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Ex ovo omnia—all animals come from eggs—this statement made in 1651 by the English physician William Harvey marks a seminal break with the doctrine that all essential characteristics of offspring are contributed by their fathers, while mothers contribute only a material substrate. More than 360 years later, we now have a comprehensive understanding of how haploid gametes are generated during meiosis to allow the formation of diploid offspring when sperm and egg cells fuse. In most species, immature oocytes are arrested in prophase I and this arrest is maintained for few days (fruit flies) or for decades (humans). After completion of the first meiotic division, most vertebrate eggs arrest again at metaphase of meiosis II. Upon fertilization, this second meiotic arrest point is released and embryos enter highly specialized early embryonic divisions. In this review, we discuss how the standard somatic cell cycle is modulated to meet the specific requirements of different developmental stages. Specifically, we focus on cell cycle regulation in mature vertebrate eggs arrested at metaphase II (MII-arrest), the first mitotic cell cycle, and early embryonic divisions. The EMBO Journal (2013) 32, 2191–2203. doi:10.1038/embj.2013.164; Published online 26 July 2013
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Introduction

Metaphase II arrest

The arrest in metaphase of meiosis II (MII-arrest) of mature vertebrate eggs is characterized biochemically by high activity of the cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (Cdk1) and morphologically by chromosomes aligned on a bipolar spindle. This arrest point serves to coordinate completion of meiosis with fertilization (Figure 1). To meet this challenge, the MII-arrest has to be highly robust to prevent parthenogenesis, while at the same time being highly responsive to quickly allow sister chromatid segregation upon fertilization.

The first embryonic division cycle

The first mitotic division in sea urchin, nematodes, frogs and mice embryos is characterized by its prolonged duration, as compared to subsequent divisions (Figure 1). Except for sea urchin, where MII is already accomplished in the unfertilized egg, this extra time seems to be required to allow completion of the second meiotic division and decondensation of the newly received sperm chromatin. Furthermore, male and female pronuclei have to fuse during the first division to form the diploid genome. In sea urchin, Caenorhabditis elegans, and Xenopus laevis, this fusion happens in interphase prior to the first mitosis (Longo and Anderson, 1968; Strome and Wood, 1983; Ubbels et al, 1983), while in mammals the two pronuclei independently undergo DNA replication and nuclear envelope breakdown before their chromosomes eventually intermingle during the first mitosis (Das and Barker, 1976; Cierny and Czolowska, 1993; Mayer et al, 2000; Bomar et al, 2002).

Early embryonic divisions

After the prolonged first mitotic cell cycle, embryos enter a series of rapid cleavage cycles, during which cell numbers increase in the absence of significant cell growth (Figure 1). These divisions can be asynchronous—as in mammals and nematodes—but in most metazoans are highly synchronous. These rapid cell cycles are specific for the early embryo, and in most metazoans result in the formation of the blastula, or blastocyst in the case of mammals (Newport and Kirschner, 1982a; Boiani and Scholer, 2005).

Despite the differences in their setup, all these divisions have in common that entry into M-phase is driven by the activity of maturation-promoting factor (MPF), whose core components are Cdk1 and cyclin B. Thus, in order to adjust the timing of Cdk1 activation and inactivation to specific developmental stages, the regulatory circuits acting on Cdk1/cyclin B need to be modulated.

Regulatory circuits acting on Cdk1

In M-phase, Cdk1 activity depends on association with cyclin B (Figure 2). Cyclin B levels are themselves controlled by regulated cyclin B synthesis and degradation. Exit from M-phase is triggered by ubiquitin-mediated cyclin B proteolysis, which is controlled by the E3 ubiquitin ligase anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) and its substrate-binding activators Cdc20 or Cdh1 (Peters, 2006; Primorac and Musacchio, 2013). In addition to cyclin B
association, full Cdk1 activation also involves phosphorylation events. Stimulating phosphorylation of a conserved threonine in the activation loop (Krek and Nigg, 1992) by Cdk-activating kinases occurs in an unregulated, constitutive manner (Fisher and Morgan, 1994; Tassan et al., 1994). On the other hand, phosphorylation of Cdk1 in the ATP-binding pocket (Thr-14 and Tyr-15) by vertebrate Wee1 and Myt1 kinases results in inactive MPF, and this negative effect on Cdk1 activation is antagonized by the Cdc25 family of phosphatases (Dupny and Kumagai, 1991) (Figure 2). Following exit from M-phase, Cdk1 activity remains suppressed during G1 phase by the association with Cdk-inhibitory proteins, a regulatory mechanism that will not be discussed further here (for a review see Vidal and Koff, 2000).

**M-phase onset requires both MPF activation and inactivation of MPF-antagonizing phosphatases**

At the onset of M-phase, Cdk1 is activated in a switch-like manner via an auto-amplification loop, in which Cdk1 inactivates its inhibitors (the Wee1 and Myt1 kinases) and activates it’s the counteracting Cdc25 phosphatases (Figure 2). In addition to swift MPF activation, timely entry into mitosis, however, also requires effective inactivation of phosphatases that would counteract Cdk1-mediated phosphorylation events. In particular, recent studies revealed that Cdk1 itself mediates inactivation of its antagonist protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A). Specifically, Cdk1 activates Greatwall kinase, which in turn phosphorylates and primes Ensa/Arpp19, a protein inhibitor of the B55 subtype of PP2A (Yu et al., 2006; Mochida et al., 2009; Vigneron et al., 2009; Gharbi-Ayachi et al., 2010; Mochida et al., 2010). Thus, Cdk1 activation ultimately flips the switch from a state of MPF substrate hypophosphorylation to one where MPF substrates are maximally phosphorylated.

**MII-arrest: Putting the cell cycle on hold**

*The proto-oncogene c-Mos and the MAP kinase pathway*

MII-arrest of mature vertebrate eggs depends on cytokinetic factor (CSF), an activity initially described as being present in the cytoplasm of mature *Rana pipiens* eggs and capable of inducing a metaphase-like arrest when injected into two-cell embryos (Masui and Markert, 1971). Based on their studies, Masui and Markert (1971) framed three criteria that any candidate factors proposed to be CSF would have to fulfill the following conditions: (i) accumulation during oocyte maturation, with maximal levels in MII, (ii) ability to cause a metaphase-like arrest when injected into embryonic blastomeres and (iii) inactivation upon fertilization.

The first factor found to meet all three criteria was the proto-oncogene c-Mos, which is newly synthesized in maturing *Xenopus* oocytes, causes a CSF-like arrest when expressed in embryos, and is degraded shortly after fertilization (Sagata et al., 1989a,b). Further elegant biochemical and pharmacological studies demonstrated that the serine-threonine protein kinase c-Mos triggers a MEK/MAP kinase (MAPK) signalling cascade that is essential for both the establishment and maintenance of MII-arrest in *Xenopus* oocytes (Sagata et al., 1988; Haccard et al., 1993; Kosako et al., 1994; Abrieu et al., 1996; Bhatt and Ferrell, 1999). Subsequent work identified the p90 ribosomal S6 kinase (p90Rsk) as the key MAPK substrate relevant for CSF arrest, indicating that MII-arrest is mediated by a strictly linear c-Mos/MEK/MAPK/p90Rsk signalling cascade in *Xenopus* eggs (Gross et al., 1999). The situation seems to be more complex in mice, since c-Mos-deficient eggs, although eventually undergoing parthenogenic activation, initially manage to establish a transient CSF arrest (Colledge et al., 1994; Hashimoto et al., 1994; Choi et al., 1996; Verlhac et al., 1996). Here, c-Mos may, therefore, not be strictly essential for establishment of the second meiotic arrest, but may only be required for its maintenance. Furthermore, mouse oocytes lacking all three mammalian homologues of p90Rsk exhibit a normal CSF arrest (Dumont et al., 2005), suggesting that mouse c-Mos functions to impose a robust MII-arrest in a manner independent of p90Rsk kinases. Instead, mitogen- and stress-activated protein kinase 1 (Msks1) may be the relevant downstream MAPK target in mouse oocytes (Miyagaki et al., 2011).

*The APC/C inhibitor XErp1/Emi2 and the c-Mos/MAPK/p90Rsk signalling cascade*

How then does the c-Mos/MAPK pathway transmit its inhibitory signal to the APC/C? Data from *Xenopus* implicated components of the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) as links between p90Rsk and the APC/C, since the SAC kinase Bub1 was identified as a p90Rsk substrate, and since depletion of Bub1 as well as SAC components Mad1 and Mad2 appeared to interfere with the CSF arrest (Schwab et al., 2001; Tunquist et al., 2002). However, how the SAC would mechanistically mediate CSF arrest remained elusive, since (i) there is no conclusive explanation of how SAC silencing could be coordinated with fertilization, (ii) a robust CSF arrest can be mounted in *Xenopus* egg extract lacking DNA and, therefore, also lacking kinetochores from which SAC signals could originate and (iii) the SAC is completely dispensable for the MII-arrest in mouse oocytes (Tsurumi et al., 2004). The gap between the c-Mos/MAPK/p90Rsk pathway and the APC/C was filled by the identification of XErp1 (*Xenopus* Emi1-related protein 1) or Emi2, whose function requires activation by p90Rsk (Figure 3). XErp1/Emi2, a highly conserved F-box protein, accumulates in...
maturing oocytes (CSF criterion I), mediates the MII-arrest in mature eggs by directly inhibiting the APC/C, and causes a cell cycle arrest when injected into *Xenopus* embryos (CSF criterion II) (Schmidt et al., 2005). XErp1/Emi2 is phosphorylated by p90Rsk on Ser-335, Thr-336, Ser-342 and Ser-344, and subsequently associates with the B’56 subtype of PP2A (PP2A-B’56), which in turn removes Cdk1-mediated inhibitory phosphorylation from amino- and carboxy-terminal regions of XErp1/Emi2 (Inoue et al., 2007; Nishiyama et al., 2007a; Wu et al., 2007a,b; Isoda et al., 2011) (Figure 3). Phosphorylation of the XErp1/Emi2 C-terminal region interferes with its ability to bind and inhibit the APC/C (Figure 4A) (Wu et al., 2007a), similar to the situation of the activator Cdc20 that can also only associate with the APC/C when dephosphorylated (Labit et al., 2012). Phosphorylation in the amino-terminal Cdk1 site cluster, on the other hand, controls XErp1/Emi2 stability (Figure 4A), by serving as priming event for the recruitment of Polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1). Further phosphorylation by this kinase creates a phosphorylation-dependent recognition motif (or phosphodegron) for the ubiquitin ligase SCF<sup>β-TRCP</sup>, which then ubiquitylates and targets XErp1/Emi2 for proteasomal degradation (Wu et al., 2007a; Isoda et al., 2011). Thus, p90Rsk-mediated recruitment of PP2A-B’56 downstream of the c-Mos/MAPK pathway activates XErp1/Emi2, both by stabilizing it and by directly impinging on its APC/C inhibitory properties.

Although these data explain the essential CSF-arrest role of c-Mos, it would appear somewhat paradoxical that Cdk1 inactivates CSF, a factor that serves to impose robust MII-arrest in the presence of high Cdk1 activity. However, the cybernetic regulation of Cdk1-mediated XErp1/Emi2 inactivation (APC/C activation) and PP2A-B’56-mediated XErp1/Emi2 activation (APC/C inactivation) provides a mechanism to maintain Cdk1 activity at a high but constant level during MII-arrest, despite continuous cyclin B synthesis (Kubiak et al., 1993; Ledan et al., 2001; Yamamoto et al., 2005); once cyclin B levels exceed a certain upper threshold, Cdk1 transiently activates cyclin B degradation by the APC/C, to decrease cyclin B amounts to an optimal plateau with sufficiently strong MPF activity for mounting a stable CSF arrest and sufficiently low cyclin B levels to allow rapid release upon fertilization (Figure 4A). In mice, the essential function of both Emi2 and PP2A for CSF arrest seems conserved, as their knockdown or pharmacological inhibition results in parthenogenesis (Madgwick et al., 2006; Shoji et al., 2006; Chang et al., 2011). Consistent with the dispensability of
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**Figure 1** Schematic representation of cell cycle regulation during *Xenopus* early development. Illustrated are specialized cell cycle types, major developmental transitions and oocyte/embryo stages, as well as oscillations of Cdk1–cyclin B and APC/C activity. Bars in the lower half depict activity levels for XErp1/Emi2, c-Mos/MAPK, Emi1 and the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), as well as inhibitory Thr-14/Tyr-15 phosphorylation of Cdk1 (Cdk1-pY). See text for details.
mouse p90Rsk kinases for MII-arrest, Msk1 appears to be the key c-Mos/MAPK pathway downstream target for Emi2 activation in mouse oocytes (Figure 3) (Miyagaki et al., 2011).

**Inhibition of the APC/C by XErp1/Emi2**

The molecular mechanism of APC/C inhibition by XErp1/Emi2 is still not fully understood. Three motifs located in the C terminus of XErp1/Emi2 have been identified as being essential for APC/C inhibition: a destruction box (D-box), the zinc-binding region (ZBR) and the two C-terminal amino acids arginine and leucine (‘RL-tail’) (Schmidt et al., 2005; Ohe et al., 2010; Tang et al., 2010). The interaction between XErp1/Emi2 and the APC/C seems to be primarily mediated via the RL-tail, which binds to the APC/C at a site distinct from the one interacting with the related IR-tail of the APC/C activator Cdc20 (Ohe et al., 2010; Tang et al., 2010). Based on the observation that the APC/C inhibitors Emi1 (Miller et al., 2006), Acm1 (Choi et al., 2008; Ostapenko et al., 2008; Burton et al., 2011) and BubR1 (Burton and Solomon, 2007; Malureanu et al., 2009)—all of which contain D-box-like motifs—act by competing with substrates for APC/C binding, XErp1/Emi2 may also function as a pseudosubstrate inhibitor. Recent work, however, suggests that even Emi1 only weakly competes with substrates for APC/C binding, but rather blocks ubiquitin chain elongation by the E2 enzymes UbcH10 and Ube2S (Wang and Kirschner, 2013). Intriguingly, the ZBR motif of Emi1 prevents polyubiquitylation by UbcH10, while the C-terminal tail antagonizes Ube2S-mediated polyubiquitylation by competitively preventing the association of this E2 to APC/C. These Emi1 inhibitory domains are highly conserved in XErp1/Emi2 and essential for its inhibitory activity as well; therefore, it is likely that Emi1 and XErp1/Emi2 employ similar modes of APC/C inhibition. However, it remains puzzling how substoichiometric amounts of XErp1/Emi2 could efficiently prevent substrate polyubiquitylation. A possible explanation could be that only a part of the APC/C is in an active configuration (i.e., activator-bound, core subunit-phosphorylated) and XErp1/Emi2 can efficiently inhibit this fraction of active complexes. Alternatively, XErp1/Emi2 could have additional functions to inhibit the APC/C. It has been speculated that XErp1/Emi2 itself might act, in a ZBR-dependent manner, as a ubiquitin ligase to catalytically inhibit ubiquitin transfer to APC/C-bound substrates (Tang et al., 2010). However, it remains to be determined whether the observed ubiquitylation of XErp1/Emi2 is indeed a reflection of XErp1/Emi2 auto-ubiquitylation activity (Tang et al., 2010) or simply mediated by the APC/C (Hörmanseder et al., 2011).

While CSF-mediated APC/C inhibition is the central component of MII-arrest, additional mechanisms operate to support high MPF activity in mature eggs. In *Xenopus*, depletion of Cdc25C phosphatase (the only Cdc25 isoform present in CSF extract) results in inhibitory Thr-14/Tyr-15 phosphorylation of Cdk1, as well as in dephosphorylation of MPF substrates, such as Cdc27/Apc3 and XErp1/Emi2 (Lorca et al., 2010). As described above, this relieve of XErp1/Emi2 from Cdk1-mediated inhibitory effects efficiently inhibits the APC/C (Wu et al., 2007b), leading to loss of the meiotic state in the absence of cyclin B destruction under these conditions. These data suggest that during the MII-arrest in mature *Xenopus* eggs, Cdc25 activity is continuously required to maintain Cdk1 in its active state (Gautier et al., 1991; Izumi et al., 1992; Smythe and Newport, 1992). High Cdk1 activity in turn ensures maximal overall phosphorylation of MPF substrates by inhibiting PP2A-B55 via the Greatwall kinase-Ensa/
Arpp19 pathway (Yu et al., 2006; Gharbi-Ayachi et al., 2010; Mochida et al., 2010).

**Inactivation of XErp1/Emi2 at fertilization**

The reproductive capacity of a mature egg depends not only on robust MII-arrest, but also on the ability to quickly escape from this arrest point upon fertilization. Therefore, sperm entry and CSF inactivation need to be precisely coordinated. Fertilization triggers a single (*Xenopus*) or multiple (mammals) calcium waves that quickly sweep across the egg (Kubota et al., 1987; Lawrence et al., 1997; Runft et al., 1999; Nixon et al., 2002). The calcium-sensitive signalling molecule calmodulin then transmits this transient calcium peak towards two independent signalling pathways. In one of them, activation of the phosphatase PP2B/calcineurin initiates global dephosphorylation of MPF substrates, such as the APC/C subunit Cdc27/Apc3, and its activator Cdc20 (Mochida and Hunt, 2007; Nishiyama et al., 1997). As calmodulin inhibition delays calcium-triggered cyclin B degradation in *Xenopus* egg extract, the removal of inhibitory Cdc20 phosphorylation may directly contribute to APC/C activation (Labit et al., 2012). On the other hand, calcineurin appears to be dispensable for exit from the MII-arrest in mice (Suzuki et al., 2010) and, therefore, further studies are required to dissect how global dephosphorylation of MPF substrates is initiated in fertilized mouse oocytes.

The second calcium-triggered event is activation of calcium-/calmodulin-dependent kinase II (CaMKII) (Lorca et al., 1993, 1994; Dupont, 1998; Markoulaki et al., 2003), which itself initiates two independent processes, namely meiotic spindle depolymerization at anaphase onset via microtubule stability reduction (Reber et al., 2008), and CSF arrest release by targeting XErp1/Emi2 for degradation (Figure 4A). Specifically, CaMKII phosphorylation of XErp1/Emi2 on Thr-195 serves as a priming event for subsequent Plk1 docking and XErp1/Emi2 phosphorylation at Ser-33 and Ser-38 to again create a phosphodregon for SCF β-TRCP-mediated ubiquitylation (Figure 3) (Liu and Maller, 2005; Rauh et al., 2005). In this case, fertilization triggers the complete and efficient destruction of XErp1/Emi2 via SCF β-TRCP, in contrast to the homeostatic XErp1/Emi2 inactivation described above in response to transiently elevated Cdk1 activity levels during MII-arrest. In this way, XErp1/Emi2 also conforms to the third CSF criterion of Masui and Markert (1971), that is, inactivation at fertilization. Notably, XErp1/Emi2 is also subject to ubiquitylation by the APC/C (Hörmanseder et al., 2011). Unlike the SCF β-TRCP-mediated ubiquitylation, APC/C in concert with its E2 UbcX/UbcH10 catalyses a non-proteolytic ubiquitylation of XErp1/Emi2, which interferes with its ability to inhibit the APC/C. This positive feedback loop could accelerate APC/C activation once calcium initiates the liberation of the APC/C from XErp1/Emi2-mediated inhibition and, thereby, contribute to switch-like onset of anaphase on fertilization.

Results from mouse oocytes suggest that the principle of calcium-induced Emi2 destruction is conserved between frogs and mammals (Liu and Maller, 2005; Rauh et al., 2005; Madgwick et al., 2006; Shoji et al., 2006; Jones, 2007). However, the molecular mechanism seems to be more complex, because mouse oocytes—unlike *Xenopus* eggs—do not exit from the MII-arrest upon expression of constitutively active CaMKII (Suzuki et al., 2010). Nevertheless, mouse eggs deficient for CaMKII fail to exit from the CSF arrest, suggesting that CaMKII is necessary but not sufficient for meiosis resumption upon fertilization (Chang et al., 2009; Backs et al., 2010). Identification of additionally required factors is therefore expected to provide important insights into how the MII-arrest is released in mammals.
The first embryonic division

In most metazoan organisms, the first cell cycle following fertilization is characterized by its prolonged duration compared to subsequent divisions. In *Xenopus*, the first cell cycle takes about 90 min, whereas the following 11 cleavage cycles each last for only about 30 min (Hara *et al.*, 1980; Newport and Kirschner, 1982a). In mouse, the length of the first and second mitotic division is comparable, but metaphase duration is shortened from 120 min in the first cell cycle to 70 min in the second one (Ciemerych *et al.*, 1999). An explanation for this extension may lie in the fact that the first division cycle has to fulfill an array of specialized functions. Among them is the completion of the female genome’s second meiotic division, accompanied by extrusion of the second polar body. Additionally, the
specialized chromatin of the incoming sperm has to be adjusted to support embryonic development. The process starts with incorporation of the sperm nucleus into the egg, and the breakdown of its nuclear envelope. Following decondensation of the highly compact sperm chromatin, associated with replacement of protamine by somatic histones (Rodman et al., 1981; Santos et al., 2002), the nuclear envelope reassembles to form swollen male pronuclei (Longo, 1985; Katagiri and Ohsumi, 1994). DNA replication eventually initiates after decondensation of both male and female chromatin (Luthardt and Donahue, 1973; Bouniol-Baly et al., 1997; Ferreira and Carmo-Fonseca, 1997).

**Inhibitory phosphorylation of Cdk1**

While XErp1/Emi2, which following its complete degradation upon fertilization re-accumulates during the first mitotic division cycle, could in principle be well-placed to contribute to its increased length, there are currently no experimental data to support this hypothesis. Instead, the extended duration of the first division in Xenopus can at least in part be attributed to delayed activation of Cdk1 caused by inhibitory Tyr-14/Thr-15 phosphorylation. During the first mitotic division, the responsible inhibitory kinases Wee1 and Myt1 appear not to be constantly antagonized by Cdc25 phosphatases (Figure 2), this shift in the balance possibly due to an active c-Mos/MAPK pathway in the first cell cycle (Murakami and Vande Woude, 1998). The c-Mos/MAPK pathway has been reported to activate Wee1 (Murakami and Vande Woude, 1998; Murakami et al., 1999; Walter et al., 2000), and strong MAPK pathway activation can also trigger destabilization of Cdc25A by targeting it for SCF\(^{7P-TRCP}\), dependent degradation (Isoda et al., 2009). Since the c-Mos/ MAPK pathway remains partially active during the first cycle (until Xenopus c-Mos degradation ~30 min after fertilization), it is therefore possible that it mediates both Cdc25A degradation and Wee1 activation, with the net result of prolonged inhibitory phosphorylation of Cdk1 and an increased length of the first cell cycle. As the first division progresses and c-Mos levels decline, the balance could then tip in favour of Cdk1 activation, a process further promoted by Plx, which is able to activate Cdc25 (Abriew et al., 1998; Qian et al., 1998; Toyoshima-Morimoto et al., 2002) as well as to—specifically during embryonic M-phase—bind and inhibit Myt1 (Inoue and Sagata, 2005). Thus, Plx and Cdk1 in concert are able to start the autamplification loop of Cdk1 activation.

A full mitosis-competent state further depends on inactivation of the Cdk1-antagonizing PP2A phosphatase. It is likely that the mechanism of Cdk1-mediated inactivation of its antagonist PP2A-B55 via the GbRatwalt-Enda/Arpp19 pathway (Gharbi-Ayachi et al., 2010; Mochida et al., 2010) acts not only in MII-arrested eggs (Yu et al., 2006; Hara et al., 2012) and during somatic divisions (Burgess et al., 2010; Voets and Wolthuis, 2010), but also functions during early embryonic divisions.

In mouse embryos, Cdk1 activation during the first mitotic division does not appear to be significantly delayed; on the other hand, mouse embryos exhibit prolongation of the first mitosis compared to the second division cycle (Cierny et al., 1999). This mitotic delay is however not mediated by the SAC (Sikora-Polaczek et al., 2006), and further studies are therefore required to elucidate the mechanisms impeding timely Cdk1 inactivation in the first mitotic cell cycle in mice.

**Early embryonic cell division cycles**

After the prolonged first cell division, embryos enter a series of specialized early embryonic cell division cycles. Their length varies between species, from 15 min per cycle in zebrafish to 30 min in frogs and 12 h in mice. Early cell divisions in mammalian embryos are set apart not only by their extended duration, but also by their marked asynchrony between sister cells, resulting in frequent stages with odd numbers of cells instead of exponential cell number increases (from two- to four- to eight-cell stages) (Piko and Clegg, 1982). In Echinoderms Xenopus and zebrafish, these early embryonic cell cycles last until the so-called mid-blastula transition (MBT) towards somatic cell cycles, which is initiated after 13 cycles in Xenopus and is marked by switching of gene expression from maternally supplied to newly transcribed zygotic mRNAs, referred to as zygotic genome activation (ZGA). In Xenopus, ZGA is initiated after 13 cell cycles (Kimelman et al., 1987), in zebrafish after 10 divisions and in mouse at the two-cell stage (Tadros and Lipshitz, 2009). Unlike MII-arrest and the first prolonged division, the subsequent early embryonic divisions display a high degree of variation between species, likely reflecting the divergent metazoan strategies for generating offspring. Indeed, embryos released into the environment without parental protection, like those of Xenopus, display faster early embryonic cell cycles than, for example, mammalian embryos protected in the womb (Strathmann et al., 2002).

Rapid divisions allow fast developmental progression and may therefore be favoured in less predictable environmental conditions, while slow divisions might be generally more favourable for the proper embryo development as long as parental protection ensures decreased effects of environmental stress. Therefore, adaptive cell cycle mechanisms could have evolved to adjust cell division length to the developmental strategy of a given species.

**Cdc25 phosphatases**

The rapid early embryonic cell divisions of Xenopus embryos lack gap phases, surveillance mechanisms such as DNA replication-, DNA damage- and spindle assembly checkpoints (described in Musacchio and Salmon, 2007, Zegerman and Diffley, 2009), as well as the APC/C inhibitor Emi1, and are hence referred to as ‘minimal cell cycles’ (Figure 1, S–M cycles) (Masui and Wang, 1998). The pacemaker underlying the highly synchronous divisions in early Xenopus embryos is again the Cdk1 activity, which accordingly has to peak and drop every 30 min. In contrast to the lengthened first mitotic division, there is no inhibitory Thr-14/Tyr-15 phosphorylation on Cdk1 during these rapid divisions (Ferrell et al., 1991; Hartley et al., 1996), which could be due to either decreased Weel1/Myt1 kinase activity or increased Cdc25 phosphatase activity. In support of the latter possibility, embryos express Cdc25A in addition to the Cdc25C isofrom from fertilization until MBT (Kim et al., 1999), which may be sufficient to constantly keep the balance tipped towards unphosphorylated active Cdk1 and thus could contribute to the rapidness of early divisions.
Regulated translation of cyclin B

In the absence of direct Cdk1 regulation via posttranslational modifications, regulated synthesis and destruction of cyclin B assumes the key role for early embryonic cell cycle regulation. Since no transcription takes place during the first 12 divisions in *Xenopus* embryos, gene expression is solely regulated at the posttranscriptional level (Newport and Kirschner, 1982b). Indeed, sequence elements present in the 3'-untranslated region of cyclin B mRNA and other maternally inherited mRNAs seem to regulate their stage-specific and/or a cell cycle-dependent translation. One of these elements is the cytoplasmic polyadenylation element (CPE), which recruits the CPE-binding protein (CPEB) that in turn mediates polyadenylation and translation of the mRNA. Consequently, injection of inhibitory CPEB antibodies into one-cell embryos results in decreased cyclin B protein levels, defective cell cycle progression and aberrant cell divisions (Groisman et al., 2000). Cyclin B translation is coordinated with the cell cycle phase by activating phosphorylation on CPEB, mediated by the cell cycle kinase Aurora A (Mendez et al., 2000; Groisman et al., 2001, 2002). Furthermore, cyclin B expression is silenced upon exit from mitosis by the protein maskin, which binds CPEB to inhibit mRNA translation. Consistently, maskin protein levels have been found to oscillate in a cell cycle-dependent manner in cycling *Xenopus* egg extracts (Groisman et al., 2002), although the underlying mechanism as well as the full extent of cyclin B translation control via maskin specifically in embryonic interphase remain to be determined.

Regulated cyclin B degradation

Regulated cyclin B synthesis is only half the story underlying oscillating cyclin B levels during the cell cycle, equally important is the regulated degradation of cyclin B by the APC/C. *Xenopus* early embryos lack APC/C inhibitory components, such as the SAC or Emi1 (Gerhart et al., 1984; Ohsumi et al., 2004), and the only APC/C inhibitor present in early dividing *Xenopus* embryos is XErp1/Emi2. Its depletion causes the untimely destruction of APC/C substrates, ultimately resulting in embryonic lethality (Tischer et al., 2012). Before undergoing apoptosis, XErp1/Emi2-depleted embryos exhibit a notable increase in cell cycle length, suggesting that the APC/C inhibitory activity of XErp1/Emi2 contributes to the short periodicity of early embryonic divisions, a notion confirmed also by recent mathematical modelling studies (Vinod et al., 2013). XErp1/Emi2 levels decline at the MBT, when cell cycle length increases and gap phases first become apparent, and its function as APC/C inhibitor is taken over by Emi1, the SAC (Clute and Masui, 1995) and additional regulatory mechanisms (Figure 1).

Control of XErp1/Emi2 activity during early embryonic divisions

In the mature egg, XErp1/Emi2 mediates cell cycle arrest until fertilization triggers its complete destruction and, thus, anaphase onset. During the first mitotic interphase, XErp1/Emi2 is rapidly resynthesized and its levels remain constant until MBT, and the XErp1/Emi2 activity during pre-MBT divisions is regulated on the posttranslational level via Cdk1 (negatively) and PP2A-B'S6 (positively). A current simplified model posits that entry into mitosis is facilitated by XErp1/Emi2-mediated APC/C inhibition. PP2A-B'S6 prevails over Cdk1 in early mitosis, resulting in sustained APC/C inhibition and hence increasing cyclin B levels. Once the Cdk1 activity reaches a certain threshold, the balance tips towards XErp1/Emi2 hyperphosphorylation and subsequent inactivation, allowing APC/C activation, cyclin B degradation and ultimately exit from M-phase (Figure 4B). Therefore, the antagonistic regulation of XErp1/Emi2 by Cdk1 and PP2A-B'S6 in early *Xenopus* embryos reflects the regulatory mechanism active during meiotic MII-arrest, however, with two crucial adaptations: first, PP2A-B’S6 recruitment to XErp1/Emi2 is not mediated by p90Rsk, whose upstream regulator c-Mos disappears about 30 min after fertilization and does not reappear during subsequent embryonic divisions (Sagata et al., 1988, 1989b), but instead by protein kinase A (PKA) in *Xenopus*. Intriguingly, PKA phosphorylates the same residues in XErp1/Emi2 (Ser-335, Thr-336, Ser-342 and Ser-344) that are also targeted by p90Rsk during the CSF arrest. Consequently, embryos depleted of endogenous XErp1/Emi2 (which undergo apoptosis at the time point of gastrulation) cannot be rescued by the expression of XErp1/Emi2 versions that fail to recruit PP2A-B’S6 due to mutations in these phosphorylation sites, nor by XErp1/Emi2 mutants unable to inhibit APC/C.

The second major adaptation of the Cdk1/PP2A-B’S6 antagonism is related its objective; while this mechanism serves the role of a rheostat that balances continuous cyclin B synthesis with transient APC/C activation during MII-arrest, its key function during early embryonic divisions is a switch that controls entry into and exit from M-phase. The demand for a switch-like regulation is especially evident at exit from M-phase, as any XErp1/Emi2 reactivation upon decreasing Cdk1 activity would interfere with the complete destruction of cyclin B required for mitotic exit. An additional layer of cell cycle-dependent control could be imposed by the XErp1/Emi2 activator PKA, whose activity has been found to oscillate in cycling egg extracts (Grieco et al., 1994, 1996); however, studies in dividing embryos found global PKA activity levels to remain constant throughout early divisions (Tischer et al., 2012). In this respect, cycling extract may not faithfully recapitulate early embryonic divisions, but rather mimic the situation of the first mitotic division, a notion also supported by the observation that XErp1/Emi2 itself is dispensable for mitotic progression in cycling extracts (Liu et al., 2006). Therefore, it is unlikely that PKA regulation helps to prevent XErp1/Emi2 reactivation when Cdk1 activity declines during M-phase exit. As an alternative scenario, we speculate that maximal Cdk1 activity in late metaphase might trigger PP2A-B’S6 inactivation, similar to Cdk1-induced PP2A-B’S55 via the Greatwall-Ensa/Arpp19 pathway at the entry into M-phase (Gharbi-Ayachi et al., 2010; Mochida et al., 2010), thereby causing permanent XErp1/Emi2 inactivation. Should such a mechanism indeed exist, it would have to be explained how XErp1/Emi2 is reactivated in the subsequent cell cycle and how PP2A-B’S6 activity could be kept constant during CSF arrest in the face of high Cdk1 activity.

APC/C-Cdc20 activity regulation by Cdk1-mediated phosphorylation

While XErp1/Emi2-mediated APC/C inhibition is central to cell cycle regulation in early *Xenopus* embryos, it is likely that additional regulatory mechanisms are active during pre-MBT divisions to reinforce faithful cell cycle progression.
Experiments in somatic cells and Xenopus cycling egg extract suggested the existence of a negative feedback loop, in which Cdk1-cyclin B complexes activate their own antagonist APC/C by phosphorylating several APC/C core subunits (Kraft et al., 2003). Indeed, the APC/C subunit Cdc27/APC3 is phosphorylated in a cell-cycle-dependent manner in Xenopus embryos. This positive Cdk1 effect on APC/C activity is however opposed by the inhibitory effect on the APC/C activator Cdc20 (Yudkovsky et al., 2000; D’Angiolella et al., 2003; Labit et al., 2012), whose Cdk1-mediated phosphorylation reduces its affinity for the APC/C. Consequently, Cdc20 mutated at five Cdk1 sites bound efficiently to and activated the APC/C even in the presence of high Cdk1 activity. Degradation of APC/C substrates at anaphase onset therefore requires Cdc20 dephosphorylation, which may be mediated by PP2A in early dividing embryos (Labit et al., 2012). While more research is required to fully dissect the underlying molecular mechanisms and their physiological relevance for early embryonic divisions, it seems likely that differences in the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation kinetics of Cdc20, APC/C and XErp1/Emi2 may all contribute to transitions from phases where the APC/C is inactive—due to XErp1/Emi2 hypophosphorylation and Cdc20 hyperphosphorylation—to phases where the APC/C is fully active—due to APC/C and XErp1/Emi2 hyperphosphorylation and Cdc20 hypophosphorylation (Vinod et al., 2013).

**Cell cycle adaptations in mouse early embryos**

In mice, early embryos divide asynchronously and asymmetrically to give rise to the blastocyst, comprising the inner cell mass (ICM), which will subsequently form the embryo proper, and the trophoblast, a surrounding layer of cells that will form a major part of the placenta (Figure 5). Similar to the situation in amphibians, mammalian early embryonic cells exhibit specialized cell cycles and are subject to cell cycle control mechanisms distinct from those operating in somatic cells (Yang et al., 2012). Specifically, trophoblast cells differentiate and undergo endoreduplication to amplify their genomes more than 500-fold (Yang et al., 2012). In contrast, embryonic stem (ES) cells derived from the pluripotent cells of the ICM exhibit, like their cell of origin, rapid cell cycles without fully accentuated G1 and G2 phases, reminiscent of Xenopus early pre-MBT divisions (McAulay et al., 1993; Stead et al., 2002). Apparently, constitutively high Cdk2 activity and elevated levels of both cyclin A and E underlie these rapid divisions with truncated gap phases (Stead et al., 2002), with cell-cycle-dependent Cdk regulation restricted to Cdk1–cyclin B and primarily achieved by inhibitory Tyr-15 phosphorylation of Cdc20 as well as oscillating cyclin B levels (Stead et al., 2002). Another reported characteristic of mouse ES cells is Emi1-mediated suppression of APC/C(Cdh1) activity during late M- and early G1-phase (Ballabeni et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2011). Emi1 is highly homologous to XErp1/Emi2 and similarly is able to directly inhibit the APC/C. Consequently, ES cells display elevated levels of interphase APC/C substrates, such as cyclins and geminin (Fujii-Yamamoto et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2011), and depletion of Emi1 leads to geminin and cyclin A degradation due to unopposed APC/C activity. Interestingly, Emi1 depletion in ES cells does not only result in DNA re-replication, as seen in somatic cells (Di Fiore and Pines, 2007, Machida and Dutta, 2007), but also in differentiation and giant cell formation (Yang et al., 2011, 2012). This phenotype may be linked to the dual ES cell functions of the APC/C(Cdh1) target and replication licensing inhibitor geminin, which is important for the inhibition of endoreduplication and for the maintenance of pluripotency alike (Yang et al., 2011) (Figure 5). Consistently, geminin mutant embryos fail to form the pluripotent cells of the ICM, but commit to the trophoblast cell lineage (Gonzalez et al., 2006). Likewise, wild-type trophoblast cells exhibit low geminin levels, allowing them to undergo endoreduplication, that is, multiple rounds of replication in the absence of cell division, and differentiation (Gonzalez et al., 2006). Collectively, these adaptations of the early embryonic cell

---

**Figure 5** APC/C(Cdh1) regulation in mouse embryonic cell cycles and trophoblast endoreduplication cycles. (A) Mouse blastocyst with the ICM surrounded by the trophoblast. ES cells are derived from the ICM, and ES cells as well as the cells of the ICM can proliferate and differentiate to form the embryo. Cells of the trophoblast initially proliferate, then stop and undergo endoreduplication and differentiation to give rise to the placenta. (B) Pluripotent ES cell cycles are rapid and lack accentuated G1 and G2 phases (please note that ‘G1’ and ‘G2’ phases are not drawn to scale here). Emi1 inhibits APC/C activity for an extended duration, leading to increased cyclin levels that drive rapid cell cycle progression. Geminin stabilization in ES cells prevents DNA re-replication and maintains pluripotency. (C) Endoreduplicating cells of the trophoblast lineage show high APC/C(Cdh1) activity due to low levels of Emi1, resulting in the degradation of geminin, cyclin A and cyclin B. Cyclin E as the only remaining S-phase-promoting Cdk partner drives endoreduplication, facilitated by degradation of the replication re-licensing inhibitor geminin.
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cycle are crucial, both for embryonic development and placenta formation in mice.

Beyond the essential Emi1 role in protecting geminin from degradation in ES cells, it remains to be determined whether Emi1-regulated APC/C activity also matters for entry into and exit from mitosis in these cells. Emi1 is inactivated in prometaphase in somatic cells via sequential phosphorylation by Cdk1–cyclin B and Plk1, and subsequent SCP6-TRCP, mediated targeting to the ubiquitin–proteasome system (Hansen et al., 2004; Moshe et al., 2004, 2011), but preliminary data suggest that such degradation may not occur during ES cell mitosis (Yang et al., 2011). On the other hand, Emi1 activity in somatic cells is subject to regulation by Cdk1 and possibly PP2A (Moshe et al., 2011), as also seen for XErp1/Emi2. Specifically, the fact that Emi1 stabilized upon Plk1 inhibition does not result in the expected mitotic arrest (Di Fiore and Pines, 2007) has lead to the proposal that Emi1 may, similar to XErp1/Emi, additionally be inactivated by Cdk1-mediated phosphorylation decreasing its affinity for the APC/C (Moshe et al., 2011). It is therefore tempting to speculate that similar Emi1 regulatory mechanisms operate in mouse embryonic cell cycles to allow progression through mitosis.

Conclusion

There is a remarkable degree of plasticity underlying the basic mechanisms of cell cycle regulation, which allows adjusting the control and timing of cell cycle progression to the specific requirements of distinct developmental stages in different species. This flexibility is based on the modular organization of cell cycle regulation, where individual modules such as inhibitory Cdk1 phosphorylation, amplification loops or stage-specific APC/C inhibitors can be activated, attenuated or completely inactivated. Clearly, future research efforts are required to understand the regulatory circuits of cell cycle regulation at the molecular level. Especially, elucidation of the molecular network underlying early embryonic divisions with their much higher degree of interspecies variations as compared to MI-arrest and the first mitotic division remains a significant challenge, which will likely require multidisciplinary approaches combining biochemistry, cell biology, live cell microscopy and mathematical modelling.

Acknowledgements

We apologize to all colleagues whose work was not cited due to space constraints. We thank JB Gurdon, R Laskey and the members of their groups, as well as the Mayer lab, for critical comments on the manuscript and support. This work was supported by the Collaborative Research Center 969 of the German Research Foundation (DFG).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Chang HY, Minahan K, Merriman JA, Jones KT (2009) Calmodulin-dependent protein kinase gamma 3 (CamKIIgamma3) mediates the cell cycle resumption of metaphase II eggs in mouse. Development 136: 4077–4081
Ciernyacz MA, Maro B, Kubiak JZ (1999) Control of duration of the first two mitoses in a mouse embryo. Zygote 7: 293–300

©2013 European Molecular Biology Organization


Hara K, Tydeman P, Kirschner M (1980) A cytoplasmic clock with the same period as the division cycle in Xenopus eggs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 77: 462–466


Lawrence Y, Whitaker M, Swann K (1997) Sperm-egg fusion is the prelude to the initial Ca2+ increase at fertilization in the mouse. Development 124: 233–241


metaphase II arrest and correct entry into the first embryonic cell cycle. J Cell Sci 123: 2281–2291


