Introduction

Reverse transcriptase (RT) is a key enzyme of the retroviral cycle that possesses RNA- and DNA-dependent DNA polymerase and RNase H activities (Baltimore, 1970; Temin and Mizutani, 1970). It converts the single-stranded RNA genome into double-stranded DNA via a complex series of steps including two template switches and duplication of the long terminal repeats (Gilboa et al., 1979). In retroviruses, plant pararetroviruses and most retrotransposons, initiation of reverse transcription is primed by a cellular tRNA whose 3’ end is complementary to a so-called ‘primer binding site’ (PBS) (for reviews see Marquet et al., 1995; Mak and Kleiman, 1997; Arts and Le Grice, 1998). tRNA<sub>Lys</sub> is the natural primer of all immunodeficiency viruses, including the type 1 human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1), while tRNA<sub>Pro</sub> and tRNA<sub>Lys</sub> are used by most avian and murine retroviruses, respectively (Marquet et al., 1995; Mak and Kleiman, 1997; Arts and Le Grice, 1998).

In HIV-1, the PBS is not the sole determinant of primer usage. When the PBS is mutated to be complementary to the 3’ end of tRNAs other than tRNA<sub>Lys</sub>, replication of the virus is dramatically reduced and rapid reversion to the wild-type PBS is observed (Li et al., 1994; Das et al., 1995; Wakefield et al., 1995). Similarly, avian leukemia viruses whose PBS identity is altered are unstable and revert to a PBS complementary to tRNA<sub>Pro</sub> (Whitcomb et al., 1995). In contrast, murine retroviruses are able to stably maintain a PBS complementary to an unnatural primer tRNA (Colicelli and Goff, 1987; Lund et al., 1993, 1997). The selective primer usage of HIV-1 correlates with the ability of HIV-1 RT to discriminate against non-self tRNA primers <em>in vitro</em> (Li et al., 1994; Oude Essink et al., 1996).

The specificity of the initiation of reverse transcription appears directly linked to virus-specific interactions between the primer tRNA and the genomic RNA, in addition to the general PBS–tRNA interaction. Mutagenesis and genetic studies on Rous sarcoma virus (RSV) support the existence of a 7-bp interaction between the T<sup>7</sup>C stem–loop of tRNA<sub>Trp</sub> and the avian retroviral RNA that is required for optimal reverse transcription <em>in vitro</em> and <em>in vivo</em> (Cordell et al., 1979; Cobrini et al., 1988; Aiyar et al., 1992, 1994). In contrast to avian retroviruses, structural probing suggested that the interaction between tRNA<sub>Pro</sub> and the genomic RNA of Moloney murine leukaemia virus is limited to the ‘classical’ interaction between the PBS and the 3’ end of the primer (Fossé et al., 1998).

In HIV-1, chemical and enzymatic probing revealed complex interactions between the anticodon loop, the 3’ portion of the anticodon stem and part of the variable loop of tRNA<sub>Lys</sub> with viral sequences located upstream from the PBS (Isel et al., 1993, 1995) (Figure 1). These interactions, the stability of which requires the post-transcriptional modifications of tRNA<sub>Lys</sub> (Isel et al., 1993), are supported by site-directed mutagenesis (Isel et al., 1996, 1998). Post-transcriptional modifications of tRNA<sub>Lys</sub> and extended primer–template interactions are also required for efficient initiation and the transition to elongation of HIV-1 reverse transcription (Isel et al., 1996). The primer–template complex is specifically recognized by the homologous enzyme: most non-homologous RT, including lentiviral RTs, which naturally utilize tRNA<sub>Lys</sub>
In vivo and the viral A-rich loop recently gained support from et al. binary complex (Arts as primer, are unable to efficiently extend the HIV-1 binary complex (Arts et al., 1996; Isel et al., 1996).

The interaction between the anticodon loop of tRNA_{3Lys} and the viral A-rich loop recently gained support from in vivo studies. First, a tRNA_{3Lys} with a mutated anticodon is incorporated into the HIV-1 particles, but is not used as primer for reverse transcription (Huang et al., 1996). Secondly, deletion of the A-rich loop results in viruses with diminished levels of infectivity and reduced DNA synthesis, and this loop is progressively restored upon prolonged cell culture (Liang et al., 1996). Furthermore, HIV-1 can stably use tRNA{His} and tRNA{Met} as primers, provided that both the PBS and the A-rich loop are simultaneously mutated to be complementary to these tRNA species (Wakefield et al., 1996; Kang et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 1998).

Knowledge of the three-dimensional structure of the initiation complex is required to define the molecular basis for the specificity of HIV-1 reverse transcription. Here, we used chemical probing to gain insight into the tertiary structure of the HIV-1 RNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex and enzymatic footprinting to determine regions of the primer–template interacting with HIV-1 RT. These and previous experimental data (Isel et al., 1995, 1998) were used to construct three-dimensional models of the viral RNA–tRNA_{3Lys} and viral RNA–tRNA_{3Lys}:RT complexes. Our models highlight the role of the extended primer–template interactions in primer–template folding and in RT binding, revealing viral RNA–tRNA_{3Lys}:RT interactions specific to the initiation phase.

Results and discussion

Probing the tertiary structure of the HIV-1 RNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex

The secondary structure model shown in Figure 1 is supported by extensive chemical and enzymatic probing (Isel et al., 1993, 1995) and by site-directed mutagenesis (Isel et al., 1998). In order to provide more information on the tertiary structure of the HIV-1 RNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex, we tested accessibility of the N7 position of purines and of the C4' position of riboses. The A-N7 and G-N7 positions were tested with diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC) and dimethyl sulfate (DMS), respectively (data not shown). The A-N7 positions are usually protected from DEPC modification when they are located within a regular RNA helix (Moine et al., 1997). Thus, the absence of modification of A23 in the tRNA_{3Lys} and of A134, -138, -145, -165, -166, -188, -189 and -214 in the viral RNA (vRNA) supports the secondary structure model. The absence of reactivity of A-N7 at helix termini (i.e. A7, -43, -147, -167 and -208) and in single-stranded regions (i.e. A26, -57 and -157) may reflect base stacking, hydrogen bonding or metal-ion binding, or burying inside the tertiary structure. Reactivity of the N7 position of A206 and -207 reflects limited stability of helix 8.

Contrary to that of A-N7, the N7 reactivity of paired Gs cannot be predicted from the secondary structure (Moine et al., 1997). However, the absence of reactivity of G-N7 in single-stranded regions would indicate hydrogen bonds or metal ion chelation involving these positions (Moine et al., 1997). No such site was identified in the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex. The N7 position of G160 was protected from modification, either because it is stacked on helix 5D or because this nucleotide (nt) is buried inside the structure (data not shown).

We used hydroxyl radicals generated by Fe(II)-EDTA to test accessibility of the riboses of the vRNA and primer tRNA. This probe can distinguish the interior and exterior parts of large RNA molecules (Latham and Cech, 1989; Celander and Cech, 1991), and can detect non-canonical interactions (Murphy and Cech, 1994) and metal-ion binding sites involving the sugar–phosphate backbone (Serganov et al., 1996). In some short helices (e.g. 1 and 8), only one strand was accessible to hydroxyl radical attack (Figure 1). In longer, regular helices (2 and 7F), reactivity varied along the helix axis and was shifted on one strand compared with the other. The entire stem–loops B and 4 were accessible, suggesting there are located at the periphery of the primer–template tertiary structure (Figure 1). We also tested susceptibility of vRNA encompassing nt 123–217 of the HIV-1 genome towards Fe(II)-EDTA in the binary complex with tRNA_{3Lys} and obtained similar results (data not shown). Not surprisingly, almost all previously reported enzymatic cleavages (Isel et al., 1995) are located in regions cleaved by Fe(II)-EDTA.
Footprinting of the HIV-1 RT on the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex

Hydroxyl radicals (Metzger et al., 1993) and nucleases (Wöhrl et al., 1995) have been used to map HIV-1 RT on DNA–DNA primer–template. However, despite numerous attempts in different laboratories, no RT footprint has so far been published using an RNA template. When using either Fe(II)-EDTA or base-modifying reagents, we were unable to detect any footprint of RT on the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex. Nevertheless, the absence of reactivity changes of the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex in the presence of RT suggests that the secondary structure of the primer–template complex was not significantly modified. In particular, there was no indication that the intermolecular interactions were affected by RT binding.

In contrast, enzymatic probes were successful in monitoring binding of HIV-1 RT to the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex, using either 1–311 or 123–217 vRNA templates (Figure 2; data not shown). In order to optimize binding of RT to the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex, the potassium chloride concentration was reduced from 300 mM, when probing the structure of the primer–template complex, to 40 mM. When free vRNA 123–217 was submitted to digestion with RNase T2, an endonuclease that cleaves single-stranded regions with a preference for adenines, major cleavage sites were observed in the A-rich loop (at A164), in the PBS (nt 182–188) and in stem–loop 8, downstream of the PBS (nt 197–208) (Figure 2A). Upon formation of the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex, PBS susceptibility totally disappeared, those at nt 164 and 197–208 strongly decreased, and new strong cleavages were observed at A155 and A177 (Figure 2A), two bases that are unpaired in the binary complex (Figure 1). Reduced cleavage at A164 results from base-pairing of the anticodon loop of tRNA_{3Lys} with the viral A-rich loop (helix 6C), which is a dynamic interaction that does not totally protect RNA from nuclease cleavage and chemical modification (Isel et al., 1995, 1998). The strongest cleavages in stem–loop 8 were located in the loop (Figure 2A). However, cleavage was also observed in the stem, indicating that
the stability of this helix is limited, in agreement with modification of A205 and 206 by DEPC (data not shown). Upon binding of RT, cleavage at A155 was enhanced, while A164, A177 and nt 197–208 were efficiently protected from hydrolysis by RNase T2 (Figure 2A and E). Reduced cleavage at single-stranded nt 177 and 200–205 most probably reflects a direct protection of the vRNA by RT. For nt 164, 197–199 and 206–208, which are involved in unstable helices, reduced cleavage might be a consequence of the stabilization of these helices by RT. The enhanced cleavage at A155 probably reflects a slight RT-induced change in the relative orientation of helices 4 and 5D.

When the primer–template complex was subjected to treatment with RNase V1, an endonuclease that specifically cleaves double-stranded and stacked regions, cleavage
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**Fig. 2.** Footprinting of the HIV-1 RT on the vRNA–tRNA 3Lys complex. (A) 3' end-labeled vRNA 123–217 either free or bound to tRNA 3Lys in the presence or absence of RT was probed with RNase T2. Lanes 0, 1 and 2 correspond to controls incubated in the absence of nuclease and reactions for 5 and 10 min, respectively. Note that RT induced cleavage at position C190 of the vRNA, even in the absence of RNase T2. (B) vRNA 1–311 involved in the primer–template complex was probed with RNase V1 in the absence and presence of 10- or 30-fold excess of RT. Lanes 0, 1 and 2 correspond to controls incubated in the absence of nuclease and reactions for 8 min with 0.15 and 0.22 units RNase V1, respectively. (C) 3' end-labeled tRNA 3Lys, either free or annealed to vRNA in the presence and absence of RT was probed with the *N. crassa* endonuclease. Lanes 0, 1 and 2 correspond to controls incubated in the absence of nuclease and reactions for 5 and 10 min, respectively. (D) Bound tRNA 3Lys was probed with RNase V1 in the absence and presence of RT. Lanes 0, 1 and 2 correspond to controls incubated in the absence of nuclease and reactions for 5 and 10 min, respectively. (E) Summary of the footprinting data.
sites in vRNA were located in helix 1 (nt 123–126), helix 3E (nt 141–143), helix 4 (nt 144–145 and 152–154), helix 5D (nt 158–159), helix 6C (weak cleavage at nt 162–164) and helix 2 (nt 170–173) (Figure 2B and E). Cleavage was also observed in the loop of stem–loop 4 (nt 148–150), probably reflecting base-stacking of these residues (Isel et al., 1995). Upon addition of increasing amounts of RT, RNase V1 cleavages in helices 6C and 5D were strongly enhanced and nt A164–166 became susceptible to hydrolysis. Weak reactivity changes were observed in stem–loop 4 and helix 3E, while cleavage in helix 2 was unaffected. These data indicate that extended intermolecular interactions formed by helices 3E, 5D, and 6C are not disrupted upon RT binding. On the contrary, enhanced cleavages in helices 5D and 6C suggest these interactions are stabilized by RT, even though there is no direct contact of these helices with the protein. Enhanced cleavage in these helices also indicates that protection of A164 from RNase T2 cleavage by RT was not due to a direct contact with RT, but to the stabilization of helix 6C. The only protection from RNase V1 cleavage by RT was observed at nt 123–124 (Figure 2B and E), suggesting contacts between the polymerase and helix 1, or at least spatial proximity.

Neurospora crassa endonuclease, which cleaves single-stranded regions, and RNase V1 were used to identify contacts between RT and tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} in the primer–template complex. In the absence of RT, the cleavage pattern of tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} by \textit{N. crassa} endonuclease in the footprint buffer was very similar to that observed previously at higher salt concentrations (Isel et al., 1995, 1998) (Figure 2C). However, protection of the anticonod loop of the tRNA in the primer–template was weaker in the footprint buffer and new cuts were observed in the dihydrouridine stem (helix B) (Figure 2C), indicating the limited stability of helices 6C and B at low ionic strength. When forming the ternary primer–template:RT complex, hydrolysis of the B loop was still observed, while other sites were partially (G22, G24 and G30) or totally (33USUU36) protected (Figure 2C and E). As already observed, protection of the anticonod loop of tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} probably reflects stabilization of helix 6C rather than a direct protection by RT. The same may hold true for helix B. In contrast, protection of G30 probably results from a direct protection by the retroviral polymerase.

In the primer–template complex, tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} was cleaved by RNase V1 in both strands of helix A, at C28, in helix 5D and at the 3’ end of helix 7F (Figure 2D and E). In the ternary complex, protections were observed in helices 7F and 5D, while increased cleavage was observed in the 3’ strand of helix A (Figure 2D and E). Protection of the 3’ end of tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} hybridized to the PBS was not complete, probably due to the dynamic nature of the tertiary complex (Lanchy et al., 1996). Only the primer strand of helix 5D was protected by RT, since as indicated above, the viral strand remained susceptible to RNase V1 cleavage in the tertiary complex (Figure 2B).

Taken together, our footprinting data suggest that, in the ternary complex, RT protects helix 1, helix 7F, stem–loop 8, and the single-stranded nt G30 in the primer and A177 in the template. At this stage, it remains unclear whether partial protection of the viral strand of helix 5D is direct or indirect (see below).

### Modeling the three-dimensional structure of the HIV-1 RNA–tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} complex

In the first modeling step, the elements of tertiary structure were constructed and assembled according to Figure 1. For simplicity, the post-transcriptional modifications of tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} were not represented. As expected, the multiple short connections between helices strongly constrain the three-dimensional structure of the primer–template complex and limit the number of possible arrangements of the helices. Assembly required only minor modifications of the secondary structure: residue R37 of tRNA\textsubscript{Lys}, rather than A38, was base-paired to U163 in the vRNA, residues A38 and G162 formed a non-canonical base pair and P39 was unpaired. These slight modifications of the secondary structure of the vRNA–tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} complex are compatible with the probing data (Isel et al., 1995). The modeling of the binary complex was performed independently by two of us (E.W. and C.M.) and converged towards the same tertiary structure, within the approximations linked to the method. In addition, we were able to model intermediate states that could constitute the successive steps of tRNA annealing to the vRNA in vivo (C.Massire and E.Westhof, unpublished). This series of steps led to the three-dimensional model presented here, indicating that formation of this structure is topologically feasible. The constraints derived from the probing of R-N7 positions and ribose residues were then introduced in the model.

There is good correlation between the reactivity of tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} and vRNA riboses towards Fe-EDTA and accessibility of the ribose C4’ positions calculated from our three-dimensional structure model of the vRNA–tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} complex (Figure 3). The protected regions of tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} (helix A and the junction between helices A and B, the junction between helices B and 6C and helix 6C, the junction between A and 7F and the 3’ portion of helix 7F) are located in domains of low calculated accessibility. On the other hand, the 5’ portion of helix 7F is highly reactive, in agreement with our model (Figure 3). The protected regions of vRNA correspond to domains of low calculated accessibility (the junction between helices 1 and 2, part of the 5’ strand of helix 2, the junction between helices 5D and 6C, the helix 6C–helix 2 junction, the 3’ part of helix 8 and the 3’ strand of helix 1) or to a local minimum in the calculated curve (the junction of helices 2 and 3E). As expected, the most exposed regions of the model are highly reactive towards hydroxyl radicals (Figure 3). The only discrepancies between the experimental and calculated accessibility are located at the junction of helices 4 and 5D and helix 7F that are predicted regions of low accessibility, but contain several moderately reactive riboses. Indeed, calculations made on a rigid structure might not correctly account for the dynamic structure of these regions of the vRNA–tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} complex. Similar discrepancies were observed between the backbone accessibility of the P4–P6 domain of a group I intron that were determined biochemically and calculated from the crystallographic structure (Cate et al., 1996).

The three-dimensional model also correctly accounts for the protection observed at N7 positions. Most N7 positions are protected by base-stacking between the 5’ base-paired nucleotide and the 3’ nucleotide, which is either base-paired (A145 and A167) or single-stranded (G30, A7, A147 and A208). Protection of A26-N7 and...
A57-N7 are due to base-stacking with single-stranded nucleotides, while that of A43 and A157 involves spatial proximity of nucleotides not located immediately upstream or downstream in the primary structure (not shown).

Despite intermolecular interactions involving several distinct helices and the complex central junction of the secondary structure model, the tertiary structure model is remarkably simple. Indeed, except for the interaction of the 3’ end of tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} with the PBS, the two RNA molecules form distinct and compact domains (Figure 4). Intermolecular helices 3E, 5D and 6C form a single interface explaining why helices 3E and 5D are unstable when helix 6C is mutated (Figure 4A) (Isel \textit{et al}., 1998). At the central four-helical junction (Figure 1), helices 2 and 6C are stacked and nearly coaxial, while helices 3E and A are not. Helix 7F is exposed at the periphery of the structure, thus allowing recognition by RT. The three single-stranded template nucleotides upstream of the PBS were modeled in continuity with this helix and there is a sharp turn between the third nucleotide and helix 2. The sharp kink between helices 7F and 2 is a direct consequence of the secondary structure of the complex. Modeling of these single-stranded nucleotides was based on analogy with the MoMLV primer–template complex, in which the corresponding three nucleotides are more constrained (Fossé \textit{et al}., 1998). Helix 8 extends helix 7F, even though these are not colinear. Helices 2, 3E, 4, 5D and 6C form a flat surface parallel to helix 7F, and helices A and B protrude on the other side of the complex (Figure 4B).

**Modeling the three-dimensional structure of the HIV-1 vRNA–tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys}:RT complex**

When the enzymatic footprint data of RT were superimposed on the three-dimensional model of the vRNA–tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} complex, the protected and accessible regions clearly formed distinct domains consistent with binding of the 3’ terminus of the primer in the polymerase active site (Figure 5A). Thus, these data can be used to elaborate a three-dimensional model of the HIV-1 vRNA–tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys}:RT complex. This tertiary complex was built by directly docking the primer–template model on the crystal structure of HIV-1 RT solved by Arnold and co-workers.
Fig. 5. Modeling the three-dimensional structure of the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys}:RT complex. (A) Representation of the RT footprint on the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} model. Red and green ribbons correspond to the vRNA and tRNA_{3Lys}, respectively. The 3′ end of tRNA_{3Lys} is at the right end of helix 7F. Sites strongly protected against cleavage by RT are represented by blue spheres. Unaffected and enhanced cleavage sites are indicated by golden spheres and weak protections by small golden spheres. (B) Stereo view of the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys}:RT complex. The p66 subunit of RT is in yellow and p51 in purple. The subdomains of the p66 subunit of HIV-1 RT are labeled according to Kohlstaedt et al. (1992). (Jacobo-Molina et al., 1993; Ding et al., 1997). The phosphate 5′ of the 3′ terminal A of tRNA_{3Lys} was superimposed with the corresponding phosphate of the DNA primer present in the crystallographic structure. The orientation of the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex was then modified to avoid steric clashes with the polymerase. During these processes, the primer–template complex and the polymerase were both considered as rigid bodies and no modification of their structures was allowed. Chemical and enzymatic footprinting data suggested that the secondary structure of the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex was unaffected by RT binding and that the tertiary structure underwent no dramatic change. The possibility that the RT structure might slightly differ in the initiation complex and the crystal structure solved by Arnold and coworkers cannot be ruled out. Rotation of the thumb (Esnouf et al., 1995) or a swivel motion of RNase H domain (Jager et al., 1994) would locally modify the interactions between lateral chains of RT and the primer–template complex; however, they would not change the general architecture of the initiation complex and the consequences discussed here.

The vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex fits perfectly into the binding cleft of RT and the docking easily accounts for most of the footprinting data (Figure 5). The 3′ adenosine of tRNA_{3Lys} is in the polymerase catalytic site and C196 on the template, which is located 18 nt downstream from the initiation site of reverse transcription, is close to the catalytic residues of the RNase H domain of HIV-1 RT (Figure 5B). The interaction between the RNase H domain of HIV-1 RT and the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex extends to stem–loop 8 of the template, explaining protection of nt 197–208 from cleavage by RNase T2. Moreover, an interaction of helices αH and αI in the thumb subdomain of the p66 subunit in the shallow groove of the vRNA–tRNA_{3Lys} complex is very similar to that observed in the crystallographic structure with duplex DNA (Jacobo-Molina et al., 1993; Ding et al., 1997). This interaction protects nt 72–74 of tRNA_{3Lys} from cleavage by RNase V1. The three single-stranded nucleotides upstream of the PBS and helix 2 do not bind to the cleft of RT by passing between the thumb and the finger subdomains towards the front of RT (Figures 1 and 5B). Rather, they fold backwards and are maintained simultaneously by the
fingers and thumb, explaining protection of A177 from cleavage by RNase T2 (Figure 5). Protection of nt 123–124 from cleavage by RNase V1 is due to a direct interaction of helix 1 in the vRNA–tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> complex simultaneously with the finger subdomains of the p66 and p51 subunits of HIV-1 RT. G30, which is partially protected by RT from cleavage by N.crassa endonuclease, is located on the floor of a pocket, the walls of which consist of helices 1, 2, 4, 3E, B and the finger subdomain of p66 (Figure 5B). C28, which is located in the same pocket, is cleaved by RNase V1 even in the presence of RT (Figure 2E). This result is explained by the low mol. wt of RNase V1 (15.9 kDa), as compared with the N.crassa endonuclease (55 kDa). The partial protection of nt 40–42 from nuclease attack by RT is probably indirect. It might result from a movement of stem–loop 8 towards helix 5D upon binding of HIV-1 RT (Figure 5).

**Biological implications of the vRNA–tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub>–HIV-1 RT model—comparison with other retroviruses and retrotransposons**

The specificity of initiation of reverse transcription in HIV-1 appears to rely on extended interactions between the vRNA and tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub>, in particular between the anticodon loop of tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> and the viral A-rich loop (helix 6C in our secondary structure model, Figure 1). Our three-dimensional model of the vRNA–tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> complex reveals that these extended primer–template interactions constitute a single interface (Figure 4). Unexpectedly, nuclease footprinting experiments, as well as docking of HIV-1 RT on the vRNA–tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> model, suggests that there is no direct contact between this interface and the HIV-1 polymerase. Similarly, there are no contacts between RT and tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> outside helix 7F. These results are in keeping with those of Morrow and co-workers showing that HIV-1 can stably use tRNAs other than tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> as primers provided both the PBS and A-rich loop are mutated to complement these tRNAs (Wakefield et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 1996; Kang et al., 1997; Li et al., 1997), and thus imply that there is no sequence-specific recognition of the extended vRNA–tRNA interactions by HIV-1 RT. Our three-dimensional model of the ternary complex suggests indirect roles for the extended interactions in the recognition of the vRNA–tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> complex by RT. They impose a highly ordered and rigid structure to nt 141–167. In their absence, these nucleotides could adopt a loose flexible structure that could interfere with RT binding. In addition, these interactions constrain the structure of the entire vRNA–tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> complex, ensuring the proper orientation of helices 1, 2, 7F and 8 that interact directly with RT.

Site-directed crosslinking experiments suggested interactions between the anticodon loop of tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> and the thumb subdomain of p66 and/or p51 (Mishima and Steitz, 1995) which are not present in our model. However, synthetic tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> lacking the natural posttranscriptional modifications were used in these experiments. This synthetic primer, unlike its natural counterpart, is a very poor primer for HIV-1 RT (Isel et al., 1996), and its anticodon loop does not interact with the viral A-rich loop (Isel et al., 1993, 1995). Thus, these crosslinking data are not directly relevant to the initiation complex. However, they might reflect a later stage of the process, when the A-rich loop–anticodon–loop interaction is disrupted due to DNA synthesis, as suggested by mutation of K249, R307 and R311 in the p66 subunit of HIV-1 RT (Arts et al., 1998). These mutants retained their capacity to initiate DNA synthesis but failed to elongate the nascent transcripts.

At all stages of reverse transcription, the duplex formed by the template and the newly synthesized DNA probably interacts within the cleft of HIV-1 RT as previously shown in the co-crystal of RT with a duplex DNA (Jacob-Molina et al., 1993; Ding et al., 1997). During initiation of HIV-1 reverse transcription, helix 7F probably interacts similarly with RT (Figure 5B). However, additional interactions we propose between HIV-1 RT and the vRNA–tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> complex, namely with helices 1, 2 and 8 cannot be maintained throughout elongation of reverse transcription and thus are specific for the initiation phase. The fact that the vRNA–tRNA<sub>3Lys</sub> complex is recognized efficiently only by HIV-1 RT (Arts et al., 1996; Isel et al., 1996) suggests that some of the structural features of this complex are unique to HIV-1. However, given the conservation of the general architecture of polymerase in general and RTs in particular, it is possible that homologous, although not identical, interactions exist in the initiation complex of reverse transcription of other retroelements.

An extensive probing study has been conducted on the primer–template complex formed by MoMLV RNA and tRNA<sub>Pro</sub>, and a three-dimensional model of this complex was proposed (Fossé et al., 1998). The MoMLV primer–template model reveals structural equivalents to helices 2, 7F and 8, but no equivalent to helix 1. The absence of an equivalent to helix 1 in MoMLV might be related to the fact that this helix interacts with both subunits of HIV-1 RT, while the MoMLV RT is a monomer. In this retroviral RNA genome, there is no equivalent to helices 3E, 4, 5D and 6C, and helix 2 is simply closed by a tetraloop. Thus, the absence of extended MoMLV RNA–tRNA<sub>Pro</sub> interactions is consistent with our proposal that one of their roles in HIV-1 is to prevent interference of nt 140–167 with RT binding.

Secondary structure models of the RSV RNA–tRNA<sub>Tp</sub> (Aiyar et al., 1992, 1994) and Ty1 RNA–tRNA<sub>Met</sub> (Friant et al., 1996) complexes were proposed on the basis of structural probing and genetic data, respectively. In Ty1 retrotransposon, the 10-bp PBS helix is prolonged by a 7-bp helix resulting from extended primer–template interactions. With colinear stacking, these two helices might be analogous to helix 7F. In addition, viral helices that may be structural equivalents to helices 2 and 8 could be easily identified. Finally, extended Ty1 RNA–tRNA<sub>Met</sub> interactions form an additional helix that might play a role similar to helix 1. In RSV, the U5-1R and U5-leader stems are probably structurally equivalent to helices 2 and 8 in HIV-1. Furthermore, the helix resulting from interaction of the TRC arm of tRNA<sub>Tp</sub> with a viral sequence upstream of the PBS might be structurally related to helix 1. Thus, structural equivalents of helices 2 and 8 can probably be found in the initiation complex of most retroviruses and retrotransposon, while more diversity is found regarding helix 1, which might play an important role in the specificity of RT binding to the tRNA–vRNA complex.

A remarkable feature of our three-dimensional model
The initiation complex is the kink between helices 7F and 2, which is imposed by the short junctions between helices 1 and 2, as well as between helices 8 and 1, and by the extended vRNA–tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} interactions. A similar kink is observed in the three-dimensional model of the MoMLV RNA–tRNA\textsuperscript{Met} complex (Fossé et al., 1998) and can be predicted from the secondary structure models of the Ty1 RNA–tRNA\textsuperscript{Met} (Friant et al., 1996) and RSV RNA–tRNA\textsuperscript{t} (Aiyar et al., 1992, 1994) complexes. This kink fully exposes the 3′ end of the primer tRNA and the single-stranded nucleotides linking helices 7F and 2, which are the first to be reverse transcribed. This kink might be of crucial importance in preventing stacking between these helices, which would otherwise mask the 3′-terminus of the primer. The kink between helices 7F and 2 is reminiscent of the situation observed in the crystal structure of human DNA polymerase β complexed with a gapped DNA–DNA primer–template, where the 3′ end of the primer is exposed by a 90° kink in the gapped region separating the upstream and downstream helices (Sawaya et al., 1997).

As a consequence of the kink, the position of the template relative to RT in our initiation complex model differs from that previously proposed in elongation complex models (Kohlstaedt et al., 1992; Wöhrl et al., 1995). In the elongation complex, the single-stranded template region upstream of the active site was modeled in continuity with the double-stranded primer–template region (equivalent to helix 7F) bound in the RT cleft. Thus, in the elongation complex models, the single-stranded template nucleotides pass through the cleft formed by the thumb and finger subdomains of the p66 subunit of template nucleotides passing through the cleft formed by the thumb and finger subdomains of the p66 subunit of HIV-1 RT (Mal isolate) were synthesized by \textit{in vitro} transcription with T7 RNA polymerase as previously described (Paulart et al., 1994; Skripkin et al., 1996). Purification and labeling of natural tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} was as previously described (Isel et al., 1993). The HIV-1 RT used in this study was an RNase H(−) enzyme bearing the E478Q point mutation purified as described by Le Grice and Grueninger-Leitch (1990). This mutant polymerase was used in order to prevent cleavage of the RNA template by the dsRNA activity associated with the HIV-1 RNase H (Götte et al., 1995).

**Chemical and enzymatic probing of vRNA**

For G-N7 modification of the vRNA, the binary primer–template complex was formed as described previously (Isel et al., 1993, 1995) and cooled at room temperature for 15 min. One microliter of DMS diluted 20-fold in ethanol was added to 20 μl samples and the reaction was allowed to proceed for 6 and 12 min at 20°C. Chain scission at methylated G-N7 positions was performed by aniline treatment (Peattie and Gilbert, 1980). Probing of the A-N7 positions of HIV-1 RNA involved in the primer–template with DEPC was essentially as described for tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} (Isel et al., 1995), except that aniline treatment was omitted.

For hydroxyl radical probing, wild-type 1–311 or 123–217 RNAs (8 pmol) were incubated alone or with a 3-fold molar excess of tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} in water for 2 min at 90°C, cooled on ice and incubated at 70°C for 20 min in 50 mM sodium cacodylate (pH 7.5) and 300 mM KCl. After hybridization, samples were incubated in the same buffer supplemented with 5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2} at 20°C for 15 min. Addition of 2 μg of total yeast tRNA, Fe-EDTA probing experiments were performed on 21 μl samples by adding separately on the wall of the Eppendorf tubes 1 μl of 100 mM EDTA, 1 μl of 250 mM DTE, 1 μl of diluted H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} final concentration: 0.025, 0.05 or 0.1%) and 1 μl of a freshly prepared Fe(NH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(SO\textsubscript{4})-H\textsubscript{2}O solution at 20 mg ml\textsuperscript{-1} (Murphy and Cech, 1994). Reactions were started by centrifuging, incubated for 5 min at 37°C, and were stopped by addition of 25 μl of 10 mM thiourea and precipitated with 0.3 M sodium acetate and 3 vol ethanol for at least 20 min at 0°C. Modified bases and riboses and positions of cleavage were detected by primer extension as previously described (Baudin et al., 1993).

**Footprinting of RT on the primer–template complex**

For RNase T2 probing experiments on vRNA, 32P end-labeled 123–217 RNAs were hybridized in the binary complex with either 1–311 or 123–217 RNAs as described elsewhere (Isel et al., 1993). Chemical and enzymatic probing of tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} for chemical modifications of tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys}, viral RNA (12 pmol) and 50 000 c.p.m. of 3′ or 5′ end-labeled tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} (=0.4 pmol) were hybridized as described above and the reaction mixture was cooled at 37°C for 10 min. Chemical modification at G-N7 was with 2 μl of DMS diluted 20-fold in ethanol, for 5 and 10 min at 37°C. Chain scission at methylated G-N7 was observed by aniline treatment. Hydroxyl radical footprinting of tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} was essentially as described above for vRNA. Probing with \textit{N. crassa} endonuclease and RNase V1 of tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} involved in the binary complex with either 1–311 or 123–217 RNAs was as described elsewhere (Isel et al., 1993, 1995).
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**Note added in proof**

Elgavish et al. (*J. Mol. Biol.*, 1999, 285, 449–453) recently suggested that it is not possible to model the three-dimensional structure of the HIV-IRNA–tRNA<sub>U5</sub> complex according to the previously proposed secondary structure (Isel et al., 1995). The present study clearly shows that this model is feasible and accounts for the experimental data.