Rice *earl*y *flowering*1, a CKI, phosphorylates DELLA protein SLR1 to negatively regulate gibberellin signalling
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The plant hormone gibberellin (GA) is crucial for multiple aspects of plant growth and development. To study the relevant regulatory mechanisms, we isolated a rice mutant *earlier flowering*1, *el1*, which is deficient in a casein kinase I that has critical roles in both plants and animals. *el1* had an enhanced GA response, consistent with the suppression of *EL1* expression by exogenous GAs. Biochemical characterization showed that *EL1* specifically phosphorylates the rice DELLA protein SLR1, proving a direct evidence for SLR1 phosphorylation. Overexpression of SLR1 in wild-type plants caused a severe dwarf phenotype, which was significantly suppressed by *EL1* deficiency, indicating the negative effect of SLR1 on GA signalling requires the *EL1* function. Further studies showed that the phosphorylation of SLR1 is important for maintaining its activity and stability, and mutation of the candidate phosphorylation site of SLR1 results in the altered GA signalling. This study shows *EL1* a novel and key regulator of the GA response and provided important clues on casein kinase I activities in GA signalling and plant development.
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Introduction

The switch from vegetative to reproductive growth is a critical event in the life cycle of flowering plants and is essential for their maximum reproductive success (Bernier, 1988). In *Arabidopsis*, a model plant for eudicots, four major pathways are involved in the control of flowering time: the photoperiod, autonomous, vernalization, and gibberellin (GA) pathways. In contrast to *Arabidopsis*, rice is a short-day plant. Several rice genes in the photoperiod pathway controlling heading date (Hd) (flowering time) have been genetically characterized, including *Se1* (photoperiod sensitivity 1; Yokoo *et al.*, 1980; Yamagata *et al.*, 1986), *Se3-Se7* (Yamagata *et al.*, 1986; Poonyarat *et al.*, 1989; Sano, 1992; Yokoo and Okuno, 1993), and *E1–E3* (Hd 1–3, Tsai, 1995; Kinoshita, 1998). Some rice genes encode proteins similar to those in the *Arabidopsis* long-day pathway. Specifically, the products of *Hd* 1, 3a, and 6 are homologous to *Arabidopsis* CO (*Yano et al.*, 2000), FT (*FLOWERING LOCUS T*, *Kojima et al.*, 2002), and a subunit of kinase CK2 (a regulator of circadian rhythm and flowering time, Takahashi *et al.*, 2001), respectively. In addition, rice phytochromes are also involved in the regulation of flowering time in response to day length (*Izawa et al.*, 2000). Similar to *Arabidopsis*, circadian-regulated *OsGI* expression in transgenic rice has striking effects on flowering time (*Hayama et al.*, 2003).

*Arabidopsis* mutants defective in GA biosynthesis or signalling show severe delayed flowering (*Moon et al.*, 2003; *Yu et al.*, 2004); however, the role of GA in rice flowering is less clear. Biochemical and genetic studies have characterized key components, especially DELLA proteins, in the GA signalling cascade in both *Arabidopsis* (*Peng et al.*, 1997; *Dill and Sun*, 2001; *Lee et al.*, 2002; *Hussain et al.*, 2005) and rice (*Ikedo et al.*, 2001). The *Arabidopsis* DELLA proteins GAI, RGA, and RGL1 negatively regulate flowering time in the absence of GA (*Mouradov et al.*, 2002). Recently, the GA receptor GID1 was identified in *Arabidopsis* (*Griffiths et al.*, 2006; *Nakajima et al.*, 2006) and rice (*Ueguchi-Tanaka et al.*, 2005), and found to interact with DELLA proteins (GAI, RGA, or SLR1) in a GA-dependent manner both in *vitro* and *in vivo* (*Willige et al.*, 2007; *Ueguchi-Tanaka et al.*, 2007a,b). The GA–GID1–SLR1 complex is targeted for ubiquitination by SCF[GID2], an F-box protein, and degraded by the ubiquitin-dependent proteasome pathway (*Sasaki et al.*, 2003; *Gomi et al.*, 2004; *Ueguchi-Tanaka et al.*, 2005, 2007a,b), which in turn results in the activated GA response.

Casein kinase I, a serine/threonine protein kinase, is a multifunctional protein kinase detected in most eukaryotic cells (*Gross and Anderson*, 1998). In mammalian cells, there are five isoforms of casein kinase I: α, β, γ, δ, and ε (*Fish et al.*, 1995). They are involved in multiple signalling pathways, including vesicular trafficking (*Panek et al.*, 1997; *Murakami et al.*, 1999), growth and morphogenesis (*Robinson et al.*, 1993), circadian rhythm (*Kloss et al.*, 1998; *Peters et al.*, 1999), DNA-repair (*Dhillon and Hoekstra*, 1994), and cell cycle progression, and cytokinesis (*Behrend et al.*, 2000). Previous studies showed that CKI regulates BR signalling...
in rice (Liu et al., 2003) and cell-to-cell communication (Lee et al., 2005), as well as modifying cell shape by phosphorylating tubulins in Arabidopsis (Ben-Nissan et al., 2008, 2010; Lee, 2009). Although a previous report showed that Hd6 (CK2) participates in rice Hd control, there has been no further study on how casein kinase is involved in the regulation of rice flowering time.

From a rice mutant population that we have generated (Fu et al., 2009), an early flowering mutant, earlier flowering 1 (el1), was identified. Functional characterization revealed that EL1, which encodes a casein kinase I, could phosphorylate the rice DELLA protein SLR1 in vitro and in vivo, stabilize SLR1, and sustain its activity in vivo, to negatively regulate the GA signalling.

**Results**

Identification of the rice mutant early flowering 1 (el1)

To understand the molecular mechanisms underlying heading, the rice mutant early flowering 1 (el1) was identified from the Shanghai rice T-DNA insertion population (http://ship.plantsignal.cn, Fu et al., 2009). Under normal growth conditions (12 h light/12 h dark cycle), el1 flowered 5–6 days earlier than the wild type (WT), with a much slower leaf emergence rate (Figure 1A; Supplementary Figure 1A). At various time points, the el1 plants showed stimulated development of young panicles and the formation of inflorescence meristems (Figure 1B), which is consistent with the analysis on the transcription of floral organ identity genes that

Figure 1 Phenotypic analysis of rice early flowering 1 (el1). (A) Phenotypic observation (left) and analysis of the frequency distribution of heading date (right) indicated the earlier flowering of el1 plants. The plants were grown in a greenhouse with a 12 h light/12 h dark (D) period. (B) Observations of the inflorescence development of WT and el1 plants at 50 or 55 days confirmed the earlier flowering of el1 (Bar = 5 mm). The internodes of el1 were more elongated and the young panicles in the main culms were more obvious at 50 days of cultivation compared with that of WT. After 55 days of cultivation, the young panicles of el1 plants were almost fully developed—much earlier than WT. The floral meristems were observed through DIC or highlighted (squared). (C) Analysis of the heading date of el1 and WT plants under long-day treatment (13.5 h L/10.5 h D) showed that flowering of el1 is not modulated by photoperiod. The heading time was calculated and statistically analysed using a heteroscedastic t-test (*P < 0.05, n = 10).
revealed advanced or enhanced expression of \( \text{Hd6}, \text{Hd1}, \) and \( \text{OsMADS1} \) at 40, 45, 50, and 55 days of cultivation in \( el1 \) (Supplementary Figure 1B). This further confirmed the earlier floral initiation of \( el1 \) plants. Interestingly, although most rice early flowering mutants are regulated by photoperiod, measurement of flowering time under long-day treatment (13.5 h light/10.5 h dark) showed similar responses of \( el1 \) and WT plants (Figure 1C), indicating that the function of \( EL1 \) is independent of the photoperiod.

**EL1 encodes a casein kinase I**

Segregation analysis (\( \chi^2_{(1)} = 3.44, 0.1 < P < 0.5 \)) indicated that \( el1 \) was a recessive mutation. Further analysis of the DNA sequence flanking the T-DNA insertion, obtained by thermal asymmetric interlaced (TAIL)–PCR analysis, revealed a T-DNA insertion in the 15th exon of rice gene Os03g57940, which is designated \( EL1 \) and encodes a putative casein kinase I (a 707-amino acid polypeptide, Mw 79.8 kDa, Figure 2A).

Homologous analysis showed that \( EL1 \) shows high similarity to other casein kinase I members. Analysis of the phylogenetic relationship between \( EL1 \) and other CKIs indicated that \( EL1 \) is closest to yeast CK2 and CKI (Supplementary Figure 2A, upper panel). Structural organization analysis of \( EL1 \) revealed the presence of a nuclear localization signal (NLS), a highly conserved S/T kinase domain, and conserved motifs in CKI (Figure 2A, lower panel; Supplementary Figure 2B) that is identical to that previously identified rice CK1 (Liu et al., 2003). Biochemical studies of enzymatic activity showed that recombinant \( EL1 \) from Escherichia coli was able to phosphorylate the partially dephosphorylated casein but not for BSA in vitro (Figure 2B), indicating that \( EL1 \) is likely an active CKI.

Expression pattern analysis by quantitative real-time RT–PCR (qRT–PCR) showed that \( EL1 \) was transcribed in various tissues, including root, stem, leaf, seedling, and ripened seeds (Figure 2C). Although \( EL1 \) was expressed at relatively low levels in flowers, it was specifically transcribed at stage 2 during floral development (Supplementary Figure 3).

Transient expression of an \( EL1–\text{GFP} \) fusion protein in onion epidermal cells revealed that \( EL1 \) protein is accumulated in the nucleus (Figure 2D), consistent with the presence of an NLS sequence in \( EL1 \).

T-DNA insertion resulted in the defective expression of \( EL1 \) (Figure 2E) and expression of the full-length \( EL1 \) cDNA driven by its own native promoter in \( el1 \) (Figure 2E) rescued the early flowering phenotype (Figure 2F) showing the negative effects of \( EL1 \) in controlling rice flowering time.

**EL1 is regulated by GA and \( el1 \) shows an increased response to GA**

As GA is involved in controlling flowering time in Arabidopsis, we tested whether \( EL1 \) expression was regulated by hormones. The results showed that \( EL1 \) expression was evidently and rapidly suppressed by GA treatment (100 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{GA}_3 \)) and declined to a minimal level after 3 h treatment (Figure 3A), suggesting that enzymatic activity of \( EL1 \) is regulated in a GA-dependent manner.

GA stimulates plant height and indeed \( el1 \) plants were consistently taller than WT, especially the uppermost internode (UI) (Figure 3B). Further measurement of cell lengths and widths under uniconazole treatment showed that, compared with WT, the elongated longitudinal lengths of the epidermal cells (at the middle section of the second leaf sheath) were less suppressed (Table I). Leaf sheath growth exhibited an enhanced response to \( \text{GA}_3 \) (Figure 3C, left panel; Supplementary Figure 5A) and a suppressed response to uniconazole (Figure 3C, middle panel; Supplementary Figure 5B), whereas the transgenic WT plants overexpressing \( EL1 \) consistently resulted in suppressed responses to \( \text{GA}_3 \) (Figure 3C, right panel; Supplementary Figures 4 and 5C), further supporting the negative effects of \( EL1 \) on GA response. Being consistent, calculation of the relative length of the second leaf sheaths of 7-day-old seedlings showed that complementary expression of \( EL1 \) in \( el1 \) rescued the enhanced GA response of \( el1 \) (Figure 3D; Supplementary Figure 5D).

This is further demonstrated by the observation that \( el1 \) seeds had much higher \( \alpha \)-amylase activity in the absence of \( \text{GA}_3 \) and that the inhibitory effects of ABA were significantly suppressed (Figure 3E; Supplementary Figure 6), consistent with the altered transcription of rice GA-biosynthesis-related genes \( \text{GA20ox2} \) (suppressed expression) and \( \text{GA20ox3} \) (enhanced expression, Figure 3F). These results confirm the enhanced responses of \( el1 \) to GA and hence the negative role of \( EL1 \) in GA signalling.

**EL1 phosphorylates the rice DELLA protein SLR1**

Previous studies have shown that CKI could regulate the relevant signalling pathway by phosphorylating the downstream proteins; we thus searched for candidate substrates of \( EL1 \) in GA signalling with the help of a computational prediction program (http://scansite.mit.edu/motifscan_seq.phml). The rice DELLA protein SLR1, which has been shown as a key regulator in GA signalling, was identified. Computational analysis indicated the predicted phosphorylation sites at Ser196 and Ser510 (Supplementary Figure 7). Indeed, yeast two-hybrid analysis revealed that \( EL1 \) and SLR1 could interact with each other (Figure 4A), and an in-gel kinase assay indicated that SLR1 can be phosphorylated by \( EL1 \) in vitro in an \( EL1 \)-specific manner (Figure 4B).

Interestingly, either the N- or the C-termini of SLR1 can be phosphorylated by \( EL1 \) (Figure 4B), which is consistent with the presence of the predicted phosphorylation sites at Ser196 and Ser510 and suggests that \( EL1 \) may differentially regulate SLR1 by phosphorylating its N- or C-termini.

**EL1 phosphorylation of SLR1 is critical for sustaining its activity and stability**

Further in vivo analysis using transgenic WT or \( el1 \) plants overexpressing \( SLR1 \) (Supplementary Figure 8A) indicates that although the protein level of SLR1–\( \text{YFP} \) fusion protein was almost same (Figure 5A), the effects of \( SLR1 \) were significantly suppressed under \( EL1 \) deficiency. Analysis of the lengths of seedlings, mature plants, and second leaf sheaths showed that \( SLR1 \) overexpression resulted in a severe dwarf phenotype and shortened second leaf sheaths in WT plants; these effects were suppressed in \( el1 \) plants (Figure 5B; Supplementary Figure 8B). This trend was more significant in mature plants (Figure 5B, right panel; Supplementary Figure 8B, bottom panel), indicating that phosphorylation of \( SLR1 \) by \( EL1 \) is critical for its function. In addition, analyses of the expression levels of \( \text{GA20ox2} \) and \( \text{GA2ox3} \) showed that \( \text{GA20ox2} \) expression was increased and \( \text{GA2ox3} \) expression was decreased when \( SLR1 \) was overexpressed in WT plants.
whereas the opposite expressions were detected in the \textit{el1} mutant (Figure 5C) showing the effects of EL1 phosphorylation on SLR1 activity. In addition, the \textit{el1} plants overexpressing SLR1 (\textit{el1} p35S:SLR1–YFP) were much taller than WT plants overexpressing SLR1 (WT p35S:SLR1–YFP), correlating with the reduced \textit{GA20ox2} and increased \textit{GA2ox3} expressions in these lines, which suggests that EL1 may take part in the feedback regulation of these genes.

Aside from the effects of phosphorylation by EL1 on SLR1 activity, possible effects of EL1 on SLR1 protein localization...
and stability were studied. Compared with the nuclear localization in WT, reduced phosphorylation of SLR1 in el1 did not alter its sub-cellular localization (Figure S5D). However, detailed studies showed that GA-mediated SLR1 degradation was greatly enhanced in el1. Fluorescence observation and western blot analysis revealed that in comparison with WT the degradation of SLR1–YFP fusion protein after GA3 treatment was significantly enhanced in el1 (Figure S5E). This indicates that phosphorylation of SLR1 by EL1 is crucial for its stability.

Pervious studies showed that the SLR1 N-terminal is the regulatory domain and C-terminal is responsible for the repression activity (Itoh et al., 2002). To further confirm the effects of phosphorylation on SLR1, point mutation of Ser196 and Ser510 into either A (short for Ala, which results in the defective phosphorylation on this site) or D (short for Asp, which results in the constitutive phosphorylation on this site) was performed and GA signalling of plants containing the corresponding mutated SLR1 was examined by detecting the transcripts of GA synthesis genes GA20ox2 and GA3ox2. The
results showed that after GA₃ treatment, suppressed phosphorylation of SLR1 at either Ser196, Ser510, or both Ser196/Ser510 indeed resulted in the significantly enhanced GA signalling (evidenced suppressed expression of GA20ox2 and GA3ox2), whereas the constitutive phosphorylation of SLR1 at Ser510 or both Ser196/Ser510 would result in the suppressed GA signalling (enhanced expression of GA20ox2 and GA3ox2, Figure 6A). These further confirmed the importance of phosphorylation of SLR1, especially at sites Ser196 or Ser510, on the SLR1 effects in GA signalling. The constitutive phosphorylation of SLR1 at Ser196 did not affect the GA sensitivity (Lin et al, 1997); however, no difference in the heading date in the slr1 mutant (Itoh et al, 2002) or Slr1-d3 (a GA-insensitive mutant, Chhun et al, 2007). Recent studies revealed that GA-deficient plants exhibit shortened UI and panicles enclosed by flag leaves (Yin et al, 2007), suggesting that GA may influence rice heading time by modulating UI elongation. This study provides direct evidence that GA signalling regulates rice flowering and identifies EL1 as a novel regulator of flowering time by affecting GA responses.

DELLA proteins are important in GA signal transduction (Peng et al, 1997). Truncating the DELLA domain of rice SLR1 suppresses SLR1 degradation under GA treatment (Itoh et al, 2002). Recent studies showed that suppression of SLR1 activity could be accomplished by GA and GID1 alone, without the F-box protein GID2 (Ueguchi-Tanaka et al, 2008). These results suggest that other unknown factors might interact with SLR1 to induce its suppressive activity (Ueguchi-Tanaka et al, 2008). This study is the first direct report showing that SLR1 can be phosphorylated by casein kinase I and that EL1 modulates GA signalling by phosphorylating the rice DELLA protein SLR1.

**Discussion**

**EL1, a casein kinase, is a novel regulator of GA signalling and has important functions in controlling rice flowering time by regulating GA responses**

As an important process in plant reproduction, flowering time is finely controlled by a complex network. In *Arabidopsis*, the key regulators, FT and SOC1, regulate the expression of floral identity genes including *AP1*, *LFY*, and *MG* (Mouradov et al, 2002); most of these genes are MADS box genes and determine the identity of floral organs (Yanofsky et al, 1990; Weigel et al, 1992; Jofuku et al, 1994). In rice, the major floral initiation pathway is the photoperiod pathway; five QTLs (Hd1, Hd2, Hd3, Hd5, and Hd6) were found to confer photoperiod sensitivity (Lin et al, 2000; Takahashi et al, 2001). Genetic analysis of the relationship between EL1 and Hd genes showed that hd1, hd3a, or hd6 plants with suppressed EL1 expressions still flower ear; similar to el1 (Supplementary Figure 9). This finding indicates that EL1 is epistatic to the Hd genes, consistent with the phenotypic observation that el1 has similar photoperiod responses to WT.

GA is crucial for rice flower development and deficiency of GA results in severe dwarfism and failed grain setting in rice (Sakamoto et al, 2003). Although GA is critical in flowering control in the *Arabidopsis*, there is no report of its role in rice flowering. Deletion of a DELLA domain (such as the gai allele) will cause delayed flowering even in the presence of GA in *Arabidopsis* (Peng et al, 1997); however, no difference was detected in the flowering time of rice. In the GAMYB-deficient mutant, despite shortened internodes and defects in floral organ development, a notable defect in pollen development was observed (Kaneko et al, 2004). There was no change in the heading date in the slr1 mutant (Itoh et al, 2002) or Slr1-d3 (a GA-insensitive mutant, Chhun et al, 2007).

**Phosphorylation of SLR1 is important for the regulation of its activity and stability**

Although DELLA proteins have inhibitory roles in the GA response (Itoh et al, 2005), it remains unclear how phosphorylation of DELLA proteins affects their function and/or stability, although this question has been addressed by several research groups (Itoh et al, 2002, 2005; Ueguchi-Tanaka et al, 2008).

It was reported that in the GA signalling pathway, phosphorylation may inactivate DELLA function (Itoh et al, 2002). Furthermore, some kinases can phosphorylate SLR1 within the polyS/T/V, DELLA, and TVHYNP domains (Itoh et al, 2005). However, phosphorylation of SLR1 is independent of its degradation and is not necessary for the interaction of SLR1 with the GID2/F-box protein (Itoh et al, 2005). The relationship between SLR1 degradation and phosphorylation remains to be elucidated, as do the identities of the protein kinases involved. We showed that both the N- and the C-termini of SLR1 can be phosphorylated by EL1 and confirmed that in el1, the effects of SLR1 are suppressed (the GA signalling is enhanced) and the GA-mediated degradation of SLR1 is greatly enhanced (Figure 5E). This is consistent with

---

**Table 1** Length of the epidermal cells in the second leaf sheath of 1-week-old el1 and WT plants under uniconazole treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length (μm)</th>
<th>Uniconazol (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>111.23 ± 27.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>el1</td>
<td>105.45 ± 21.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (μm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>12.54 ± 2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>el1</td>
<td>11.57 ± 2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length/width</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>8.87 ± 2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>el1</td>
<td>9.11 ± 3.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± s.e. (n = 10). Statistical analysis indicated significant differences (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01).
the previous reports that the SLR1 N-terminus and C-terminus are responsible for the degradation and activity of SLR1, respectively (Itoh et al. 2002). Constitutive or suppressed phosphorylation of SLR1 at site Ser196 or Ser510 resulted in the suppressed or enhanced GA signalling, which further confirmed the critical role of phosphorylation of SLR1 on its negative effects in GA signalling. As a sum, these findings indicate that phosphorylation by EL1 is crucial for SLR1 stability at the N-terminus and SLR1 activity at the C-terminus, and hence the regulation of GA responses (Figure 6B). However, phosphorylation of SLR1 by other kinases cannot be excluded, which need further studies and will be helpful to clarify the regulatory mechanism of SLR1 and GA signalling.

In addition, it is worth to notice that the el1 plants expressing SLR1 are much taller than WT plants expressing SLR1, which nicely correlates with the reduced GA20ox2 and increased GA2ox3 expression in the corresponding lines. However, observation of plant height (Figure 5B) showed that el1 plants expressing SLR1 is intermediate between WT and WT plants expressing SLR1, and expression levels of GA20ox2 and GA2ox3 are much changed compared to the WT, which may suggest that EL1 has a role in feedback regulation of the GA biosynthetic genes.

Although CKIs modulate the activity of a broad variety of substrates, and multiple isoforms exist in both animals and plants, they have specific roles at certain developmental stages. Concerning the presence of multiple isoforms of CKI in Arabidopsis and rice, specific phosphorylation of rice SLR1 by EL1 to modulate the GA response suggests the presence of a specific regulatory mechanism. In addition, distinct domain structures in EL1 compared with those of broccoli (Klimczak and Cashmore, 1993) or yeast (Robinson et al. 1992) further suggest the existence of another regulatory mechanism (aside from the conserved kinase domain and characteristic peptides, EL1 has a more expanded region at the N-terminus).

In summary, the studies presented not only shed light on the mechanisms of GA signalling control, but also provide important clues regarding the mechanism and effects mediated by casein kinase I. CKI is a multifunctional protein kinase involved in hierarchical protein phosphorylation, modulating signal transduction through second-messenger-responsive protein kinases throughout the plant and animal kingdoms. This study will surely expand our knowledge and facilitate a comprehensive understanding of the underlying mechanisms in plants, as well as in animals.

Materials and methods

Isolation of rice early flowing 1 (el1) mutant

A recessive mutant, el1, was identified in rice (Oryza sativa, Zhonghua11) T-DNA insertion mutant population (SHIP, http://ship.plantsignal.cn, Fu et al. 2009). Surface-sterilized seeds of el1 mutant and WT plants were soaked in water for 7 days and then placed in soil and grown in a greenhouse. The flanking sequence was obtained byTAIL-PCR (Liu et al. 1995). Primers EL1-1 (5’-CTTCTGGTGCTTCCCATTAG-3’) and EL1-2 (5’-TGGGAGAGCTGAA GATGTATG-3’) were used for PCR amplification to confirm the T-DNA insertion using genomic DNA as template.

Measurement of shoot elongation

Shoot elongation was quantified by a modification of the method described by Matsuura et al. (1998). Seeds from WT and el1 plants (n = 15–25) were surface sterilized for 30 min with a 3% NaClO solution, washed four times with sterile distilled water, soaked in the distilled water for 24 h in the presence or absence of different concentration of uniconazole, and then placed in sterile distilled water for another 24 h. The seeds were then placed on a 1% agar plate and grown under fluorescent lamps at 28°C (12 h light/12 h dark). To investigate the role of GA on the elongation of the second leaf sheath, seeds of WT and el1 mutant plants (n = 15–25) were germinated on 1% agar plates supplemented with various
concentrations of GA3. All plants were grown at 28°C (12 h light/12 h dark) for 7 days. For analysis of surface anatomy, the second leaf sheath was dissected from third-leaf-stage plants and observed using differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy.

The middles of the second leaf sheath sections were incubated in 9:1 (v:v) ethanol:acetic acid overnight, rinsed with water, and placed in chloral hydrate (glycerol:chloral hydrate:water:1:8:1, v:v:v). Samples were visualized using a Leica DMR microscope (Germany). The plant heights were measured and calculated when the rice plants had ripened.

**Agar plate assay of α-amylase**

The agar plate assay was performed essentially as described by Yamachuchi (1998). Seeds were cut transversely and endosperm half-seeds were surface sterilized with 1% NaClO for 15 min, washed with sterile water six times, and placed on 2% agar plates containing soluble starch (0.2%) (Linhu Food Products Factory, China). Plates with 16 or more endosperms were incubated at 30°C in the dark for 48 h, and the endosperms were then used to examine the α-amylase activity by staining agar with a solution containing 0.1% I2 and 1% KI.

**Protein expression and in-gel kinase assay**

The coding region of EL1 was amplified with primers EL1-5 (5’-CATGCCATGTCAGCCAGGTGCCG-3’), added Nco site underlined) and EL1-6 (5’-GCACTAGTCATCGGCGGCTGCGG-3’, added SpeI site underlined), and the resultant DNA fragment was sub-cloned into vector pET32c (Novagen, USA). The inducement of recombinant protein expression was carried out by supplement with 1 mM IPTG (28°C, 3 h). The full-length cDNA of SLR1 was amplified with primers SLR1-1 (5’-GGGGATCCATGGAAGGGCCGAT ACCAAGAAG-3’, added EcoRI site underlined) and SLR1-2 (5’-GGTACCATGAAGCGCGAG GGTACCATGAAGCGCGAG-3’, added KpnI site underlined), and then sub-cloned into vector pET32c. The cDNA fragments encoding the N-terminal or C-terminal of SLR1 was amplified with primers SLR-N-1 (5’-GGGAATTCATGGAAGGGCCGAT ACCAAGAAG-3’, added EcoRI site underlined) and SLR-N-2 (5’- GGGAATTCATGGAAGGGCCGAT ACCAAGAAG-3’, added HindIII site underlined), and SLR-3 (5’-GGTACCATGAAGCGCGAG GGTACCATGAAGCGCGAG-3’, added HindIII site underlined), and then sub-cloned into pET32a. The cDNA fragment encoding the C-terminal of SLR1 was amplified with primers SLR-C-1 (5’-GGGAATTCATGGAAGGGCCGAT ACCAAGAAG-3’, added EcoRI site underlined) and SLR-C-2 (5’-GGGAATTCATGGAAGGGCCGAT ACCAAGAAG-3’, added HindIII site underlined), and then sub-cloned into pET32a. The cDNAs encoding the N- and C-terminal of SLR1 were amplified with primers SLR-N-1 (5’-GGGAATTCATGGAAGGGCCGAT ACCAAGAAG-3’, added EcoRI site underlined) and SLR-N-2 (5’- GGGAATTCATGGAAGGGCCGAT ACCAAGAAG-3’, added HindIII site underlined), and SLR-3 (5’-GGTACCATGAAGCGCGAG GGTACCATGAAGCGCGAG-3’, added HindIII site underlined), and then sub-cloned into vector pET32a.

The in-gel kinase assay was performed mainly as described by Murray (http://www.biocompare.com/protocols/protocol/163/ In-Gel-Kinase-Assay.html) with few modifications. A 10% SDS-PAGE gel containing purified SLR1 protein (0.5 mg/ml) was prepared, and the crude EL1 protein was diluted in 2× sample buffer. After running for 1 h, the gel was equilibrated in SDS-PAGE gel containing purified SLR1 protein (0.5 mg/ml) was then visualized using a Leica DMR microscope (up panel) and analysed by western blot analysis using GFP antibody (bottom panel, staining CBB showed the similar amounts of loading proteins).

**Figure 5** EL1 phosphorylates SLR1 to sustain the activity and stability of SLR1. (A) Western blot analysis confirmed the similar levels of SLR1–YFP protein in WT and el1 plants. Equal amounts of proteins (~10 μg) were used for the blotting and staining by Coomassie brilliant blue (CBB) showed the similar loading of proteins (lower panel). (B) Statistical analysis on the lengths of 7-day-old seedlings (left-upper panel), second leaf sheaths (left-bottom panel), and mature plants (right panel), which indicated the effective effects of SLR1 on plant growth were evidently suppressed under EL1 deficiency. Error bars represent s.d. (n = 15). Heteroscedastic t-test analysis indicated a significant difference (compared with WT, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). (C) qRT–PCR analysis revealed that the increased expression of rice GA20ox2 and suppressed expression of rice GA20ox3 in WT plants overexpressing SLR1 were oppositely regulated in el1 plants overexpressing SLR1, indicating the crucial role of EL1 in SLR1 functions. Error bars represent s.d. (n = 15). Heteroscedastic t-test analysis indicated a significant difference (**P < 0.01). (D) Phosphorylation of EL1 on SLR1 does not affect the sub-cellular localization of SLR1. Compared with the nuclear localization, deficiency of EL1 does not alter SLR1 sub-cellular localization. Bar = 50 μm. (E) GA-dependent SLR1 degradation was evidently enhanced under EL1 deficiency under GA3 treatment (100 μM, for 0.5, 1, or 3 h), revealing the crucial role of EL1 in SLR1 stability. SLR1–YFP fusion protein of 2-day-old WT or el1 plants (p35S:SLR1–YFP) was observed under a confocal microscope (up panel) and analysed by western blot analysis using GFP antibody (bottom panel, staining CBB showed the similar amounts of loading proteins). Bar = 50 μm.
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buffer [2 mM DTT, 20% glycerol, 100 mM Tris-Cl (pH6.8), 0.2% bromophenol blue (w/v), 4% SDS (w/v)] and loaded into the gel after boiling for 2 min. After electrophoresis, the gel was washed in wash buffer 1 [50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 20% (v/v) 2-propanol] and wash buffer 2 [50 mM Tris–Cl, pH 8.0, 6 M urea; 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol] each for 1 h at room temperature, then incubated in the wash buffer 3 [50 mM Tris–Cl, pH 8.0; 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol; 0.04% (v/v) Tween20] at 4 °C overnight with gentle rocking. Furthermore, the gel was incubated in the kinase buffer (40 mM Tris–Cl, pH 7.5; 2 mM DTT; 0.1 mM EGTA; 10 mM magnesium acetate) for 30 min at room temperature and then incubated in the buffer containing 20 μM ATP (with rocking) for another 60–90 min. The gel was then transferred to PVDF membrane (PerkinElmer Life Science, USA) by semi-dry blotting and the blot was incubated with rabbit anti-phosphorylation Thr-antiserum (Cell Signaling, USA), then the bovin anti-rabbit IgG alkaline phosphatase (AP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz, Germany). The AP activity was detected by BCIP/NBT solution (BBI Company, USA).

Semi-quantitative RT–PCR analysis
Total RNAs were extracted and used to synthesize the first-strand cDNAs by reverse transcription. Equal amounts of first-strand cDNAs were used as templates for PCR amplification. Primers EL1-7 (5′-ATGCCAGAGTGTCCGGGTTGTC-3′) and EL1-8 (5′-AGTTGACTTCTAACAAATC-3′) were used to study the expression pattern of EL1 in various tissues of WT plants. Primers Hdl-1 (5′-TTCTCTCTCTCAAGAGATCCG-3′) and Hdl-2 (5′-AGGGTAGCTCAGTTTGCG-3′); Hdl-3 (5′-GGTTGACGAAACTTGGAAACG-3′); and Hdl-4 (5′-CTTGGTTGATGATAACTGACG-3′) were used to study the expression of corresponding genes at different floral stages. The ACTIN gene was amplified using primers actin-1 (5′-GAATCTGATGCTAAAGCCTCGT-3′) and actin-2 (5′-ACACCCGACCTGCTGACGG-3′) and served as an internal positive control.

qRT–PCR analysis
qRT–PCR analyses were performed to study the transcription of EL1 in WT (various tissues or under GA3 treatment), el1, and transgenic el1 plants with complementary expression of EL1, or the expressions of genes involved in GA biosynthesis in WT, el1, and transgenic WT or el1 lines overexpressing SLR1 or mutated SLR1. Total RNAs were extracted and used to synthesize cDNAs by reverse transcription. Primers EL1-7 and EL1-8 were used to examine the expression of EL1. Primers used to analyse the transcripts of GA20ox2 (So1), GA3ox2, and GA2ox1 were as previous described (Sakamoto et al, 2004). The ACTIN gene was amplified using primers actin-1 and actin-2, and served as an internal positive control.

EL1-GFP fusion studies
The whole coding region of EL1 was PCR amplified using primers EL1-5 and EL1-6, and the resultant products were sub-cloned into pCambia1302 vector to generate p35S:EL1-GFP. The construct was sequenced to confirm the in-frame fusion of EL1 to GFP and positive control. Primers actin-1 and actin-2, and served as an internal positive control.

Constitutes and rice transformation
The whole coding region of EL1 was PCR amplified using primers EL1-9 (5′-CGCTTGGACGCTATTGGACGGG-3′), added SalI site underlined), and sub-cloned into pBluescript SK (+) (Stratagene, USA). The coding region of EL1 was amplified with primers EL1-5 and EL1-6, and then sub-cloned into vector pBSK-YFP. The DNA fragment containing EL1-GFP was then sub-cloned into pCambia2301 (Cambia, Australia), resulting in construct p35S:EL1-GFP. The EL1 promoter was amplified with primer EL1-9 (5′-ACGG GT CGACTTGCGATTGCGCCCATACTG-3′, added SalI site underlined) and EL1-10 (5′-AAAAATCGACGATGACGACTATGACAGATACCTAC-3′, added PstI site underlined), and sub-cloned into pBluescript SK (+). The coding region of EL1 was amplified with primers EL1-5 and EL1-6, and then sub-cloned into vector pBSK-YFP. The DNA fragment containing pEL1-EL1 was then sub-cloned into pCambia2301 (Cambia, Australia), resulting in construct pEL1:EL1.

The full-length cDNA of SLR1 was PCR amplified using primers SLR1-1 and SLR1-4 (5′-GGCTTGGACGCTATTGGACGGG-3′, added SalI site underlined), and then sub-cloned into vector pBSK-YFP. The fused SLR1-YFP fragment was then sub-cloned into pCambia2301, resulting in the construct p35S:SLR1–YFP. The partial cDNA of Hd genes Hd1, Hd3a, and Hd6 were amplified by primers Hd1-3 (5′-ACGGCGTGCACGACCCAGGGATGTTGAGTTG-3′, added SalI site underlined) and Hd4-5 (5′-CCGGATCC GAGCTTTGAAAGCAGGAAAAGA-3′, added BamHI site underlined); Hd5-1 (5′-GGCTTGGACGCTATTGGACGGG-3′), added SalI site underlined), and sub-cloned into vector pBSK-YFP. The cDNA of OsGA20ox2 was amplified using primers OsGA20ox2-1 and OsGA20ox2-2 (5′-GGCTTGGACGCTATTGGACGGG-3′, added SalI site underlined), and sub-cloned into vector pBSK-YFP. The cDNA of OsGA3ox2 was amplified using primers OsGA3ox2-1 and OsGA3ox2-2 (5′-GGCTTGGACGCTATTGGACGGG-3′, added SalI site underlined), and sub-cloned into vector pBSK-YFP.

qRT–PCR analyses were performed to study the expression of EL1 in WT (various tissues or under GA3 treatment), el1, and transgenic el1 plants with complementary expression of EL1, or the expressions of genes involved in GA biosynthesis in WT, el1, and transgenic WT or el1 lines overexpressing SLR1 or mutated SLR1. Total RNAs were extracted and used to synthesize cDNAs by reverse transcription. Primers EL1-7 and EL1-8 were used to examine the expression of EL1. Primers used to analyse the transcripts of GA20ox2 (So1), GA3ox2, and GA2ox1 were as previous described (Sakamoto et al, 2004). The ACTIN gene was amplified using primers actin-1 and actin-2, and served as an internal positive control.
H6d-4 (5'-CGGAGATCCGGAGGAGTACCGATGC-3') added BamHI site underlined), respectively. The amplified fragments were sub-cloned into pCambia2301, resulting in constructs p35S:A-Hd1, p35S:A-Hd3a, and p35S:A-Hd6.

The full-length cDNA of SLR1 were amplified by primers SLR1-10 (5'-AGGGCGAGGAGTACCGATGC-3') and SLR1-11 (5'-GGGCGGCCACGCCGACGAC-3') and SLR1-12 (5'-AGGGCGAGGAGTACCGATGC-3') were used for selection of Ser196 to Asp; SLR1-9 (5'-GGGCGGCCACGCCGACGAC-3') and SLR1-16 (5'-GGGCGGCCACGCCGACGAC-3') were used for replacement of Ser196 to Ala; SLR1-1 (5'-AGGCGAGGAGTACCGATGC-3') and SLR1-3 (5'-AGGCGAGGAGTACCGATGC-3') were used for replacement of Ser196 to Thr.

The amplified cDNA fragments were sub-cloned into pBSK-YFP vector, and then with goat anti-mouse IgG AP-conjugated secondary antibody (Neo-Marker, UK), or with rabbit anti-HA antiserum (Santa Cruz, USA) and goat anti-mouse IgG or bovine anti-rabbit IgG AP-conjugated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz, USA). AP activity was detected by BCIP/NBT solution (BBI Company, USA).
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