respond to exendin-4 and GIP (Supplementary Fig S4A; compare with Blum et al., 2012; Halban et al., 1982). Two independent ChIP-seq experiments (GSE54046) identified 17,453 high confidence Insm1 binding sites in chromatin of SJ β-cells that overlapped between experiments (see Supplementary Fig S4B-D for replicate comparisons, antibody specificity and binding site distribution). Example traces are shown in Fig S5A and Supplementary Fig S4E (upper traces). Examination of these binding sites by de novo motif analyses revealed an enrichment of two sequences within ±25 bp of the summits of binding sites (Fig S5B and ii; E-value = $8 \times 10^{-92}$ and $8 \times 10^{-44}$ for the two motifs shown in i and ii, respectively). The first corresponds to an E-box (present in 22% of all Insm1 binding sites) and the second to the consensus sequence of forkhead factors (present in 21% of all Insm1 binding sites; Newburger & Bulyk, 2009). The previously described consensus Insm1 binding sequence (Breslin et al., 2002) was not identified by de novo motif analysis, but a related sequence (Fig S5B iii) was observed in 19% of all Insm1 binding sites (E-value = $5.5 \times 10^{-55}$; see also below).

The key β-cell transcription factors Neurod1 and Foxa2 bind E-box and forkhead motifs, respectively. We tested whether Neurod1 and Foxa2 co-occupy Insm1 sites in β-cell chromatin using ChIP-seq analysis. A total of 14,607 Neurod1 and 28,379 Foxa2 binding sites were identified in the genome of SJ β-cells; 38% of the Neurod1 and 46% of the Foxa2 sites contained the known E-box and forkhead consensus motif, respectively, within ±25 bp of the summit of the peaks. Binding sites of Insm1 in the genome displayed large degrees of overlap with Neurod1 and Foxa2: 73% of all Insm1 sites were co-occupied by Neurod1 and/or Foxa2, and 38% were co-occupied by all three factors (Fig 5C). Summits of single factor binding peaks were typically close and less than 50 bp apart (Fig 5D). Traces for all three factors are shown for the key β-cell transcription factor Pdx1 and the glucose transporter Glut2 (Slc2a2) (Fig 5A), as well as for Ucn3, Ins1, hexokinase1 (Hk1) and Mafa (Supplementary Fig S4E; see also Supplementary Table S3). Many Insm1 sites not classified as Neurod1 or Foxa2 binding sites nevertheless displayed substantial Neurod1 or Foxa2 coverage that had not sufficed to pass the stringent cutoff (Fig 5E, Materials and Methods). We also performed de novo motif analyses on co-occupied and ‘Insm1 only’ sites. In ‘Insm1 only’ sites, two motifs were identified, one resembling the described Insm1 consensus sequence (Fig 5B iii) (Breslin et al., 2002) and the second corresponding to a new motif (Supplementary Fig S5); neither of these were identified in sites co-occupied by all three factors.

Next, we compared the number of reads obtained in Insm1, Neurod1 or Foxa2 ChIP-seq experiments and observed that read numbers were highest at sites co-occupied by all three factors (Fig 6A). Comparison of the distribution of co-occupied and ‘Insm1 only’ sites in the genome demonstrated that co-occupied sites were depleted of promoter and enriched in intergenic and intronic sequences (Fig 6B). The co-recruitment of Insm1, Neurod1 and Foxa2 was also experimentally tested by ChIP-PCR in both SJ β-cells and murine islets. Indeed, all tested sites were enriched (Fig 6C and D). Thus, Insm1, Neurod1 and Foxa2 frequently bind in close proximity in chromatin of pancreatic β-cells, and high affinity binding sites are enriched in sites co-occupied by all three factors.

We next tested whether Insm1 and Neurod1 and/or Foxa2 bind simultaneously or competitively by sequential chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-reChIP) (Traux & Greer, 2012). After precipitation of chromatin from SJ β-cells and murine islets by anti-Insm1 antibodies, we observed substantial re-precipitation with anti-Neurod1 or anti-Foxa2 antibodies (Fig 7A–D). Thus, Insm1/Neurod1 and Insm1/Foxa2 can bind simultaneously. We also tested whether Insm1 directly interacts with Neurod1 or Foxa2 using immunoprecipitation and Western blotting. Anti-Insm1 antibodies co-precipitated endogenous Neurod1, and to a lesser extend Foxa2 in SJ β-cells (Fig 7E). Co-precipitation was also observed in the presence of ethidium bromide (Fig 7E), indicating that Insm1/Neurod1 and Insm1/Foxa2 interactions are independent of DNA binding (Lai & Herr, 1992). Conversely, the endogenous Insm1 was immunoprecipitated by anti-Neurod1 and anti-Foxa2 antibodies in the presence and absence of ethidium bromide, but not by control or anti-Mafa antibodies (Fig 7E). Thus, Insm1 physically interacts strongly with Neurod1, and to a lesser extent with Foxa2, indicating that Insm1 can be recruited also indirectly to chromatin.

We chose sequences to assess them for enhancer activity in β-cells (SJ cells), hepatocytes (HepG2 cells) and a kidney cell line (HEK293) by luciferase assays. Sequences chosen were associated with deregulated genes and either co-occupied by Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 (indicated by 3 in Fig 7F), by other combinations of Insm1 sites (indicated by 2) or by Insm1 only (indicated by 1). The majority of Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 co-occupied sites were more active in β-cells than in HepG2 or HEK293 cells (Fig 7F). Thus, sites that integrate binding of all three factors display cis-regulatory activity and β-cell lineage selectivity. Indeed, Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 sites were strongly enriched in the previously characterized putative enhancers of β-cells (Supplementary Table S4; Tennant et al., 2013). Six fragments that displayed lineage-specific regulatory activity were further analyzed by luciferase assays in HepG2 cells that express endogenous Foxa2, but not Insm1 or Neurod1. Insm1 or Neurod1 cDNA was transfected together with luciferase constructs. Expression of Neurod1 cDNA but not of Insm1 cDNA alone stimulated transcriptional activity of enhancer fragments from the
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Glp1r and Pcx genes. Remarkably, Neurod1 and Insm1 co-transfection stimulated expression synergistically (Fig 7G), which was observed with enhancer fragments from Glp1r and Pcx genes but not other tested fragments. We conclude from these data that Neurod1 and Insm1 can act synergistically to increase transcriptional activity.

Combinatorial Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding sites correlate with Insm1-dependent gene regulation

Transcription factors typically bind to many thousand sites in the genome. It has remained a challenge to identify among these sites the ones functionally important for regulating gene expression. We related Insm1 binding and changes in gene expression in colinsm1 mutant islets. In comparison to all expressed genes, combinatorial Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding sites were significantly enriched in deregulated genes ($P$-value = $2.2 	imes 10^{-19}$ and $8.0 	imes 10^{-22}$ for sequences 10 kb or 50 kb around the genes, respectively, for FC > 1.2). For instance, 16% of all expressed genes and 32% of deregulated genes (FC > 1.4) contained an Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding site within 10 kb ($P$-value = $4.3 	imes 10^{-17}$). Sites occupied by ‘Insm1 only’ did not correlate with changed gene expression (Fig 8A). Additional computational analysis of other categories of single and combinatorial binding sites confirmed that sites co-occupied by Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 correlate best with changes in Insm1-dependent gene expression (Supplementary Table S5). Similar numbers of genes associated with Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding sites were up- and down-regulated (Supplementary Table S6), indicating that these sites regulate transcription in a context-dependent manner to activate or repress genes. Many deregulated genes associated with combinatorial Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding sites participate in insulin secretion, among them all deregulated genes whose expression was verified by qPCR in Fig 3B (see Supplementary Table S3 for a list of genes).
of deregulated genes implicated in insulin secretion associated with Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 sites). Finally, we defined the presence of Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding sites in genes deregulated in both, immature islets and coInsm1, and observed again a significant enrichment (P-value = 1.0 × 10^{-10} and 4.2 × 10^{-15} for sequences 10 kb and 50 kb around deregulated genes, respectively). We conclude that the presence of sites characterized by triple Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding is a predictor of Insm1-dependent gene expression.
Figure 7. Direct interaction of Insm1, Neurod1 and Foxa2.

A reChIP-PCR analysis of selected binding sites in chromatin from SJ β-cells using antibodies against Insm1, Neurod1 and control IgG. Displayed is the percentage of the re-precipitated chromatin divided by chromatin input.

B reChIP-PCR analysis of chromatin from isolated islets using antibodies against Insm1, Neurod1 and control IgG. Displayed is the percentage of the re-precipitated chromatin divided by chromatin input.

C reChIP-PCR analysis of chromatin from SJ β-cell using antibodies against Insm1, Foxa2 and control IgG.

D reChIP-PCR analysis of chromatin from isolated islets using antibodies against Insm1, Foxa2 and control IgG.

E Co-immunoprecipitation of Insm1 and Neurod1, Insm1 and Foxa2 (but not Insm1 and MafA) in the presence or absence of ethidium bromide (EtBr). IP: antibody used for immunoprecipitation. The antibody used for Western blotting is also indicated. Representative results from one of three experiments are shown.

F Luciferase reporter assay for cis-regulatory activity of DNA fragments associated with deregulated genes and Insm1 binding sites; luciferase reporter assays were performed in SJ β-cells, HepG2 and HEK293 cells. y-axis: luciferase activity relative to that of a plasmid with minimal promoter (pGL4-minP). x-axis: DNA regions co-occupied by Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 (category 3), Insm1/Neurod1 or Insm1/Foxa2 sites (category 2), or ‘Insm1 only’ (category 1) (n = 4).

G Synergistic transcriptional activation of fragments from the Glp1r and Pcx genes by Neurod1 and Insm1 in HepG2 cells (n = 4). *P < 0.05.

Data information: (A–D) Representative results from experiments done in triplicate (A, C) and duplicate (B, D) are shown. Data in (F, G) are presented as means ± SEM. Source data are available online for this figure.
Trait-associated variations in the genome (e.g., single nucleotide polymorphisms, SNPs) are often enriched in regulatory sequences (Hnisz et al., 2013; Pasquali et al., 2014). We defined human sequences that correspond to the experimentally identified Insm1 binding sites in mice and correlated them with known trait-associated variations. First, we examined SNPs obtained from the National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI) GWAS catalogue and included 14 traits, including traits associated with β-cell dysfunction such as diabetes, changed insulin and glucose levels. The most pronounced enrichment was observed for sites co-occupied by Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2, and this was observed in SNPs associated with ‘glucose levels’, but sites occupied by ‘Insm1 only’ were not enriched (Fig 8B and C). Enrichment of other site categories or trait categories did not reach this level of significance (Fig 8D; Supplementary Table S7 for 98 combinations of binding site categories and their enrichment in SNPs associated with various traits). Several SNPs associated with type 2 diabetes were also located in Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 sites, but these were not significantly enriched. To further examine sequence variations, we also included SNPs identified in the largest ever genome-wide association analyses for glycolytic traits (Morris et al., 2012; Scott et al., 2012) and again observed a significant enrichment of Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 sites (SNPs overlapping with Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 sites are listed in Supplementary Table S8). Thus, combinatorial Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding sites are enriched for sequences implicated in β-cell dysfunction in humans.

Discussion

Functional immaturity was associated with failure of pancreatic β-cells in disease (Weir & Bonner-Weir, 2004; Dor & Glaser, 2013; Wang et al., 2014). However, mechanisms that allow the acquisition and maintenance of the mature β-cell state are incompletely understood. We show here that Insm1 is required in adult β-cells to maintain the mature gene expression program. Conditional Insm1 ablation in mature β-cells leads to pronounced deficits in insulin secretion and to glucose intolerance, and mutant islets from adult mice resemble in these functional properties β-cells isolated from newborn animals. We used cultured cells as a model to analyze molecular mechanisms of Insm1 function and found that Insm1 binds to defined domains in chromatin that are mostly co-occupied by Neurod1 and Foxa2. Indeed, the presence of sites co-occupied by the three transcription factors correlates significantly with gene expression changes observed in Insm1 mutant islets. Together, our data identify Insm1 as a component of an important transcriptional network that controls the gene expression program of mature pancreatic β-cells.

Functional interactions between Insm1, Neurod1 and Foxa2

Our analyses show that in β-cells Insm1 is frequently bound to chromatin together with Neurod1 and Foxa2, two well characterized transcription factors that control mature β-cell function (Naya et al., 1997; Lantz et al., 2004; Gao et al., 2007, 2010; Gu et al., 2010; Mastracci et al., 2013). Cultured and primary β-cells differ in important parameters like proliferative activity. SJ β-cells resemble but are not identical to islets in their ability to secrete insulin in response to glucose. Furthermore, the cultured cells also respond to exendin-4 and GIP. Because of the ample material provided by cultured cells, we used SJ β-cells as model for ChIP-seq experiments and identified Insm1, Neurod1 and Foxa2 binding sites. A set of the binding sites associated with genes known to control glucose-dependent insulin secretion was re-tested in ChIP-PCR experiments, and all tested sites were occupied also in isolated islets. cis-regulatory
modules that assemble many transcription factors are known to control gene expression (Davidson, 2001; Bonn & Furlong, 2008; Ciofani et al., 2012). Interestingly, Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding sites identified in the Pdx1, MajA and Hadh genes were previously shown to possess enhancer activity (Gerrish et al., 2000; Lantz et al., 2004; Raum et al., 2006). Similarly, a large proportion of the identified sequences tested experimentally displayed cis-regulatory activity and β-cell lineage selectivity.

We show here that Insm1, Neurod1 and Foxa2 do not only co-occupy chromatin in β-cells, but Insm1 also directly interacts and co-precipitates with Neurod1 and Foxa2 in the absence of DNA binding. Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding sites represent high affinity sites, as judged from the number of reads in ChIP-seq experiments. Moreover, in cultured hepatocytes that express Foxa2 endogenously, ectopic expression of Insm1 and Neurod1 can synergistically stimulate transcriptional activity in luciferase assays when fragments containing Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding sites were tested. Remarkably, sites co-occupied by Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 predict a large proportion of the Insm1-dependent gene expression in mature β-cells, and the majority of these are located in intergenic and intronic sequences. In contrast, sites that bind Insm1 only correlate poorly with changed gene expression and are frequently enriched in promoters. Our data explain part of the mechanism by which β-cells maintain maturity and are in accordance with previous findings in other cell and tissue types that indicate that combinatorial transcription factor binding can be used to identify functionally important cis-regulatory sequences (Davidson, 2001; Bonn & Furlong, 2008; Ciofani et al., 2012).

We further show that the combinatorial binding of Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 seems to some degree be conserved and functionally important in humans. Such sequences, when mapped to the human genome, were enriched in human sequence variants associated with glycolytic traits. Sites co-occupied by Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 represent thus a functionally important sub-class of Insm1 binding sites in the genome. However, the presence of Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 sites is found in genes that are positively or negatively de-regulated in colnsm1 mutant β-cells. A large fraction (46%) but not all Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 sites locate to previously mapped putative enhancer sequences of islets (Tennant et al., 2013). Remaining sites might correspond to promoters or poised enhancers containing bivalent histone marks that are thought to respond to external stimuli (Creighton et al., 2010). Together, these data indicate that Insm1 acts as a transcriptional regulator and, in a context-dependent manner, as activator or repressor, together with other factors that modify the function of Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2. Insm1 is known to recruit histone-modifying factors such as Kdm1a, Hdac1/2, Rcor1-3 and other proteins implicated in transcriptional regulation (Hmg20a/b and Gse1) via its SNAG domain (Welcker et al., 2013), and our ongoing work indicates that the recruitment of such factors explains many but not all Insm1-dependent functions in the pancreas (Ulrich Köstner and C.B., unpublished data).

**Functional deficits and islet immaturity in colnsm1 mutant islets**

The development and maintenance of a mature functional state of β-cells and other cell types depends on the continuous presence of key transcription factors that define cellular identity (Holmberg & Perlmann, 2012). Nevertheless, distinct genes depend on Insm1 during development and in the adult, and furthermore, DNA binding by Insm1 appears to be dynamic. Thus, only a small proportion (4.9%) of Insm1-dependent genes identified in mature β-cells were also deregulated in developing Insm1 mutant pancreata, and among the five known Insm1 binding sites identified previously in developing β-cells, only one located in the Insm1 promoter was also identified in this study (Gierl et al., 2006; Osipovich et al., 2014). In addition, this analysis and previous work demonstrate that mutation of transcription factors in mature β-cells is less disruptive than mutation during development. For instance, among the few commonly deregulated genes identified after Insm1 mutation in developing and mature β-cells, we identified Insulin-1 (Ins1) that was downregulated twofold and 13-fold after mutation of Insm1 in adult and developing β-cells, respectively. The mature gene expression program of β-cells appears therefore to be more stable, possibly due to epigenetic modifications or the existence of more complex and redundant transcription factor networks.

We show here that conditional ablation of Insm1 in β-cells of mice results in a failure to secrete insulin in response to glucose challenge. Our data indicate that deficits in glucose uptake and metabolism as well as changes in secretagogue response account for this. Thus, colnsm1 mutant β-cells respond poorly to high glucose and to modulators of glucose-dependent insulin secretion like incretin or pyruvate. The primary deficit is restricted to glucose-dependent insulin secretion and its modulation by secretagogues. Mutant β-cells remain fully capable of insulin secretion after challenge with arginine or global membrane depolarization with exogenous KCl in vitro, excluding a general deficit in the basic secretory machinery. Insulin secretion from isolated islets of colnsm1 mutant mice and immature (P1) islets under various conditions shows remarkable physiological similarities. Furthermore, comparison of differentially expressed genes reveals a large overlap between colnsm1 mutant islets and immature β-cells. Thus, mutant β-cells resembled β-cells isolated from newborn animals, but we did not detect evidence for a return to a progenitor state marked for instance by Ngn3 expression. We noted that Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 sites with high factor occupancy cluster around genes important for β-cell identity, for instance Pdx1 and Ins1. Further analyses will show whether such clusters correspond to ‘super enhancers’ that were proposed to dominate the transcriptional control of cell identity (Hnisz et al., 2013), and to what extent such ‘super enhancers’ change during development and maturation in temporal dynamic patterns.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals and genotyping analysis**

The Insm1\textsuperscript{flox}\_allele was generated using homologous recombination in embryonic stem (ES) cells (Supplementary Fig S1A). The neomycin cassette used for selection in ES cells was removed by standard techniques (Rodriguez et al., 2000). Control (Insm1\textsuperscript{flox/\_flox}\_RIPCreER or Insm1\textsuperscript{flox/\_flox};RIPCreER) and conditional mutant (Insm1\textsuperscript{flox/\_flox};\_RIPCreER) mice were generated by using RIPCreER (Dor et al., 2004) and Insm1\textsuperscript{flox/\_flox}\_RIPCreER (Gierl et al., 2006) strains. Male animals at an age of 8–12 weeks were used. Tamoxifen pellets (25 mg, 21 d release) were inserted under the skin of 4- or 8-week-old mice (control and conditional mutant animals). The mT/mG reporter strain was used for verification
of recombination (Muzumdar et al., 2007). Phenotypes were analyzed on a mixed C57Bl/6;129Ola background and mutants were always compared with littermates. RIPCreER was reported to be expressed also in brain neurons, but Insm1 is solely expressed in neuronal progenitor cells but not neurons, precluding recombination in the nervous system. Genotyping primers are listed in Supplementary Table S9. All breeding, housing and experiments were conducted in accordance with institutional German regulations.

Quantification of insulin levels, glucose levels and β-cells

Blood glucose and blood insulin measurements were performed as described (Poy et al., 2009). Average blood glucose levels were determined from average levels of at least six independent measurements of 12–14 individual animals/genotype. For blood insulin levels, average levels were determined from average values obtained from at least two independent measurements of 14–16 individual animals/genotype. Sample sizes chosen were similar as the ones previously reported to provide statistical significance (Kulkarni et al., 2002; Kubota et al., 2004; Poy et al., 2009). For glucose tolerance tests, glucose was injected intraperitoneally (2 g/kg body weight), and blood glucose levels were measured using a glucose meter (Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany). For insulin secretion tests, glucose (2 g/kg body weight) or arginine (0.3 g/kg body weight) was injected intraperitoneally, and insulin was measured from serum using an ultra sensitive mouse insulin ELISA kit (Crystal Chem. Inc., Downers Grove, USA). Pancreatic insulin content was obtained from serum using a glucose meter (Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany). For insulin secretion, glucose (2 g/kg body weight) or arginine (0.3 g/kg body weight) was injected intraperitoneally, and insulin was measured from serum using an ultra sensitive mouse insulin ELISA kit (Crystal Chem. Inc., Downers Grove, USA). Pancreatic insulin content was determined from pancreas tissue extracted with acid ethanol using ELISA (Poy et al., 2009). In short, 3 pancreata/genotypes were collected in 5 ml acidic ethanol (2% concentrated HCl in 100% ethanol) and grinded. After centrifugation, supernatants were collected in 5 ml acidic ethanol (2% concentrated HCl in 100% ethanol) and stored at −20°C until assayed. ELISA (High Range Insulin ELISA, Alpco, USA). Insulin secretion from isolated islets (n = 3–4) and SJ β-cells (n = 3) was measured after incubation with the various secretagogues (Poy et al., 2004). For this, isolated islets were cultured overnight in RPMI medium (Gibco, Darmstadt, Germany) containing 10% fetal calf serum for recovery. Islets were prepared for analysis by washing in secretion buffer (137 mM NaCl, 0.9 mM CaCl2, 2.7 mM KCl, 1.5 mM KH2PO4, 0.5 mM MgCl2, 8.1 mM Na2HPO4, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.2% BSA), incubation in secretion buffer containing 5.5 mM glucose (30 min) and again washing in secretion buffer. The assay was then performed by incubation for 30 min in secretion buffer containing indicated concentrations of glucose and secretagogues. Released insulin was quantified using ELISA (Mouse High Range Insulin ELISA, Alpco, USA).

Microarray analysis

Total RNA from isolated islets was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA). Genome-wide transcript analysis was performed using Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Genome 430 2.0 arrays (8 microarrays/genotype, Grossmann et al., 2009) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Microarray data were analyzed with R bioconductor package (Huber & Gentleman, 2004) and processed with affy and limma packages. To compare samples, we applied log2 transformation and normalized with the ‘quantile’ method. Only probes with log2 intensity > 7 were considered for further analysis. The Benjamini–Hochberg FDR method was used to calculate P-values (cutoff 0.05). The significance of overlaps in gene expression changes in various models was compared using the hypergeometric probability test http://www.geneprof.org/GeneProf/tools/hypergeometric.jsp.

qRT–PCR analysis

For qRT–PCR analysis, cDNA from five animals/genotype was synthesized using SuperScript III (Invitrogen) and independently analyzed using Absolute qPCR SYBR green mix (AbGene) on a Bio-Rad C1000 Thermal Cycler (Sheean et al., 2014). Expression levels were determined using the 2−DDCt method using ActB as internal standard.

ChIP-seq and ChIP-PCR

We generated a new pancreatic β-cell line using a described protocol (Radvanyi et al., 1993) in order to obtain cells with low passage number and good physiological response to glucose for ChIP-seq analysis.

Specificities of anti-Insm1 antibody were tested by immunohistochemistry and Western blotting on tissue from control and Insm1 mutant mice, and of Neurod1 and Foxa2 antibodies by Western blotting (Supplementary Fig S4C). Chromatin precipitation was done essentially as described by Lee et al (2006). The PCR primers used
for chromatin analysis are shown in Supplementary Table S9. ChIP-PCR performed with anti-Insm1, anti-Neurod1 and anti-Foxa2 antibodies relied on the use of protein A (Insm1, Neurod1) and protein G (Foxa2) beads. Shown for ChIP-PCR are data from one experiment representative of 2–3 samples (Gao et al., 2008).

Chromatin-immunoprecipitated DNA was used to prepare Illumina ChIP-seq (Insm1, Neurod1, Foxa2 and IgG controls) libraries according to the manufacturers’ protocol. In brief, starting from 10–20 ng of ChIP-DNA, the following library preparation steps were performed for each sample (Pasquali et al., 2014): end-repair, A-tailing, adapter ligation, gel purification and a final PCR enrichment. After quality control on an Agilent Bioanalyzer and quantification of the final library on a Qubit, we proceeded with cluster generation and sequencing. Single-end 51-nt reads were generated, and reads that did not pass the Illumina chastity filter were filtered out. The number of reads obtained from the libraries used to compare Insm1 (148 × 10^6, 189 × 10^6), Neurod1 (114 × 10^6) and Foxa2 (36 × 10^6, 45 × 10^6) sites was on average 79% mappable (see also computational analyses). The data were visualized using the UCSC genome browser.

Computational analyses

Reads obtained by sequencing of the libraries were mapped to the mouse (mm9) genome using Bowtie. Reads that mapped with more than 2 mismatches or to more than one position were discarded from further analysis. Peaks were called using Macs software (Zhang et al., 2008) with default parameters. To visualize peaks in UCSC genome browser, the Bowtie output was converted to a BigWig format. We assigned a read cutoff to binding sites. For the first Insm1 ChIP-seq experiment, a peak height of 60 was taken as a cutoff. The cutoffs of all further experiments were accordingly normalized to the total number of mapped reads. Two independent ChIP-seq data sets for Insm1 and Foxa2 binding were generated, and we considered only those sites present in both replicates for further analysis (Supplementary Fig S4B). The two replicates of Neurod1 were dissimilar in coverage but displayed large overlap; for the analysis, the single data set with higher coverage was used.

Start and end coordinates of the binding sites were defined as the positions where the number of reads reached 50% of the peak height. Consensus binding site coordinates were then defined as the minimum start and maximum end of the peaks that were defined in the two replicates. Binding sites were annotated as being located in promoter, UTR, intron or exon sequences using the UCSC RefSeq table. When a particular binding site fell into several categories, it was scored only once, according to the following priorities: promoter, UTR, intron or exon. Data processing and visualization was performed with PERL and R scripts. To define the significance in correlation between changes in gene expression and presence of Insm1 or Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 binding sites, we used Fisher’s exact test.

Motif analysis in identified binding regions was done using MEME (Bailey et al., 2009); 500 binding sites containing the highest peak counts were used for analysis. To define in the entire data set numbers of sites containing a motif, we used FIMO (Grant et al., 2011) (P-value < 10^-3). The motif search was applied to ±25 nucleotides around the peak mode. GO term analysis was performed by using the MGI Gene Ontology Term Finder (http://www.informatics.jax.org/gotools/MGI_Term_Finder.html).

The correlation between deregulated genes in coInsm1 mutant islets and various categories of binding sites was analyzed, and the best correlation was found for Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 sites. This analysis was complicated by the fact that genes often contain two or more binding sites (e.g., Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 and Insm1 only site). Therefore, equivalent analyses were performed after excluding genes with binding sites of multiple categories. Insm1/Neurod1/Foxa2 sites remained the most enriched category in deregulated genes (data summarized in Supplementary Table S5). Insm1 sites were converted to human (hg19) coordinates with UCSC liftOver tool. SNPs with genome-wide association for 14 traits in European individuals were retrieved from the GWAS catalog (P-value < 5 × 10^-8) (Hindorff et al., 2014). The list of SNPs were pruned using PLINK tools with the following command: --indep-pairwise 100 10 0.2 (Purcell et al., 2007), generating a list of index SNPs. The pruning step eliminates SNPs that are in linkage disequilibrium and results in a list of index SNPs in which each disease associated locus is represented by a single SNP. Each index SNP was used to identify sequence variants in high linkage disequilibrium (r^2 > 0.8), creating a final SNP list. The variants were identified in the 1,000 Genomes Project CEU (Utah residents of northern and western European ancestry) pilot 1 data. Insm1 binding sites (±250 bp of the start and end of the binding site defined as the positions where the number of reads reached 50% of the peak height) that overlapped with the final SNP list were identified. To test for enrichment, we generated a random set of sequences of identical length with the same genome annotation (such as intron, exon, promoter, UTR) as the Insm1 binding site data set and again tested for the presence SNPs in these sequences. The procedure was repeated 10,000 times. The P-values were calculated as the fraction of runs in which the number of SNPs in random sequences was as large or larger than the number of SNPs in Insm1 binding sites.

Electron microscopy

Pancreata from mice were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in phosphate buffer and postfixed in 2% glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffer. After treatment with 1% osmium tetroxide and dehydration, the tissue was embedded in Poly/Bed 812 (Polysciences, Inc., Eppelheim, Germany). Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined using a FEI Morgagni electron microscope. Digital images were taken with a Morada CCD camera and the ITEM software (Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions GmbH, Münster, Germany).

Luciferase assays and siRNA experiments

DNA fragments (average size 678 bp; the exact sequence coordinates are given in Supplemental Table S9) were cloned upstream of a minimal promoter driving the firefly luciferase gene (pGL4.23 [luc2/minP] vector; Promega). The selected fragments were derived from genes whose expression was deregulated in co-Insm1 mutant islets and had been assigned as bound by Insm1 using ChIP-seq in SJ β-cells and ChIP-PCR of isolated islets. Using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), SJ β-cells, HepG2 or HEK293 cells were transfected with the firefly luciferase plasmid containing putative cis-regulatory
sequences; as an internal control, Renilla luciferase plasmid (pRL-TK Renilla; Promega) was co-transfected. Cell lysates were prepared 24 h after transfection, and luciferase activity was determined using Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay kits (Promega). For each sample (n = 4, Ciofani et al., 2012), firefly luciferase values were normalized to Renilla luciferase values. We display relative luciferase activity as a fold change compared to empty pGL4.23 vector.

siRNAs against Insml1, Neurod1 and Foxa2 miRNAs were delivered by electroporation using Amaza kit V and program G-16 according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. Mouse Insml1 and non-targeting control siRNAs were purchased from Dharmacon (s201725, s67628). Mouse Neurod1 and Foxa2 siRNAs were purchased from Ambion (s201725, s67628).

Data availability

Relevant gene expression and ChIP-seq data have been deposited in the GEO database under the following accession numbers: GSE54044 and GSE54046.

Supplementary information for this article is available online: http://embj.embopress.org
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