Modulation of exon skipping by high-affinity hnRNP A1-binding sites and by intron elements that repress splice site utilization
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The RNA-binding protein hnRNP A1 is a splicing regulator produced by exclusion of alternative exon 7B from the A1 pre-mRNA. Each intron flanking exon 7B contains a high-affinity A1-binding site. The A1-binding elements promote exon skipping in vitro, activate distal 5’ splice site selection in vitro and improve the responsiveness of pre-mRNAs to increases in the concentration of A1. Whereas the glycine-rich C-terminal domain of A1 is not required for binding, it is essential to activate the distal 5’ splice site. Because A1 complexes can interact simultaneously with two A1-binding sites, we propose that an interaction between bound A1 proteins facilitates the pairing of distant splice sites. Based on the distribution of putative A1-binding sites in various pre-mRNAs, an A1-mediated change in pre-mRNA conformation may help define the borders of mammalian introns. We also identify an intron element which represses the 3’ splice site of exon 7B. The activity of this element is mediated by a factor distinct from A1. Our results suggest that exon 7B skipping results from the concerted action of several intron elements that modulate splice site recognition and pairing.
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Introduction

Most mammalian pre-mRNAs contain several introns that are removed precisely and efficiently to produce mature mRNAs. Despite considerable progress in understanding the mechanisms of splice site recognition in simple splicing units, little is known about how splice sites are recognized and paired in pre-mRNAs containing multiple introns and alternative splicing signals (for reviews, see Black, 1995; Chabot, 1996). Because sequences at splice junctions are not highly conserved, recent efforts have focused on the identification of elements that help select true splice sites amid an abundance of similar sequences. Elements that stimulate splicing to an adjacent splice site are known collectively as splicing enhancers, a subset of which are bound by members of the SR family of splicing factors (Lavigneur et al., 1993; Sun et al., 1993; Staknis and Reed, 1994; Ramchatesingh et al., 1995; Achsel and Shimura, 1996; Gallego et al., 1997). The binding of SR proteins to an exon enhancer can stimulate U2AF65 binding to a weak upstream 3’ splice site (Wang et al., 1995). Enhancer elements that affect 5’ splice site selection may also improve U1 snRNP binding to nearby 5’ splice sites (Eperon et al., 1993; Kohtz et al., 1994; Humphrey et al., 1995). In some cases, the assembly of a stable enhancer complex requires additional cell-specific factors (Tian and Maniatis, 1992; Heinrichs and Baker, 1995; Lynch and Maniatis, 1995) or the participation of non-SR proteins (Min et al., 1997). Elements that repress the use of splice sites have also been identified (Caputi et al., 1994; Amendt et al., 1995; Siebel et al., 1995; Staffa and Cochrane, 1995; Del Gatto et al., 1996; Kanopka et al., 1996; Blanchette and Chabot, 1997; Chan and Black, 1997a; Si et al., 1997). In Drosophila, the binding of Sxl to 3’ splice site sequences interferes with U2AF binding (Valcarcel et al., 1993). In mammals, the binding of polypyrimidine tract-binding protein (PTB) to 3’ splice site sequences has also been implicated in splicing repression by competing for U2AF-binding sites (Lin and Patton, 1995; Singh et al., 1995; Ashiya and Grabowski, 1997; Chan and Black, 1997b). Although a variety of different types of exon and intron elements can modulate the use of nearby splice sites, it remains unclear whether the majority of these elements affect splice site recognition, commitment between a pair of splice sites, or some other events of spliceosome assembly.

Following the recognition of individual splice sites, appropriate partners must find each other. This commitment step occurs through a network of interactions across the intron in which SR proteins and/or SF1 mediate communication between factors bound at splicing signals (Fu, 1993; Wu and Maniatis, 1993; Zuo and Maniatis, 1996; Abovich and Rosbash, 1997). The frequency with which splice site partners find each other is dependent on the distance separating a pair of splice sites. Possibly to increase the rate of commitment, the spatial distance separating splice sites in several yeast introns is reduced through base pairing interactions between sequences downstream from the 5’ splice site and sequences upstream from the branch site (Parker and Patterson, 1987; Libri et al., 1995; Charpentier and Rosbash, 1996; Howe and Ares, 1997). How efficient splice site pairing is achieved in some of the very large mammalian introns is unknown.

Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) A/B proteins counteract the effect of SR proteins by promoting distal 5’ splice site utilization in vitro and in vivo (Mayeda and Krainer, 1992; Caceres et al., 1994; Mayeda et al., 1994; Yang et al., 1994). We have shown previously that hnRNP A1 modulates 5’ splice site selection on an A1 model pre-mRNA by binding to a conserved intron sequence (CE1a) located in between competing 5’ splice sites (Chabot et al., 1997). In contrast to SR proteins, which increase U1 small nuclear (sn)RNP binding to both 5’ splice sites, the A1–CE1a interaction does not affect U1 snRNP binding to competing 5’ splice sites, suggesting
that A1 and SR proteins target different events in splice site selection. Here we document the presence of an additional A1-binding site located in the CE4 element downstream of alternative exon 7B. CE4, like CE1a, promotes exon 7B skipping in vivo and distal 5′ splice site selection in vitro. The ability of A1 complexes to interact simultaneously with two high-affinity binding sites supports the notion that an interaction between bound A1 molecules brings in close proximity the 5′ splice site of exon 7 and the 3′ splice site of exon 8 to favor exclusion of exon 7B. Another sequence element within CE4 can promote distal 3′ splice site selection by repressing the 3′ splice site of exon 7B in a process that does not require A1. Our studies suggest that efficient exclusion of exon 7B requires the participation of distinct intron elements which modulate pre-mRNA conformation and splice site utilization.

**Results**

**CE4 modulates exon 7B skipping in vivo**

Previously, we reported the identification of a 150 nucleotide element (CE1) which promotes distal 5′ splice site utilization in vitro and exon 7B skipping in vivo (Chabot et al., 1997). CE1 is located in the intron upstream of alternative exon 7B (Figure 1), and a minimal portion of CE1 (CE1a; 17 nucleotides) can activate distal 5′ splice site selection in vitro (Chabot et al., 1997). The activity of CE1a is likely to be mediated through an interaction with the hnRNP A1 protein since a mutation that compromises A1 binding to CE1a prevents activation of the distal 5′ splice site in vitro (Chabot et al., 1997). Visual inspection of the mouse sequence downstream of exon 7B revealed a putative A1-binding site identical to the one found in CE1a (UAGAGU). This sequence is part of a conserved 24 nucleotide region that we named CE4 (Figure 1). HnRNP A1 binds to CE4 in vitro (S13 RNA in Chabot et al., 1997). To verify whether CE4 also modulates splicing of the A1 pre-mRNA in vivo, we transiently expressed in HeLa cells a genomic portion of the mouse hnRNP A1 alternative splicing unit under the control of the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter (Figure 2A). RT-PCR analysis of total RNA indicated that expression of the wild-type A1 minigene preferentially yielded transcripts lacking exon 7B (Figure 2B, lanes 4 and 12). This splicing profile reproduces the levels of endogenous A1 and A1B mRNAs (data not shown). As shown previously (Chabot et al., 1997), deletion of CE1 improved the relative frequency of exon 7B inclusion (Figure 2B, lane 5). Reinsertion of the minimal 17 nucleotide CE1a element nearly restored the wild-type profile of exon skipping (lane 8). Likewise, the deletion of a 113 nucleotide region containing CE4 promoted exon 7B inclusion (A1ΔCE4; Figure 2B, lanes 6 and 13). While re-insertion of an unrelated sequence had no effect (data not shown), insertion of CE4 (24 nucleotides) restored efficient exon 7B skipping (A1RCE4; lane 14). Notably, the deletion of CE4 was as efficient as the deletion of both CE1 and CE4 (Figure 2B, A1ΔΔ; lane 7, see Figure 2C for quantitation). These results indicate that CE4 contains sequences that promote exon 7B skipping.

**CE4 promotes distal 5′ splice site selection in vitro**

The activity of CE4 on 5′ splice site selection in vitro was investigated using model transcripts that contain the 5′ splice sites of exon 7 and 7B in competition for the 3′ splice site of the adenovirus L2 exon (Figure 3A). The basic model pre-mRNA lacks CE1a and CE4 and is spliced almost exclusively to the proximal 5′ splice site (C5′/–/–; Figure 3B, lane 1). Insertion of CE1a and CE4 at the upstream and downstream position, respectively, stimulated splicing to the distal 5′ splice site (C5′ 1a/4; lane 6). Transcripts containing CE4 or CE1a at both positions were spliced to the distal 5′ splice site with an efficiency similar to C5′ 1a/4 (C5′ 1a/1a and C5′ 4/4; lanes 7 and 8, respectively). Substrates carrying CE1a or CE4 at the upstream or downstream position only were spliced to the distal 5′ splice site at an intermediate but comparable level (Figure 3B, C5′ 1a/–, C5′ 4/–, C5′ −/4 and C5′ −/1a; lanes 2–5; see Figure 3A for quantitation). Thus, CE1a and CE4 are interchangeable and display a similar ability to promote distal 5′ splice site utilization.
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Fig. 2. Modulation of alternative splicing by CE1a and CE4 in vivo. (A) Schematic representation of the A1 minigene and derivatives. The positions of oligonucleotides E1a-569 and A1E9 used for RT–PCR assays in (B) are shown. The position of CE1 and CE4 is indicated. (B) RT–PCR assays on total RNA were performed to measure the efficiency of exon 7B inclusion following transfection into HeLa cells. The Ac and A1B lanes correspond to PCR assays performed directly on minigenes carrying the cDNA of A1 and A1B, respectively. (C) Autoradiograms were scanned and the intensities of the bands were measured by densitometry in at least five independent transfection assays. Changes in the A1B/A1 ratio of amplified products are indicated by histograms with the standard deviation. Values are expressed relative to the A1B/A1 ratio obtained with the wild-type A1 minigene which has been given the arbitrary value of 1.

in vitro. These results suggest that CE1a and CE4 modulate 5′ splice site choice through a common mechanism.

The effect of CE4 on 5′ splice site selection is mediated by hnRNP A1

We have shown that a mutation in the UAGAGU sequence of CE1a reduces A1 binding and prevents activation of the distal 5′ splice site (Chabot et al., 1997). If the binding of A1 is also responsible for the effect of CE4 on 5′ splice site selection, pre-mRNA molecules carrying CE4 should be more responsive to increases in the concentration of A1 than a pre-mRNA lacking high-affinity A1-binding sites. When increasing amounts of recombinant GST–A1 protein (rA1) were added to a splicing mixture containing C5′/H11032 RNA, selection of the proximal 5′ splice site gradually decreased until the distal 5′ splice site became the exclusive choice (Figure 4A, lanes 5–8). In contrast, the largest amount of rA1 only promoted a modest reduction in proximal 5′ splice site utilization on a pre-mRNA lacking strong A1-binding sites (lanes 1–4). An intermediate effect was seen with pre-mRNAs containing only one copy of CE1a or CE4 (data not shown). Thus, pre-mRNAs carrying high-affinity A1-binding sites are more sensitive to an increase in the concentration of A1 in vitro.

To test the effect of a reduction in the concentration of A1 on 5′ splice site selection in vitro, we added increasing amounts of an oligonucleotide carrying the DNA version of three contiguous A1-binding sites. A1 binds to this oligonucleotide directly and with specificity (F. Dallaire and B. Chabot, unpublished results). Pre-incubating splicing mixtures with the oligonucleotide shifted selection toward the proximal 5′ splice site of C5′ 4/4 RNA (Figure 4B, lanes 8–11). Supplementing the mixture containing the highest concentration of oligonucleotide with rA1 restored preferential distal 5′ splice site utilization (lanes 12–14). As expected for a pre-mRNA lacking high-affinity A1-binding sites (C5′−/− RNA), the already efficient splicing to the proximal 5′ splice site was not improved further by the addition of increasing amounts of oligonucleotide, and the addition of rA1 did not stimulate distal 5′ splice site selection (lanes 1–7). The oligonucleotide also increased proximal 5′ splice site selection in mixtures containing C5′ 4/− RNA (data not shown). However, lower concentrations of oligonucleotide were required to obtain a shift similar to the one obtained with
Fig. 3. CE1a and CE4 are interchangeable and display a similar activity in 5' splice site selection. (A) Schematic representation of the basic model pre-mRNA containing competing 5' splice sites. The identity of the elements inserted downstream of each 5' splice site is indicated below. The percentage of distal products relative to the sum of proximal and distal products was obtained by densitometric scanning of the autoradiograms shown in (B). (B) Labeled pre-mRNAs were incubated in HeLa nuclear extracts and splicing products were run on a 10.25% acrylamide–8 M urea gel. The position of distal and proximal lariat molecules is indicated. Note that the proximal lariat introns derived from C5’–/–, C5’ 1a–/– and C5’ 4/– migrate below the pre-mRNA. For other substrates, proximal lariat molecules migrate above the pre-mRNAs. The origin of the gel is indicated (ori).

C5’ 4/4 RNA. Thus, a pre-mRNA carrying two high-affinity A1-binding sites is more resistant to a reduction in the effective concentration of A1 than a pre-mRNA containing only one optimal A1-binding site. Overall, these results demonstrate that the effect of CE4 on 5' splice site selection is mediated through an interaction with the hnRNP A1 protein.

**Importance of the glycine-rich domain**

The N-terminal portion of A1 (called UP1) contains two RNA-binding domains but lacks the C-terminal glycine-rich domain (Figure 5). UP1 binds inefficiently to a human β-globin pre-mRNA and, in contrast to A1, does not affect 5' splice site selection when added to an S100 extract supplemented with ASF/SF2 (Mayeda et al., 1994). To determine whether UP1 displayed a similar behavior on transcripts carrying high-affinity A1-binding sites, we first monitored the binding of recombinant A1 and UP1 proteins to CE4. rUP1 bound to naked CE4 RNA at least as efficiently as rA1, and both proteins bound inefficiently to the complementary sequence of CE4 (Figure 5A). Thus, the absence of the glycine-rich domain of A1 does not abrogate its capacity to interact with CE4. When increasing amounts of rUP1 were added in nuclear extracts incubated with the C5’ 4/4 pre-mRNA, 5' splice site selection shifted from predominantly distal to primarily proximal (Figure 5B). The presence of high-affinity A1-binding sites and endogenous A1 proteins therefore allowed UP1 to display a dominant-negative effect on 5' splice site selection. Thus, although not essential for binding to CE4, the glycine-rich domain plays a crucial role in promoting distal 5' splice site selection.

**Bridging activity of A1 proteins**

We have shown previously that the effect of CE1 on 5' splice site selection is not associated with differences in U1 snRNP binding to competing 5' splice sites (Chabot et al., 1997). Identical results were obtained with CE4 (data not shown). Moreover, the important shifts in 5' splice site selection obtained by the addition of rA1 or by pre-incubating splicing mixtures with the DNA oligonucleotide carrying A1-binding sites did not affect U1 snRNP binding to competing 5' splice sites (data not shown). To explain the effect of CE1a and CE4 on 5' splice site selection, we propose that the binding of A1 to CE1a and CE4 is followed by protein contacts between A1 molecules bound to these elements (Figure 6A). This interaction would bring the more distant pair of splice sites into close proximity, thereby improving their rate of commitment. This model implies that A1 affects 5' splice site selection not by altering 5' splice site recognition but rather by changing the conformation of the pre-mRNA.

To address whether A1 molecules can interact simultaneously with two RNA-binding elements, we first incubated rA1 or rUP1 with 32P-labeled CE1a RNA and a control K+ RNA. The mixture was then incubated with
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Fig. 4. A pre-mRNA carrying CE4 elements is more sensitive to an increase in the concentration of A1. (A) C5'–/– and C5' 4/4 RNAs were incubated in HeLa extracts containing purified recombinant GST–A1 (rA1). Lanes 1–4 and 5–8 contain 0, 0.25, 0.5 and 1.0 μg of rA1, respectively. (B) Distal 5' splice site selection in the presence of increasing amounts of an oligonucleotide bound by A1. Increasing amounts of (TAGGGT)3 oligonucleotide were pre-incubated for 10 min at 30°C in splicing mixtures. Lanes 1–5, 6–10, 11–15 and 16–20 contain 0, 1, 2, 4 and 8 pmol of oligonucleotide. Labeled C5'–/– and C5' 4/4 RNAs were added and incubation was continued for 2 h. Splicing products in (A) and (B) were loaded onto an 11% acrylamide–8 M urea gel.

cold CE1a RNA covalently coupled to an adipic acid hydrazide column. Each column was washed at increasing salt concentration to elute bound complexes. As shown in Figure 6B, the rA1–[32P]CE1a complex was specifically retained by the column, labeled CE1a RNA co-eluting with rA1 (Figure 6B, middle panels). In contrast, the rUP1–[32P]CE1a complex was not retained by the column and only free UP1 eluted at higher salt concentration (Figure 6B, right panels). The specificity of the assay is demonstrated by the fact that the control K+ RNA did not co-elute with rA1, and that the RNA column did not retain [32P]CE1a RNA in the absence of rA1 (left panel). These results indicate that A1 molecules bound to one site can interact specifically with another high-affinity binding site, and that the glycine-rich domain of A1 is essential for simultaneous binding. Because the glycine-rich domain is not required for efficient and specific binding to the RNA (Figure 6A), our results suggest that the glycine-rich domain mediates an interaction between A1 molecules independently bound to high-affinity sites.

**CE4 also affects 3' splice site selection**

While the effects of CE4 and CE1a on 5' splice site selection were comparable *in vitro*, the removal of CE4 had more impact on the inclusion of exon 7B *in vivo* than the deletion of CE1 (Figure 2). This result suggests that CE4 modulates an additional event in splice site selection. To test whether CE4 could influence 3' splice site selection, we used a model pre-mRNA that contains the 5' splice site of exon 7 and two competing 3' splice sites (C3' –/–; Figure 7A). While C3' –/– RNA was spliced predominantly to the distal 3' splice site, the proximal 3' splice site was also used (Figure 7A, lane 1; and see Figure 8). Notably, insertion of CE4 in between the two 3' splice sites eliminated proximal 3' splice site selection and increased distal splicing (C3' 4/–; Figure 7A, lane 3). In contrast, insertion of CE1a at the same position, or insertion of CE4 upstream of the proximal 3' splice site, had no effect (C3' –/1a and C3' 4/–; lanes 4 and 2, respectively). To address whether CE4 repressed the proximal 3' splice site, we tested a derivative of C3' –/4 which lacked the distal 3' splice site (S774; Figure 7B). Compared with a control pre-mRNA carrying the complementary sequence of CE4 at the same position (S774α), the presence of CE4 was associated with a strong inhibition of splicing (Figure 7B, lanes 1–8). The presence of CE4 in the intron did not inhibit splicing (S747; Figure 7B, lanes 9–12). Because splicing of S747 was not perturbed when S774 RNA was included in the splicing mixture (lanes 13–16), inefficient splicing of S774 RNA was not caused by a general inhibitor in the S774 RNA preparation. Thus, while both CE4 and CE1a modulate 5' splice site selection, only CE4 can repress the 3' splice site of exon 7B in a position-dependent manner. The ability of CE4 to influence both 5' and 3' splice site selection probably explains why the deletion of CE4 promoted more efficient exon 7B inclusion than did the deletion of CE1 (Figure 2).
The effect of CE4 on 3’ splice site choice is mediated by a trans-acting factor distinct from A1

Because CE1a does not affect 3’ splice site selection, A1 binding to CE4 cannot by itself account for the effect of CE4 on 3’ splice site usage. Nevertheless, A1 could play a role in repressing the upstream 3’ splice site. To address this issue, we tested a CE4 derivative lacking the A1-binding site (CE4m) (Figure 8A). While CE4m was bound considerably less efficiently than CE4 by A1 (Figure 8B), CE4m was as efficient as CE4 at improving selection of the distal 3’ splice site (C3’–/4 and C3’–/4m; Figure 8A, lanes 3 and 4, respectively). Insertion of the complementary sequence of CE4m had no effect (C3’–/4mα, lane 2). At concentrations that influence 5’ splice site selection, the addition of rA1 did not affect the splicing of either C3’–/– or C3’–/4 (data not shown). These results indicate that A1 binding is dispensable for the effect of CE4 on 3’ splice site choice. To determine whether the activity of CE4m in 3’ splice site selection requires a trans-acting factor, we performed splicing assays in the presence of molar excesses of competitor RNAs. The highest concentration of CE4m RNA shifted 3’ splice site selection on the C3’–/– pre-mRNA (Figure 8B, lanes 5–8), the amplitude of the shift was less important than on C3’–/4m RNA. An RNA fragment containing plasmid sequences (K+ RNA), although improving distal 3’ splice site selection at low concentrations, did not significantly affect the ratio of 3’ splice site utilization at the highest concentration (Figure 8C, lanes 1–4 and 9–12). Our results indicate that the effect of CE4 on 3’ splice site selection is mediated by a factor distinct from hnRNP A1 and that nearby binding of A1 is not required for this activity.

Discussion

Modulation of alternative splicing by hnRNP A1

We report the identification and activity of a 24 nucleotide conserved element (CE4) located downstream of alternative exon 7B. CE4 promotes exon 7B skipping in vivo, and influences both 5’ and 3’ splice site selection in vitro. The effect of CE4 on 5’ splice site choice is indistinguishable from that of the previously characterized CE1a intron element located downstream of exon 7 and bound by hnRNP A1 (Chabot et al., 1997). As evidence for the contribution of hnRNP A1 to the activity of CE4, we have shown that CE4-containing pre-mRNAs are more responsive to an increase in the concentration of A1 than is a pre-mRNA lacking CE4. Despite the fact that recombinant A1 can completely counteract the shift in 5’ splice site selection caused by an excess of A1-binding
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Fig. 6. A1 bridging activity. (A) A model for the role of A1 in alternative splicing. In the hnRNP A1 pre-mRNA, an interaction between A1 molecules bound to CE1a and CE4 would bring the 5’ splice site of exon 7 into closer proximity to the 3’ splice site of exon 8, increasing the frequency of exon 7B skipping. Bars indicate the distance separating the distal pair of splice sites. (B) Simultaneous interaction of A1 molecules with two high-affinity binding sites. Cold CE1a RNA was covalently linked to an agarose adipic acid hydrazide resin. A mixture of 32P-labeled RNAs (CE1a and K+) alone or with rA1 or rUP1 were loaded onto the column. The 0.05 M KCl fractions correspond to the flow-through. The column was eluted successively with 0.1, 0.25 and 0.5 M KCl. The recovered RNAs and proteins were fractionated on an 8% acrylamide–8 M urea gel and a 12.5% SDS–polyacrylamide gel, respectively (top and bottom panels, respectively). The input and 0.05 M lanes of the RNA gel contain one-tenth of the initial fractions.

substrate, other factors may be recruited by A1 to modulate 5’ splice site selection. The binding of A1 to our model pre-mRNAs is not associated with the assembly of core hnRNP particles that contain the hnRNP C protein (data not shown). Whereas formation of an (A1)3B2 tetramer remains to be verified, the small size of the pre-mRNAs tested in vitro may not be conducive to hnRNP core particle formation since a minimum of 700 nucleotides is required for the assembly of a core 40S hnRNP particle (Conway et al., 1988). Thus, whereas it is possible that the binding of A1 to the natural A1 pre-mRNA promotes the formation of a complex containing additional hnRNP proteins, the participation of hnRNP C in this process does not appear essential in vitro.

The mechanism by which A1-binding elements modulate 5’ splice site selection and exon skipping remains unclear. The presence of CE1 and CE4 is not associated with differences in the binding of U1 snRNP to competing 5’ splice sites (Chabot et al., 1997; data not shown), suggesting that these elements do not directly influence 5’ splice site recognition. Moreover, the inclusion of CE1 or CE4 in the intron of simple one-intron pre-mRNAs does not affect splicing efficiency (Figure 6B and data not shown), indicating that A1-binding elements do not affect spliceosome assembly. On the other hand, we showed that a derivative of A1 lacking the C-terminal glycine-rich domain (UP1) antagonizes distal 5’ splice site selection in a nuclear extract, most likely by competing with endogenous A1 for binding to CE4. This indicates that the glycine-rich domain of A1 performs an essential
Fig. 7. CE4 promotes distal 3′ splice site selection. (A) In vitro splicing assays performed in HeLa nuclear extract with pre-mRNAs containing competing 3′ splice sites. Labeled splicing products were fractionated on an 11% acrylamide–8 M urea gel. (B) CE4 inhibits upstream intron removal. Labeled pre-mRNAs containing a single pair of splice sites were incubated in a HeLa extract. Aliquots of each splicing reaction were taken at the indicated time and run on an 11% acrylamide–8 M urea gel. A mixture containing both S747 and S774 RNAs was analyzed to rule out the presence of an inhibitor in the S747 transcript preparation (lanes 13–16). A schematic representation of the model pre-mRNAs is presented on top of each panel.

function in 5′ splice site selection. The glycine-rich domain is responsible for the self-associating property of A1 and its RNA strand-annealing activity (Kumar and Wilson, 1990; Pontius and Berg, 1990; Munroe and Dong, 1992; Casas-Finet et al., 1993; Portman and Dreyfuss, 1994; Cartegni et al., 1996). We have shown that A1 molecules can interact simultaneously with two high-affinity sites. Because this behavior is not seen with UP1, the glycine-rich domain probably mediates an interaction between A1 molecules bound to distinct sites. Based on these observations, we propose a simple model for the mechanism of action of CE1a and CE4. As depicted in Figure 6A, A1 proteins bound to CE1 and CE4 would interact with one another through their glycine-rich domain. This interaction would change the conformation of the pre-mRNA and increase the frequency of commitment between the U1-bound distal 5′ splice site and the 3′ splice site of exon 8.

In its simplest version, the model predicts that appropriately positioned A1-binding sites should also affect 3′ splice site selection. However, CE1a has no effect on 3′ splice site choice (Chabot et al., 1997), and concentrations of A1 that affect 5′ splice site selection in vitro do not influence 3′ splice site choice (Mayeda et al., 1994; data not shown). These results suggest that a 3′ splice site positioned in between two strong A1-binding sites hinders the interaction between bound A1 molecules. Consistent with this view, the inclusion of an optimal U2AF65-binding site in between two CE1a elements seriously compromises activation of the distal 5′ splice site (unpublished results).

The details of the mechanism that leads to this antagonism are currently being investigated.

The above model implies that activation of the distal 5′ splice site requires an interaction between A1 molecules bound on each side of the proximal 5′ splice site. Given that A1 can bind to a variety of RNA sequences with lower affinity in vitro (Burd and Dreyfuss, 1994; Mayeda et al., 1994; Abdul-Manan and Williams, 1996), high concentrations of A1 could lead to appropriately positioned A1 proteins on a pre-mRNA that lacks optimal A1-binding sites. The concentration of A1 in nuclear extracts is probably high enough to allow less discriminate A1 binding because inclusion of only one optimal A1-binding site can stimulate distal 5′ splice site selection, albeit less efficiently than when two appropriately positioned A1-binding sites are present. Moreover, increasing the number of A1-binding sites reduces the level of exogenous A1 required to achieve preferential distal 5′ splice site utilization. Whether A1 only binds to high-affinity A1-binding sites in nascent pre-mRNAs is unknown. The high abundance of core hnRNP proteins, their association with pre-mRNAs extracted from nuclei and the visualization of hnRNP complexes in active genes has fueled the notion that major hnRNP proteins associate indiscriminately with pre-mRNAs in vivo (Beyer and Osheim, 1988; Amero et al., 1992; Matunis et al., 1992; Zu et al., 1998; for a review see McAfee et al., 1997). However, when the distribution of individual hnRNP proteins has been looked at, their position on nascent pre-mRNAs follows a non-random distribution (Pinol-Roma et al., 1989; Matunis...
et al., 1993; Visa et al., 1996; Wurtz et al., 1996), an observation that may reflect the binding specificity of each individual hnRNP protein. Our study shows that the presence of high-affinity A1-binding sites has functional implications in pre-mRNA splicing both in vitro and in vivo. Thus, while the combined interactions of a constellation of hnRNP proteins may package nascent pre-mRNAs in a form that is primed to undergo many types of maturation events, interactions between individual hnRNP proteins bound at specific sites may modulate pre-mRNA conformation and, in the case of hnRNP A1, promote splice site pairing.

**A role for hnRNP A1 in intron definition**

A key feature of the model proposed is that the interaction between bound A1 proteins brings into close proximity sequences or factors flanking A1-binding sites. While this interaction has important consequences in splice site selection, it may also be relevant to the constitutive splicing of introns which require commitment between partners often separated by several kilobases. To address the possible role of A1-binding sites in enforcing commitment between distant splice junctions, we examined the distribution of putative A1-binding sites in exon and intron sequences of six genes (BRCA2, RB1, NF1, c-myb, troponin T and abl) containing a total of 119 introns spanning 501 145 bp with a mean intron length of 4211 bp. The consensus A1-binding site TAG\(^\text{A/G}^G\text{A/T}^A\) represents a combination between the ‘winner’ sequence determined by Burd and Dreyfuss (1994) and the A1-binding site found in CE4. If A1-binding sites are distributed randomly, the theoretical frequency should average one A1-binding site per 1024 bp. The first striking observation concerns the imbalance in the frequency of optimal A1-binding sites between exon and intron sequences. cDNAs contain a low frequency of A1-binding sites (0.32 site per 1000 bp; Table I). This frequency is not biased by the small number of exon sequences analyzed since a similar frequency is observed in an additional 23 822 bp taken from randomly selected cDNAs (0.29 site per 1000 bp; Table I). While the frequency of the UAG triplet is expected to be lower in coding sequences, the prevalence is too low to account for the paucity of A1-binding sites in all reading frames. In contrast, A1-binding sites are
abundant in introns, the overall frequency indicating a prevalence slightly superior to the theoretical frequency (Table 1). When the distribution of A1-binding sites is plotted for each gene, a predominance of A1-binding sites near splice sites is noted in several introns (Figure 9A). A compilation of the distribution of A1-binding sites for introns carrying three or more sites (26% of all introns sampled) shows the high prevalence of A1-binding sites near 5' and 3' splice sites (Figure 9B). While this frequency decreases gradually in the internal intron segments, the central portion displays a moderately high occurrence of A1-binding sites. The high prevalence of G triplets near the 5' splice sites of primate introns has been reported previously (Nussinov, 1988, 1989; Engelbrecht et al., 1992; Solovyev et al., 1994). At least in small introns, G triplets have been shown to enhance splicing efficiency, to affect 5' splice site selection and to play a role in fixing exon–intron borders (McCullough and Berget, 1997). As hnRNP A1 may bind to at least a subset of these G-rich sequences, interactions between A1 molecules bound at different sites may be responsible for the effects observed.

We propose that the prevalence of A1-binding sites in several introns, particularly near 5' and 3' splice junctions, plays a crucial role in intron definition. Interactions between A1 proteins bound in one intron would loop out segments of this intron until distant splicing partners are in close proximity (Figure 9C). This process ultimately resembles the situation in several yeast introns in which the formation of an RNA duplex structure between sequences near a 5' splice site and a branch site enhances splicing efficiency (Newman, 1987; Libri et al., 1995; Charpentier and Rosbash, 1996; Howe and Arès, 1997). Duplex structures can also promote exon or splice site skipping when introduced into mammalian pre-mRNAs (Solnick, 1985a; Eperon et al., 1986). However, because duplex formation between distant but perfectly complementary sequences does not occur efficiently in mammalian cells (Solnick and Lee, 1987; Eperon et al., 1988), the task of bringing distant intron junctions into close proximity in higher eukaryotes may have been taken over by proteins such as hnRNP A1. Given that an optimal U2AF-binding site located in between two A1-binding sites antagonizes the activity of these elements in 5' splice site selection, interactions between A1 molecules bound in adjacent introns may be prevented by the presence of a strong 3' splice site, thus restricting A1-bridging interactions to within individual introns. In the presence of a suboptimal 3' splice site, the presence of A1-binding sites in flanking introns may set the stage for exon skipping or alternative 3' splice site selection.

**A variety of elements contribute to exon 7B alternative splicing**

The efficiency of A1-mediated exon 7B skipping will vary depending on the rate of commitment between proximal pairs of splice sites. In other words, CE1a- and CE4-mediated commitment between the distal pair of splice sites (7–8) must occur before each proximal pair (7–7B and 7B–8) is committed. Possibly to delay commitment between the 7B–8 pair, U1 snRNP binding to the 5' splice site of exon 7B is compromised by the formation of a highly stable secondary structure with the downstream CE6 element (Blanchette and Chabot, 1997). A different mechanism operates to prevent commitment between the upstream pair of splice sites (7–7B). In this case, a sequence element within CE4 (CE4m) bound by a factor that remains to be identified represses 3' splice site utilization. Because an optimal U2AF-binding site interferes with the activity of A1-binding elements (unpublished results), repression of 3' splice site recognition may be essential to permit an interaction between A1 proteins bound in neighboring introns.

Elements that repress 3' splice site utilization have been localized on either side of a 3' splice junction. CE4m belongs to a group of negative elements that repress 3' splice site utilization from a downstream position (Caputi et al., 1994; Amendt et al., 1995; Del Gatto and Breathnach, 1995; Staffa and Cochrane, 1995; Del Gatto et al., 1996; Zheng et al., 1996; Si et al., 1997). A portion of CE4m (5'-AGCUAGAUUAGAUCU-3') is almost identical to the silencer element 5'-CUAGACUAGA-3' present in tat exon 2 of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (Si et al., 1997), and is similar to a related negative element in the HIV tat–rev exon 3 (Amendt et al., 1995; Staffa and Cochrane, 1995). Given the sequence similarity between CE4m and the silencer element in tat exon 2 of HIV, their activity is likely to be modulated by a common factor. In contrast to a recent study on the tat exon 2 of HIV (Del Gatto-Konczak et al., 1999), our results indicate that hnRNP A1 is not implicated in the activity of CE4m; CE4m is not bound efficiently by hnRNP A1, and substituting CE4m for the A1-binding element CE1a does not affect 3' splice site selection. Experiments are in progress to identify the factor which binds to CE4m, and to determine whether CE4m represses the interaction of constitutive splicing factors at the 3' splice site of exon 7B.

In conclusion, processing of the A1 pre-mRNA to produce mRNAs predominantly lacking exon 7B is controlled by the combined action of at least four distinct intron elements (Figure 10). Elements bound by A1 are

---

**Table 1. Distribution of A1-binding sites in some mammalian genes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Length (bp)</th>
<th>Sites found (No.)</th>
<th>Frequency (c/1000 bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introns#</td>
<td>501 145</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exons#</td>
<td>25 276</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNAs</td>
<td>23 822</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aData extracted from BRCA2, NFI, c-myc, abl, RB1 and cTnt genomic sequence.

---

**Fig. 9.** Distribution of putative hnRNP A1-binding sites in mammalian pre-mRNAs. (A) Boxes and lines represent exons and introns, respectively. The position of each putative A1-binding site (TAG\(^\gamma\)G\(^\gamma\)) is indicated below each gene by a thin vertical bar. (B) Compilation of the occurrence of A1-binding sites in introns carrying three or more A1-binding sites. Each histogram indicates the frequency of A1-binding sites in successive 10% portions of the normalized intron. A random distribution was given the arbitrary value of 1. (C) Interactions between bound A1 molecules would bring distant splicing partners into close proximity to help define intron borders. Boxes, thin lines and vertical bars represent exons, introns and putative A1-binding sites, respectively. A1 proteins (filled circles) bound to intron sequences would loop out a large fraction of the intron to bring distant splicing partners into closer proximity.
Materials and methods

Plasmid constructs

The expression plasmid pmA1 which carries the A1 minigene (exons 5–10), and its derivative carrying a deletion of CE1 (pmA1ΔCE1), have been described previously (Blanchette and Chabot, 1997). To construct pmA1ΔCE4, a 125 bp fragment containing the second half of exon 7B and 15 nucleotides of the downstream intron was amplified by PCR using oligonucleotides A1B2 (CTCCATATCCGCTGACCCA) and STR-2 (TACTGCTGATCC), and cloned into the EcoRV site of pBluescript KS+ to produce pK7B. The BstXI-Smal fragment from pK7B was then substituted for the BstXI–Smal fragment of pmA1, pmA1ΔCE4 resulted from the reannealing between oligonucleotide CE4 (AGCTAGATTAGATCTTC) in the sense or antisense orientation into the EcoRV site of pmA1ΔCE1. The structure of the resulting constructs was confirmed by extensive restriction enzyme analysis, and DNA sequencing when appropriate.

Transcription and splicing assays

Splicing substrates were produced from plasmids linearized with Scal, except for S774, S774α and S747 which were obtained by cutting with HindIII, and transcribed with T3 RNA polymerase (Pharmacia Biotech) in the presence of cap analog [α-32P]UTP (Amersham). CE1α, CE4 and CE4m RNAs were produced from pmCE1α, pmCE4 and pmCE4m linearized with EcoRI and transcribed as above. RNA purification was performed as described in Chabot (1994). HeLa nuclear extracts were prepared (Dignam et al., 1983) and used in splicing reactions as described previously (Chabot et al., 1997). The identity of lariat molecules was confirmed by debranching reactions in S100 extract and by comparing the migration of debranched products with known standards.

RNA affinity column assay

Transcription to produce ‘cold’ CE1α was carried out in the absence of the cap analog, in the presence of 1 mM rNTPs and of a small amount of [α-32P]UTP to aid in the purification and quantitation of CE1α RNA. A 400 pmol aliquot of CE1α RNA was coupled to 500 μl of agarose adic acid hydrazide according to the manufacturer’s recommendation (Pharmacia-Amersham). Microcolumns were set up with 25 μl of CE1α beads packed into a 200 μl pipetman tip. A mixture containing 2.5 fmol of 32P-labeled CE1α and K+ RNAs (produced from standard transcriptions) and either rA1 or rUP1 (5 pmol) was applied to the column. The column was set up in buffer R50 [20 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 0.5 mM EDTA, 50 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl2, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.05% NP-40 and 10% glycerol]. The column was washed with 200 μl of buffer R50 and the flow-through was concentrated to 50 μl on a Microcon-30 column (Millipore). Stepwise elutions were accomplished with 50 μl of buffers R100, R250 and R500 (each one identical to buffer R50 except that the KCl concentration was 100, 250 and 500 mM, respectively). Input, flow-through and eluted fractions were aliquoted in two sets. One set was extracted with phenol/chloroform/isooamylalcohol, ethanol precipitated and loaded onto an 8% acrylamide–8 M urea gel for RNA visualization. Samples from the other set were loaded onto a 12.5% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and the fractionated proteins were silver stained.
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