Deletion of the ryanodine receptor type 3 (RyR3) impairs forms of synaptic plasticity and spatial learning
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Introduction

A considerable amount of evidence from a variety of experimental models suggests that long-lasting changes of synaptic plasticity as well as the formation of long-term memory require at least two major cellular events: an initial elevation of the intracellular calcium concentration [Ca²⁺], and, subsequently, a de novo synthesis of certain proteins, mediated by different second messenger cascades (Davis and Squire, 1984; Goelet et al., 1986; Malenka et al., 1988, 1992; Lisman, 1989; Matthis, 1989; Artola and Singer, 1993; Bootman and Berridge, 1995; Cummings et al., 1996; Rosenzweig, 1996; Tsunoto and Yasuda, 1996; Frey and Morris 1997; Alkon et al., 1998). Beyond the influx of Ca²⁺ via N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) and voltage-dependent calcium channels (VDCCs) (Grover and Teyle 1990, 1994; Bliss and Collingrige, 1993), the release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores located in the endoplasmic reticulum provides a main source of intracellular Ca²⁺+, which has been implicated in several forms of synaptic plasticity and memory formation (Xu and Krnjevic, 1990; Kohda et al., 1995; O’Mara et al., 1995; Freuguelli et al., 1996; Reyes and Stanton, 1996; Wang et al., 1996, 1997; Alkon et al., 1998; Wilsch et al., 1998). Although both types of endoplasmic calcium release channels—inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (InsP3) receptors and ryanodine receptors (RyRs)—were found to be involved in neuronal plasticity, RyRs apparently possess a particular importance: (i) they amplify Ca²⁺ signals initiated by other extracellular and intracellular Ca²⁺+ sources (Berridge, 1998; Reyes-Harde et al., 1999); (ii) they are tightly functionally coupled to the influx of extracellular Ca²⁺+ through L-type VDCCs (Chavis et al., 1996); and (iii) RyRs but not InsP3 receptors appear to modulate cellular protein synthesis (Alcazar et al., 1997).

To date, three isoforms of RyRs (RyR1, RyR2 and RyR3) are known, which are all expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) (Furuichi et al., 1994; Giannini et al., 1995). In the brain, RyR2 is expressed at robust levels while RyR1 and RyR3 contribute only a small fraction of total RyRs in neurons. It is interesting to note that the three RyR isoforms differ in their pattern of expression in distinct areas of the brain (Furuichi et al., 1994; Giannini et al., 1995). For instance, RyR1 is preferentially expressed in Purkinje cells in contrast with RyR2, which is expressed in the cerebellar granule cells as well as in many other areas of the brain. RyR3 is preferentially found in the hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cell layer, the caudate/putamen, the olfactory bulb and olfactory tubercle. In parallel to these preferential sites of expression, in many areas of the brain more than one RyR isoform is observed. Immunohistochemical studies, performed with antibodies that recognize more than one RyR isoform (global RyR staining), have revealed that the localization of RyRs may often coincide with that of the InsP3 receptors in most regions of the brain, while in others a differential localization can be observed (Sharp et al., 1993). RyR immunostaining has been observed in cell bodies, axons, dendrites, spines and dendritic shafts (Sharp et al., 1993; Berridge, 1998).

Why multiple RyR isoforms exist in numerous neurons and how these isoforms participate and interact in neuronal function is currently not understood. The development of RyR3 gene knockout mice has provided initial evidence for the involvement of RyR3 channels in muscle contraction. In neonatal skeletal muscles, where RyR3 is expressed with a relative abundance (Conti et al., 1996; Bertocchini et al., 1997; Flucher et al., 1999), knockout of RyR3
reduces the amplitude of the twitch and unused tetani in electrically stimulated muscles. Furthermore, it reduces contracture induced by caffeine (Bertocchini et al., 1997). Since RyR3 levels in skeletal muscles are only a fraction of those seen in total RyR channels, it has been proposed that RyR3 channels may provide a robust amplificatory component in conjunction with the voltage-activated RyR1 channels (Bertocchini et al., 1997; Sonnleitner et al., 1998; Sorrentino and Reggiani, 1999).

To examine the functional role of the RyR3 gene product in the CNS, mutant mice homozygous for a non-functional allele of the RyR3 gene were studied at the morphological, physiological and behavioral levels. Because of the relatively high expression of RyR3 in the hippocampus (Furuichi et al., 1994; Giannini et al., 1995), studies were focused on this brain region.

RyR3 knockout mice developed normally and showed no obvious morphological alteration in the hippocampus. Likewise, long-term potentiation (LTP) generated by a strong, 3-fold tetanization and spatial learning in the Morris water-maze did not differ between mutant and control animals. In contrast, weak LTP, depotentiation (DP) and spatial re-learning were markedly changed by RyR3 deletion. Thus, RyR3 channels seem to play a distinct role in certain types of hippocampal synaptic plasticity and spatial learning.

Results

Morphological characterization and RyR isoform expression in the brain of RyR3 knockout mice

In wild-type animals, the three isoforms of RyRs are expressed in the CNS, where their levels increase between late embryonic stages of development and 60 days after birth (Figure 1). Knockout of the RyR3 gene did not affect the expression level of the RyR1 and RyR2 isoforms in the brain (Figure 1). In a separate set of experiments, the levels of the three isoforms of InsP3 receptors in the brain of RyR3 knockout mice were analyzed using isoform-specific antibodies. No difference with respect to normal mice was observed (data not shown). To assess the morphological development of RyR3 mutants and their wild-type counterparts, we used Nissl staining and antibodies towards the calcium-binding proteins parvalbumin, calbindin D28K and calretinin that indicate specific subtypes of interneurons and principal cells. S100β served as a glial marker. As shown in Figure 2, RyR3 mutant mice did not display obvious alterations in the number, distribution or morphology of hippocampal neurons or glial cells as compared with their wild-type counterparts. Likewise, the distribution patterns of parvalbumin, calbindin and calretinin immunoreactivity in mutant mice resembled those of wild-type controls (Figure 3).

LTP analysis in CA1 and dentate gyrus of RyR3 knockout mice

Previous studies have revealed a relative abundance of RyR3 in neurons of the hippocampus (Furuichi et al., 1994; Giannini et al., 1995). These observations are suggestive of a functional role of RyR3 channels in hippocampal Ca$^{2+}$ signaling. The dynamics of intraneuronal Ca$^{2+}$ [Ca$^{2+}$], have been found to play a critical role during the induction of plastic synaptic changes in the hippocampus, since they determine the type and properties of synaptic changes that are induced [e.g. LTP and LTD (long-term depression); Lisman, 1989; Artola and Singer, 1993; Cummings et al., 1996; Tsumoto and Yasuda, 1996; but see Neveu and Zucker, 1996]. Therefore, we examined whether hippocampal synaptic plasticity was altered in the RyR3 knockout mice. In particular, we studied LTP, which is thought to mediate processes of learning and memory formation at the cellular level (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993). In a first set of experiments, robust LTP (lasting for at least 6 h) was induced either in the hippocampal CA1 region or in the dentate gyrus by a repeated, strong tetanization protocol (Figures 4A–C and 5A). In the two regions, no statistical differences could be detected between RyR3 knockout and wild-type control mice, neither in LTP induction as expressed by the degree of potentiation 1 min after the third tetanus [dentate gyrus: RyR3$^{-/-}$: 206.4 ± 16.3 (% change ± SEM), n = 7; RyR3$^{-/-}$: 212.1 ± 14.5, n = 6; CA1: RyR3$^{+/+}$: 188.8 ± 33.1, n = 5; RyR3$^{-/-}$: 183.5 ± 27.2, n = 5], nor in the maintenance of LTP as evaluated by the potentiation 6 h after tetanization (dentate gyrus: RyR3$^{+/+}$, 137.05 ± 8.3; RyR3$^{-/-}$, 143.2 ± 11.4; CA1: RyR3$^{+/+}$, 151.3 ± 11.7; RyR3$^{-/-}$, 152.4 ± 20.1).

The lack of an effect of RyR3 receptor deletion on robust LTP could be caused by the strong induction protocol, which activates cellular processes that provide the cell with a large amount of extracellular calcium (e.g. calcium influx via voltage-dependent calcium channels, NMDARs, etc.). We therefore tested whether an LTP generated by a weaker tetanization protocol would be affected, reasoning that a potentiation induced by such a protocol could be more susceptible to a diminution of [Ca$^{2+}$], elevation during tetanization, as can be anticipated.
in RyR3 knockout mice. In accordance with these considerations, mutant mice tended to have a lower initial amplitude of potentiation (154.1 ± 8.7, n = 7, compared with 174.5 ± 4.7, n = 5, of controls) and, more importantly, were impaired in LTP maintenance (Figure 5B–D). LTP in RyR3 knockout mice decayed within 30 min (Figure 5B), whereas wild-type mice still displayed a significant potentiation after 2 h (129.4 ± 13.6). The difference between RyR3 knockout mice and controls was highly statistically significant.

Depotentiation in the dentate gyrus
Since these results indicated that RyR3 is distinctly involved in certain types of LTP induced by weak tetanization protocols, we examined whether other forms of synaptic plasticity were also affected. Since homosynaptic LTD can not be induced in the medial perforant path of adult rodents (Doyere et al., 1997), we studied DP in this structure. DP assigns the reversal of potentiation evoked by low-frequency stimulation shortly after induction of LTP (Barrionuevo et al., 1980; Stübli and Chun, 1996; Wagner and Alger, 1996). It was reported to share several biochemical characteristics with LTD (Wagner and Alger, 1996). In both RyR3 knockout mice and their wild-type controls, a single tetanus at 100 Hz (1 s) caused a significant potentiation (Figure 4D–F). In RyR3 knockout mice, application of a 2 min train of low-frequency

Fig. 2. (A and B) Comparison of Nissl- and (C and D) S100β-stained sections from RyR3 knockout and wild-type mice. Deletion of RyR3 did not change the distribution, number or size of neurons or S100β-immunoreactive glial cells in the hippocampal formation. Quantification of (E) neurons and (F) S100β-IR glial cells revealed no significant difference between the two groups in the analyzed areas (see box in B, D). Columns indicate mean values ± standard deviation of n = 3 animals/group (with n = 15 sections/animal in the case of Nissl-stained sections and n = 5 sections/animal in the case of S100β-IR glial cells).
RyR3 implicated in synaptic plasticity and spatial learning

Fig. 3. The immunocytochemical detection of cell-type-specific markers for the calcium-binding proteins (A and B) parvalbumin, (C and D) calbindin D28K and (E and F) calretinin revealed similar staining patterns of neurons in wild-type (A, C and E) and mutant mice (B, D and F).

stimulation, 5 min after induction of LTP, resulted consistently in a DP of field excitatory postsynaptic potential (fEPSP) slope to intermediate levels significantly different from potentiation and baseline. Thereafter, the residual potentiation of the RyR3 knockout mice showed a further decay which became indistinguishable from baseline levels 30 min after DP (Figure 4E and F). In contrast, wild-type controls remained at the residual potentiated level until the end of recording. This difference in the maintenance of the residual potentiation was not due to an altered basal synaptic function in RyR3 mutants as shown by input–output (I/O) curves (Figure 4G).

Next, we examined whether paired-pulse depression was affected in RyR3 knockout mice. This presynaptically governed form of short-term plasticity is observed when two identical stimuli are delivered to homosynaptic afferent fibers in rapid succession (Anderson, 1960; Curtis and Eccles, 1960). Over the whole range of interpulse intervals (10–500 ms), virtually no difference was observed in paired-pulse depression between RyR3-deficient mice and controls (Figure 4H).

Behavior and learning in RyR3 knockout mice

The specific effects of RyR3 deletion on synaptic plasticity suggest a role of RyR3 in hippocampus-dependent learning and behavior. Since the performance in a learning task may be confounded by side-effects of gene deletion on general behavior, we first analyzed spontaneous activity in an open-field test. Mutant mice travelled an 18% longer distance (Figure 6A) due to greater speed of locomotion. Both effects were more pronounced during the first day. The faster locomotion of RyR3 mutant mice was associated with an increased tendency of circular running (Figure 6B), which may be interpreted as a mild motor stereotypy. In contrast, mutants showed normal habituation of activity, and the time spent resting was indistinguishable from controls within-session. There was also no difference with
Fig. 4. LTP and DP in the dentate gyrus of RyR3+/+ (○) and RyR3−/− (●) mice as determined by the initial slope of the fEPSPs. (A–C) Deletion of RyR3 does not impair robust LTP induced by strong, triple tetanization (TBS, theta-burst stimulation, indicated by arrows) in the dentate gyrus. (A and B) Examples of LTP in (A) a wild-type and (B) a mutant mouse. The insets represent analog traces, taken (1) during baseline recording, (2) immediately after tetanization and (3) 6 h post-tetanus. Note the increased slope of the fEPSP after tetanization. The superimposed traces (1 + 3) indicate the remaining potentiation after 6 h. (C) Average values (means ± SEM) calculated as a percentage of baseline measures (RyR3+/+, n = 8; RyR3−/−, n = 6). (D–F) Deletion of RyR3 affects DP in the dentate gyrus. (D and E) Individual examples of DP in (D) a wild-type and (F) a mutant mouse. Analog traces were taken (1) during baseline recording, (2) immediately after tetanization, (3) immediately after DP and (4) 80 min thereafter. Trace 3 is superimposed on trace 4 (broken line: trace 3) to allow an evaluation of the stability of remaining potentiation after DP. (F) Average values (means ± SEM) calculated as percentage of baseline measures. Note the continuous decrease of the fEPSP slope of RyR3−/− (n = 7) mice after cessation of low-frequency stimulation (LFS) that is evident by the calculated regression line y = 120.3–0.23x (P < 0.0002, Friedman test; RyR3+/+, n = 8). (G) Input-output curves of RyR3-deficient and wild-type control mice. fEPSP slopes were recorded at increasing stimulation intensities until a maximum was attained. There were no significant differences between the two groups. Means ± SEM are given. RyR3+/+, n = 8; RyR3−/−, n = 7. (H) Paired-pulse depression (PPD), calculated from the ratio of the second fEPSP slope to the first fEPSP slope. At all interpulse intervals, no significant difference was observed between RyR3−/− and RyR3+/+ mice. Means ± SEM are given. RyR3+/+, n = 8; RyR3−/−, n = 7.

Hippocampus-dependent spatial learning of RyR3 knockout mice was examined in a Morris water-maze test. During an acquisition phase (18 trials) the animals had to learn to use distant cues on the walls to locate an escape platform that was hidden at a constant location. This was followed by a reversal phase (12 trials) during which the cues remained unchanged but the platform was relocated to a new position. Navigation learning during the acquisition respect to the amount of time spent in contact with the wall or exploring the center of the arena.
Fig. 5. LTP of the fEPSP in the hippocampal CA1-region of RyR3^{−/−} (●) and RyR3^{+/+} (○) mice. (A) Deletion of RyR3 does not deteriorate robust LTP induced by strong, triple tetanization (HFS, high-frequency stimulation) indicated by arrows. The insets represent analog traces of a wild-type (upper row) and a mutant (lower row) mouse, taken (1) during baseline recording, (2) immediately after tetanization and (3) 6 h post-tetanus (RyR3^{−/−}, n = 5; RyR3^{+/+}, n = 5). (B) LTP induced by a single weak tetanization in the CA1 area is impaired in RyR3^{−/−} (n = 7, ●) mice compared with RyR3^{+/+} (n = 5, ○; P <0.002, ANOVA with repeated measures). In contrast, RyR3^{−/−} mice (●) show an unchanged baseline transmission of an independent control input (○): RyR3^{+/+} mice). (C and D) Representative examples of weak LTP in (C) a wild-type and (D) a mutant mouse. The insets represent analog traces taken (1) during baseline recording, (2) immediately after tetanization and (3) 2 h thereafter. The superimposed traces (1−3) indicate that in the wild-type animal, but not in the mutant mouse, potentiation is still present at 2 h after tetanization (indicated by an arrow).

Discussion

The findings of this study shed new light on the role of RyRs in synaptic and behavioral plasticity. It is generally accepted that the induction of LTP and LTD requires an elevation of intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration in the postsynaptic neuron that is mediated either by channels on the plasma membrane and/or by InsP3 receptors and RyR release channels localized on specialized intracellular Ca^{2+} stores (Lisman, 1989; Malenka et al., 1992; Artola and Singer, 1993; Bootman and Berridge, 1995; Cummings et al., 1996; Tsumoto and Yasuda, 1996). An accepted model for activation of Ca^{2+} release through RyRs in neurons envisages a Ca^{2+}-induced Ca^{2+} release mechanism where an influx of Ca^{2+} through plasma membrane channels triggers the activation of the RyRs (Berridge, 1998). In addition, a functional coupling between RyRs and L-type Ca^{2+} channels could also be involved in RyR-coupled Ca^{2+} signaling (Chavis et al., 1996). A role of RyRs in the induction of LTP and LTD has been supported by a number of experiments all based on the use of pharmacological agents (Xu and Krnjevic, 1990; Kohda et al., 1995; O’Mara et al., 1995; Reyes and Stanton, 1996; Wang et al., 1997). However, these studies did not allow the identification of either the functional contribution of each single isoform or the significance of the expression of multiple RyR isoforms within a single neuron.

In the knockout mice used for our study, the RyR3 isoform was selectively deleted without changing the...
expression of RyR1 or RyR2, or of the InsP3 receptors. However, one must still consider the possibility that yet undetected changes in other proteins involved in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis or in specific cellular structures may have occurred as a consequence of RyR3 deletion. Yet, these mutants represent an ideal model for examining the function of the RyR3 isoform. Whereas RyR3 mutant mice did not show any changes in the cytoarchitectonical distribution patterns of neuronal subtypes in the hippocampus, the electrophysiological studies revealed specific changes of hippocampal synaptic plasticity. In contrast to robust LTP (induced by strong, repeated tetanization), which was affected neither in area CA1 nor in the dentate gyrus, a weaker form of LTP in the CA1 region (induced by weak single tetanization) was impaired. Another form of synaptic plasticity, DP, was altered in the dentate gyrus of RyR3 knockout mice. Interestingly, the magnitude of DP was not changed, but RyR3 knockout mice were impaired in maintaining a residual potentiation, which is indicative of a reset of LTP to a lower level, i.e. a decremental potentiation. According to our experience, such a weaker form of dentate LTP is difficult to accomplish by ‘direct’ weak tetanization. The changes observed in hippocampal synaptic plasticity are seemingly not a consequence of an altered excitability or a changed presynaptic function, since both I/O-curve and paired-pulse depression (PPD) were identical in mutants and controls. The consistently strong depression observed over the whole range of interpulse intervals corroborates previous findings on PPD in the medial perforant path of the rat (McNaughton, 1982).

The effects of RyR3 deletion were not confined to the physiological level. Whereas the general behavior in the open-field was not different between mutant and control mice, RyR3\textsuperscript{−/−} mice displayed a higher speed of locomotion with a mild tendency for circular running, which extends previous findings of Takeshima et al. (1996). Whether these motor alterations result from a dysfunction of the caudate putamen, one of the preferential expression sites of RyR3 in the CNS (Furuichi et al., 1994; Giannini et al., 1995), remains to be investigated. In any case, the unaltered within-session habituation of activity and normal center-field exploration rather argue against emotional alterations or hippocampal dysfunction as underlying causes.

In the knowledge of the distinct deficits in hippocampal synaptic plasticity, it was tempting to test whether they are accompanied by changes in hippocampus-dependent spatial learning. In the acquisition phase and probe trial of the water-maze task, the mutants were rather inconspicuous, i.e. they were able to build and memorize a spatial...
map based on a set of distant cues, and use that map to navigate to a hidden platform. This ability depends on synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus and its preservation is in accordance with the normal robust LTP in CA1 and the dentate gyrus. However, the reversal phase of our water-maze experiments revealed that RyR3 mice have a reduced flexibility to relearn and modify an acquired map once the goal position is changed in an otherwise unaltered environment. During relearning, their learning curve resembles that usually observed in a completely new setup, indicating that hippocampal function may not be entirely normal in these mice. The latter finding may be related to the inability of RyR3 knockout mice to maintain weak forms of potentiation induced either by weak tetanic stimulation or by low frequency DP of a robust LTP. Improved reversal learning abilities have been observed in mice overexpressing the cell adhesion molecule L1 (Wolfer et al., 1998). In the future, it would be interesting to analyze whether these transgenic mice can rescue the defect of RyR3–/– knockout mice.

In reviewing the results of our experiment, the question arises of how the deletion of the RyR3 isoform may cause such distinct and marked physiological changes. It is reasonable to expect that distinct isoforms could (i) be coupled to different mechanisms of activation and/or (ii) create an interactive mechanism where RyR channels made from one isoform may recruit or interact with RyR channels made from a distinct isoform. RyR3 channels have been estimated to be only ~1–3% of total brain RyR channels (Murayama and Ogawa, 1996). Recent reports on the functional properties of RyR3 channels have shown that a distinctive property is their low sensitivity to inactivation at high calcium concentration (Chen et al., 1997; Murayama and Ogawa, 1997; Sonnleitner et al., 1998). Such a property would make the RyR3 channels particularly adept at providing a more sustained calcium release efflux from the endoplasmic reticulum following stimulation by increasing concentration of calcium mediated by other calcium release channels on the endoplasmic reticulum, or by calcium channels on the plasma membrane (Berridge, 1998). Thus, it can be inferred that the observed impairment of neuronal functions and behavioral defects in RyR3 knockout mice could result from a qualitative contribution of the RyR3 channels to the machinery controlling intracellular calcium levels in neurons. It is interesting to note that these findings closely resemble those reported for neonatal skeletal muscles of RyR3 knockout mice (see Bertocchini et al., 1997; Sorrentino and Reggiani, 1999).

Evaluated together, our data suggest a functional role of RyR3 in special forms of hippocampal synaptic plasticity, which might be required for the normal adaptation and modification of spatial maps. In a more general sense, RyR3 seems to be involved in a process that adapts the acquired memory flexibility to external (environmental) changes or stimuli. Recent findings indicate that external changes, such as a novel environment, appetitive or aversive behavioral stimuli, can dramatically influence (reinforce, depotentiate or reverse) a recent potentiation in the hippocampus of freely moving rats (Seidenbecher et al., 1997; Xu et al., 1998). Since such stimuli directly or indirectly affect learning in most of the learning paradigms (and in real life), conversions between different forms of synaptic plasticity can be suggested to be rather common, and the ability to conduct such changes would appear to be crucial. Hence, the specific deficits in LTP and DP, as well as the reduced flexibility in spatial relearning, support a distinct role for the RyR3 isoform of Ca2+ release channels in the regulation of neuronal plasticity.

Materials and methods

Mutant mouse generation and characterization

The method of generation of RyR3–/– knockout mice has been described elsewhere (Bertocchini et al., 1997). Mutant (RyR3–/–) and normal (RyR3+/+) mice were obtained by mating mice heterozygous at RyR3 (i.e. RyR3+/–). The genotype of all mice was confirmed by Southern blot analysis of the RyR3 locus (Bertocchini et al., 1997). Microsomes were prepared as described previously (Conti et al., 1996). Tissues were homogenized in ice-cold buffer A (320 mM sucrose, 5 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.4 and 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) using a Dounce homogenizer. Homogenates were centrifuged at 7000 g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant obtained was centrifuged at 100 000 g for 1 h at 4°C. The microsomes were resuspended in buffer A and stored at –80°C. Protein concentration of the microsomal fraction was quantified using the Bradford protein assay kit (Bio-Rad). Microsomal proteins were separated by SDS–PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher & Schuell) and incubated with isoform-specific polyclonal rabbit antiserum against the three RyRs. Antigen detection was performed using the alkaline phosphatase detection method (Giannini et al., 1995).

Morphological and immunocytochemical investigations

Brain hemispheres were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4 overnight, and cut into 60-µm-thick sagittal sections on a vibratome. Slices including the dorsal hippocampus were either processed for Nissl staining or immunocytochemically stained using a standard peroxidase (POD) staining protocol with one of the following primary antibodies: parvalbumin (PV) (clone: PA-235, dilution 1:5000, Sigma), calbindin (CB) (clone: CL-300, dilution 1:5000, Sigma), calretinin (CR) (polyclonal rabbit, dilution 1:5000, Swant) or S100β (polyclonal rabbit, dilution 1:10000, Swant). The incubation time within the primary antiserum (including 0.1% Triton X-100) was 36 h at room temperature. Following thorough washing steps in PBS, sections were incubated in goat anti-mouse (PV, CB) or goat anti-rabbit (CR, S100β) biotin (dilution 1:200) for 90 min and visualized by a Vectastain elite kit (Vector) with DAB as chromophore. Evaluation of the Nissl- and POD-stained sections was performed with a Leica DMRXE microscope. Micrographs were taken with a PL Fluotar 5×, NA 0.12 or PL Fluotar 40× oil, NA 1.0–0.5 objective.

For quantification of Nissl stained sections and S100β-immunoreactive neurons, the CA1 region was divided into subfields by projecting a grid of 10 × 10 divisions over the dorsal hippocampus with the help of a diapositive overlay device that was mounted to the microscope. The grid was centered over CA1 at roughly equal medio-lateral levels and covered an area of 0.42 mm × 0.42 mm = 0.1764 mm² in the case of S100β (10 × 10 divisions, including stratum oriens, stratum pyramidale and stratum radiatum; see Figure 2D) or 0.09 mm × 0.03 mm = 0.0027 mm² in the case of Nissl-stained sections (10×3 divisions over str. pyramidale; see Figure 2B). Cell counts of S100β-positive cells were carried out in three animals per group (five adjacent sections per animal) using a Plan Apo 20×, NA 0.6 objective, zoom factor 1.2, and subsequently calculated for 1 mm². Cell counts of the Nissl-stained sections were carried out in three animals per group (15 sections per animal) using a Plan Apo 100× oil, NA 1.4 objective, zoom factor 1.2, and calculated for 1 mm².

Electrophysiological recordings

Electrophysiological recordings were conducted as described previously (Frey et al., 1988, 1996; Walther et al., 1998). For recordings in the CA1 region, slices were maintained in an interface chamber at 32°C for ~5 h of preincubation (ACSF flow rate of 1 ml/min). Two monopolar, lacquer-coated, stainless-steel electrodes were then positioned into different sublayers of the stratum radiatum to stimulate two separate inputs to the same population of pyramidal cells. For recording, two electrodes were positioned in the CA1 dendritic and cell body layer, respectively, to monitor the fEPSP and population spike. The stimulation strength was adjusted such that the population spike attained an amplitude of 5271.
25% of the maximum of an input-output (I/O) curve. Once stable responses were obtained for 60 min, LTP was induced in one input by either a 'weak' or a 'strong' tetanization protocol. The 'weak' tetanization protocol consisted of 21 biphasic pulses at 100 Hz (duration 0.2 ms per polarity), and the strong tetanization protocol of three stimulus trains of 100 pulses at 100 Hz, with a 10 min intertrain interval (duration 0.2 ms per polarity). Whilst the weak tetanization paradigm generates a protein-synthesis-independent decremental potentiation under most circumstances, the strong protocol was shown to induce protein-synthesis-dependent late-LTP (Frey et al., 1988; Frey and Morris, 1997). LTP was recorded for at least 2 h using the weak tetanization protocol and at least 6 h applying the strong protocol.

Recording in the dentate gyrus was performed similarly to that in CA1. The slices were kept in a 'submerged type' chamber and a monopolar, lacquer-coated, stainless-steel electrode was placed in the stratum molecular of the dentate gyrus to stimulate the medial perforant path input, which was distinguished by its localization and the presence of paired-pulse depression of fEPSP at an interpulse interval of 40 ms. About 200 µm apart, the recording electrode was lowered to the same level to record fEPSPs. The stimulation voltage was adjusted to elicit a fEPSP slope of 35% of the maximum of the corresponding I/O curve. LTP was induced by a repeated, 3-fold tetanization paradigm consisting of 15 bursts of eight pulses, 200 Hz, interburst interval 200 ms, which were applied with an interval of 10 min. For examination of DP, LTP was generated by a single tetanization consisting of 15 bursts of four pulses, 100 Hz, interburst interval 200 ms. Five minutes after tetanization, potentiation was reversed by a 2 min train of low-frequency stimulation at 5 Hz. To check paired-pulse depression (PPD), three paired-pulses with interpulse intervals of 10, 40, 100, 200 and 500 ms were delivered at 60 s intervals at a stimulation voltage adjusted to 35% of the maximum. The three responses were averaged and the degree of PPD was defined as % PPD (fEPSP slope 2nd pulse×100/fEPSP slope 1st pulse).

To allow a direct comparison, the recording of slices from mutant mice was interleaved with experiments with wild-type controls. Statistical analysis was performed using the two-tailed Mann–Whitney U-test, the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test or a non-parametric ANOVA (Friedman test).

Behavioral studies

For open-field recording, the water was removed from our swimming navigation pool and a white plastic floor was inserted at the level of the water surface. The subject was introduced into the arena next to the side wall and its activity was recorded for 10 min by the video camera. x-y coordinates were extracted at a frequency of 4.2 Hz and with 256 × 256 pixels of spatial resolution. A second session was recorded on the following day. In order to assess within-session changes of activity, both recording sessions were divided into two 5 min observation periods. Locomotor activity was assessed by the total distance traveled (m) and by the percentage of active time (locomotion speed >0.06 m/s). For the calculation of the average speed of locomotion, periods of inactivity were excluded. In order to obtain an estimate of circular running tendency, a circling bias was determined as follows. The coordinate stream was subdivided into periods of immobility, straight segments and curves with consistent change of direction, and the direction changes of all curves (degrees, clockwise = negative, counter-clockwise = positive) were summed. The absolute value of the sum served as a measure for circular running. Thigmotaxis and center exploration were quantified by determining the time spent within 9 cm of the wall (wall contact zone) and in the center field of 1.1 m diameter, respectively. Statistical analysis of open-field parameters was done using two-way analysis of variance with genotype as the between-subjects factor and observation as the within-subjects factor.

The swimming navigation setup followed the description given by Morris (1984). A white Plexiglas circular pool of 150 cm diameter and 50 cm height was filled with water (16 cm deep, 24–26°C) made opaque by the addition of milk. Distant visual cues for navigation were available on the walls of the room illuminated by diffuse light (12 lux). A wire mesh platform (16 × 16 cm) was placed 0.5 cm below the water surface, at 35 cm from the wall of the pool. To avoid visual orientation prior to release, the mice were transferred from their cages to the pool placed in an opaque plastic cup. They were released from eight symmetrically placed positions on the pool perimeter in a predetermined but not sequential order. The mice were allowed to swim until they found the platform or until 120 s had elapsed. Between trials, the animals were placed under red lamps and allowed to warm up and dry off for a few minutes. Intertrial times varied from 30 to 60 min. The entire procedure took 5 days; each animal performed a total of 30 trials, 6 per day. The position of the hidden platform remained fixed for the first 3 days (18 trials, acquisition phase). Afterwards, it was placed in the opposite quadrant for 2 days (12 trials, reversal phase). The first 60 s of the first reversal trial were served to trial to analyze spatial retention and search behavior of the animals. Swim paths were recorded by a video camera and fed to an electronic imaging system (Noldus EthoVision version 1.96) that extracted and stored x-y coordinates at a frequency of 4.2 Hz and with 256 × 256 pixels of spatial resolution. The coordinates were recorded offline using WinTrack 2.0. An earlier version of this custom software has been described in detail elsewhere (Wolfer and Lipp, 1992). Escape performance was judged by escape time, length of swim path and the cumulative search error according to Gallagher et al. (1993). Motor patterns were measured by assessing swim speed (m/s) while moving faster than 6 cm/s and by determining the percentage of time spent floating (defined as speed below 6 cm/s). Circling tendency was assessed in the same way as in the open-field. For statistical analysis of performance during acquisition, parameters were averaged over blocks of two trials and subjected to two-way ANOVA with genotype as the between-subjects factor and trial block as the within-subjects factor. Probe trial performance (first 60 s of first reversal trial) was assessed by the percentage of time spent in the former target versus adjacent quadrants, and by the number of annulus crossings over the former versus adjacent target positions. Probe trial parameters were evaluated statistically by two-way ANOVA including genotype as the between-subjects factor and place (former goal versus average of adjacent quadrants) as the within-subjects factor. When comparing the acquisition with the reversal phase as a whole, parameters were averaged over all trials of acquisition and reversal, respectively, and subjected to two-way ANOVA with genotype as the between-subjects factor and ms were watt as the within-subjects factor.

Post hoc comparisons were made using the Scheffe test.
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